



U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous **Materials Safety** Administration

A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2137-0584. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 58.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collection Clearance Officer, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), PHP-30, 1200 New Jersey Ave SE, Washington, D.C. 20590

### Pipeline Safety

### 2014 Natural Gas Base Grant Progress Report

for

### IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### Please follow the directions listed below:

- 1. Review the entire document for completeness.
- 2. Review and have an authorized signatory sign and date page 2.
- 3. Fasten all pages with a paper or binder clip no staples please as this package will be scanned upon it's arrival at PHMSA.
- 4. Mail the entire document, including this cover page to the following:

ATTN: Gwendolyn M. Hill U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Pipeline Safety, PHP-50 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Second Floor E22-321 Washington, D.C. 20590



Electronic Submission Date: 2/24/2015 1:44:03 PM





### Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington DC 20590

### OFFICE OF PIPELINE SAFETY

### 2014 Natural Gas Base Grant Progress Report

Office:	IDAHO	PUBLIC	UTILITIES	COMMISSION
Office.	IDAITO	LODLIC	UTILITIES	COMMISSION

	CO
Authorized	Signature

Paul Kjellander

President, Idaho Public Utilities Connission
02/24/2015

### PROGRESS REPORT ATTACHMENTS (NATURAL GAS)

### PHMSA Form No. PHMSA F 999-92

### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

These attachments request information either for the entire calendar year (CY 2014: January 1 through December 31, 2014) or as of (or on) December 31, 2014. Please report actual as opposed to estimated numbers on the attachments. Be careful to provide complete and accurate information since the PHMSA State Programs will be validating the attachments during the state's next annual evaluation.

- Attachment 1: State Jurisdiction and Agent Status Over Facilities. Requires the state to indicate those pipeline operator types over which the state agency has jurisdiction under existing law. If the state does not have jurisdiction over an operator type, indicate why not in the column designated No, using the one alpha code (A or B) which best describes the reason. If the state agency has jurisdiction over an operator type, place an X in the column designated Yes and provide information on the number of operators, the number and percent of operators inspected, the number of inspection units, and the number and percent of inspection units inspected. If the jurisdiction over a type of operator is under a Section 60106 Agreement, indicate X/60106 in the column designated Yes. [If the same operator/inspection unit is visited more than once during the year, count only once under number of operators inspected/number of inspection units inspected on Attachment 1. The multiple visits would, however, be reflected under total inspection person-days in Attachment 2.]
- Attachment 2: Total State Field Inspection Activity. Requires the state to indicate by operator type the number of inspection person-days spent during CY 2014 on inspections; standard comprehensive; design, testing, and construction; on-site operator training; integrity management; operator qualification; investigating incidents or accidents; damage prevention activities; and compliance follow-up. Attachment 2 should include drug and alcohol inspections. Counting In Office Inspection Time An inspector may choose to review pipeline company procedure manuals or records away from the company facility in order to effectively use onsite inspection time. The amount of time spent reviewing procedures and records may be counted as part of the inspection process. It is important that an inspector only record time for activities that normally would be completed as part of an onsite inspection. For example, an inspector may attribute the three hours he or she spent reviewing a pipeline operator's procedure manual and records prior to an on site inspection towards the total inspection time. Each supervisor must carefully review the reported time to ensure the time attributed is consistent with the activity completed and is carefully delineated from normal office duties.
- Attachment 3: Facility Subject to State Safety Jurisdiction. States should only list the facilities that are jurisdictional under Parts 192 and 193 (Natural Gas) and Part 195 (Hazardous Liquid) of which the state has safety authority over. This attachment requires the business name and address of each person subject to the pipeline safety jurisdiction of the state agency as of December 31, 2014. Also indicate the operator type (e.g., intrastate transmission) consistent with the listing in Attachment 1 and include the number of inspection units in each operator's system. The operator identification number (OPID) assigned by PHMSA must also be included on this attachment.
- Attachment 4: Pipeline Incidents. Requires a list of incidents investigated by or reported to the state agency that involved personal injury requiring hospitalization, a fatality, property damage exceeding \$50,000, and others deemed significant by the operator. Please also make an effort to clearly identify the state's determination of the cause of the incident using the one most appropriate alpha code footnoted in the attachment. We summarize this information for Congress by classifying the cause into one of eight categories: (A) corrosion failure; (B) natural force damage; (C) excavation damage; (D) other outside force damage; (E) material failure of pipe or weld; (F) equipment failure; (G) incorrect operation; (H) other accident cause. Please provide a summary of incident investigations.



- Attachment 5: State Compliance Actions. This requires a summary of state pipeline inspection and compliance
  actions. [In the Number of Compliance Actions Taken column, keep in mind one compliance action can cover
  multiple probable violations.]
- Attachment 6: State Record Maintenance and Reporting. Requires a list of records and reports maintained and required by the state agency.
- Attachment 7: State Employees Directly Involved in the Pipeline Safety Program. This attachment requires a list by name and title of each employee directly involved in the pipeline safety program. Be sure to include the percentage of time each employee has been involved in the pipeline safety program during 2014. If an employee has not been in the pipeline safety program the full year of 2014, please note the number of months working on the program. Indicate a Qualification Category for each of the state's inspectors (see Attachment 7a). The categories are shown in descending order of education and experience. Please enter the number of the highest description applicable to each inspector. For each inspector and supervisor, indicate the month and year he/she successfully completed the training courses at the Pipeline Safety Office of Training and Qualifications in Oklahoma City, OK. Finally, provide in summary form the number of all staff (supervisors, inspectors/investigator, damage prevention/technical and clerical/administrative) working on the pipeline safety program and the person-years devoted to pipeline safety. Person-years should be reported in hundreds (e.g., 3.25).
- Attachment 8: State Compliance with Federal Requirements. This requires the state to indicate whether it is in compliance with applicable federal requirements. If a particular requirement is not applicable to the sate (e.g. offshore inspections), indicate NA in the column designated Y/N/NA and indicate in the notes section why the regulation is not applicable. If a regulation has been adopted, indicate the date adopted (e.g., 05/01/04) in the appropriate column. If the regulation is applicable but has not been adopted indicate N in the Y/N/NA column and explain why not in the appropriate column (e.g., requires legislative action). If the state has not adopted the maximum penalty amounts of \$200,000 per day up to \$2,000,000 for a related series of violations please indicate civil penalty levels in effect in the state as of December 31, 2014. For State Adoption of Part 198 State One Call Damage Prevention Program if a state has any penalty amount for its damage prevention law please mark item 7.h as "Adopted but Different Dollar Amounts" and list the penalty amount in the Note section. Note at the end of Attachment 8 we are requesting each state to indicate the frequency its legislature meets in general session. This information will be taken into account when determining if applicable federal regulations have been adopted within 24 months of the effective date or two general sessions of the state legislature.
- Attachment 10: Performance and Damage Prevention Questions. This attachment requires a narrative of each states goals and accomplishments. In addition it requires a narrative on each states progress toward meeting the nine elements of an effective damage prevention program as described in the PIPES Act of 2006.



### **DEFINITIONS**

- Inspection Unit. An inspection unit is all or part of an operator's pipeline facilities that are under the control of an administrative unit that provides sufficient communication and controls to ensure uniform design, construction, operation, and maintenance procedures for the facilities. (See Glossary of Terms in Guidelines for States Participating in the Pipeline Safety Program for application of the inspection unit concept to transmission and hazardous liquid pipeline systems, distribution systems, liquefied natural gas systems, municipality, master meter system, regulated gathering pipeline systems, and propane-air systems/petroleum gas systems.)
- Inspection Person-Day. An inspection person-day is all or part of a day spent by a state agency representative including travel in an on site examination or evaluation of an operator or his system to determine if the operator is in compliance with federal or state pipeline safety regulations, in an on site investigation of a pipeline incident, or in job-site training of an operator. Time expended on such activities should be reported as one inspection person-day for each day devoted to safety issues, regardless of the number of operators visited during that day.
- Probable Violation. A probable violation is a non-compliance with any section or, where a section is divided into subsections (a), (b), (c), etc., any subsection of federal or state pipeline regulations. Each numbered section should be counted separately. Multiple non-compliances of a numbered section discovered on the same inspection should be counted as one probable violation with multiple pieces of evidence.
- Compliance Action. A compliance action is an action or series of sequential actions taken to enforce federal or state pipeline regulations. One compliance action can cover multiple probable violations. A compliance action may take the form of a letter warning of future penalties for continued violation, an administratively imposed monetary sanction or order directing compliance with the regulations, an order directing corrective action under hazardous conditions, a show-cause order, a criminal sanction, a court injunction, or a similar formal action.



### Attachment 1 - Stats on Operators

### STATE JURISDICTION AND AGENT STATUS OVER NATURAL GAS FACILITIES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014

Operator Type	State Agency Agent Status	y Jurisdiction/	No. of Operators		erators pected	No. of Inspection Units	Units I	nspected
	No¹	Yes		#	%		#	%
Distribution								
Private		X/60105	3	3	100.0%	10	10	100.0%
Municipal	A		0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Master Meter		X/60105	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
LPG		X/60105	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Other	A		0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Transmission								
Intrastate		X/60105	2	2	100.0%	2	2	100.0%
Interstate	F		0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
LNG								
Interstate	F		0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Intrastate		X/60105	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%
Other								
Gathering Lines		X/60105	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Offshore Facilities	A		0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Total			6	6	100.0%	13	13	100.0%

Codes: A - None in state and does not have jurisdiction;

- B State does not have jurisdictional authority (Provide current status or action being taken to obtain authority in notes section below)
- F No, State is currently not an interstate agent.

X/60105P = Yes, I have Section 60105 (Certification) over some of the operator type (meaning: I have 60105 authority over some, but not all of this operator type and do not have a 60106 agreement with PHMSA to inspect them). These operators are identified in the notes below.

X/IA - Yes I have Interstate Agent jurisdiction over this type of operator

Distribution "Other" - ie Co-ops, Public Utility Districts, etc.

States should explain any special circumstances

**General Instructions** - All above facilities should only include facilities as defined by federal pipeline regulations and should not include extended jurisdiction by state regulation.

### **Attachment 1 Notes:**

1) The Idaho State Pipeline safety program is in the process of locating Master Meter Operators for inclussion into the



commissions pipeline safety program. 2) To date we have not located any LPG distribution operators in Idaho, however, if and when any of these LPG operators arise we will have jurisdiction and add them into the program.



### Attachment 2 - State Inspection Activity

### TOTAL STATE FIELD INSPECTION ACTIVITY AS **OF DECEMBER 31, 2014**

Operator Type	Standard Comprehensive	Design, Testing and Construction	On-Site Operator Training	Integrity Management	Operator Qualification	Investigating Incidents or Accidents	Damage Prevention Activities	Compliance Follow-up	Total
			)						
Distribution						¢	1	2 0	100 5
Private	166	5.5	0	7	15	0	c.1	5.5	196.3
Municipal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Master Meter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LPG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transmission									
Intrastate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LNG									
Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Intrastate	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	3.5
Other									
Gathering Lines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offshore Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	169	5.5	0	7	15	0	1.5	4	202
Drug and Alcohol									
Total Count of Drug and Alcohol Inspections	ections					A ST. LAND REPORT OF THE PARTY			4
Attachment 2 Notes									

### Idaho IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, Page: 9

### Attachment 3 - List of Operators

# NATURAL GAS FACILITIES SUBJECT TO STATE SAFETY JURISDICTION AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014

Operator	(Oper	Distribution (Operator type & Inspection Units)	Distribution ype & Inspect	ion Un	its)	Transu (Operato Inspectio	Transmission (Operator type & Inspection Units)	LNG(Oper: Inspectio	VG(Operator type & Inspection Units)	LNG(Operator type & Other (Operator type Inspection Units)	ator type n Units)
Name ID		P. C.								Offshore Gathering Facilities	Offshore Facilities
	ivate	Municipal	Master	LPG	Other	Intrastate	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Private Municipal Master LPG Other Intrastate Interstate Intrastate Interstate Lines (Juris- (State Meter Meter	(State Waters)
Avista Corporation 4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		•
31232					*****		1		)	)	)
1411 E. Mission. Spokane. WA 99220			··	***************************************							
Intermountain Gas Company 5	S	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	•
0918							)		<b>)</b>	•	•
555 South Cole. Boise, ID 83707											
Questar	-	0	0	0	•	-	•	0		t	
12876				1	)		)	)	>	>	•
1040 W. 200 S., Salt Lake City, UT 84145	-	***************************************	~~							<b>WARRED</b>	

	(Oper	Distribution (Operator type & Inspection Units)	Distribution ype & Inspecti	ion Un	its)	Transmission (Operator type & Inspection Units)	fransmission perator type & spection Units)	LNG(Opera Inspectio	IG(Operator type & Inspection Units)	LNG(Operator type & Other (Operator type Inspection Units)	rator type on Units)
										Offshore Cathering Facilities	Offshore Facilities
			Master	Ja	Othor	Intractate	Interstate	Intrastate	Interstate	Master   De Other Intractate Intrastate Interstate Lines (Juris- (State	(State
	רוואמונ	Municipal	Meter			2000				dictional)	Waters
Inspection Unit totals by type	10	0	0	0	0	7	0	-	0	0	9
				_							

Total Operators

3

Attachment 3 Notes:



### Attachment 4 - Incidents/Accidents

### SIGNIFICANT NATURAL GAS INCIDENTS/ACCIDENTS JANUARY 1, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2014

Date of Incident	Location - City/County/etc.	Injuries #	Fatalities #	Property Damage <sup>3</sup> \$	Cause Code <sup>1</sup>
12/18/2014	LNG Plant Nampa, Idaho	0	0	\$100,000.00	Е
Name of Operator:	Intermountain Gas Company				
Cause Reported by O	perator (Describe) <sup>2</sup> A weld on one of the tubes within the methane gas into an enclosed area of box portion of the Economizer. The n engine. The Economizer is not salvag and installed. The LNG plant is shut of	the Economiz nethane gas a able and a ne	zer resulting Iso was leal w Economi	g in damage to the top king into the hot exhau	and side of the ist of the turbine

'Cause Codes: A - Corrosion failure; B - Natural Force Damage; C - Excavation Damage; D - Other Outside Force Damage; E - Pipe, Weld or Joint Failure; F - Equipment Failure; G - Incorrect Operation; H - Other Incident Cause

### **Attachment 4 Notes**



DUNS: 102589939 2014 Natural Gas Base Grant Progress Report

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Please attach a summary or report of the state agency's investigation of each of the above incidents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Interstate agents should use the 191.3 Incident definition for listing incidents investigated on interstate facilities.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Significant: Investigated by or reported to the state agency, involving personal injury requiring hospitalization, fatality, property damage exceeding \$50,000 and other incidents otherwise considered significant which involved jurisdictional facilities.

### **Attachment 5 - Stats on Compliance Actions**

### STATE COMPLIANCE ACTIONS -- CALENDAR YEAR (CY) 2014

Probable Violation Categories	Intrastate	Interstate
Number Carried over from previous CY (including carryover and long term)	5	0
Number Found During CY	6	0
Number submitted for DOT action [60106 Agreement agent only]	0	0
Number corrected during CY (including carry over from previous year)	8	0
Number to be corrected at end of CY (including carry over and long-term)	3	0

Number of Compliance Actions Taken 1

6 (see definition)

### **Civil Penalties**

0 Number assessed during CY \$0.00 Dollars assessed during CY Number collected during CY Dollars collected during CY \$0.00

### **Attachment 5 Notes**



Do not double count for a related series of actions.

### Attachment 6 - List of Records Kept

### NATURAL GAS STATE RECORD MAINTENANCE AND REPORTING DURING CY 2014

### Records Maintained by the State Agency

Pipeline Safety Program Annual Certification/Progress Report

Operator Annual Reports

Common Ground Alliance

Compliance Actions

Damage Prevention Program

Inspection Field Days

Annual Inspection Schedule

One-call Campaign

Operator Incident/Accident

PHMSA Correspondence

PUC Pipeline Safety Program Plan (POP)

PHMSA Program Evaluation

OPS Grants- Base Grant Application

Safety Related Condition Reports

Pipeline Training

T & Q Seminars

NAPSR Surveys

Inspection Reports 2009,2010, 2011,2012, 2013,2014

### Reports Required from Operators

Incident Reports as per IPUC rule Operators Annual Report

### **Attachment 6 Notes**



### Attachment 7 - Staffing and TQ Training

## STATE EMPLOYEES DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN THE NATURAL GAS PIPELINE SAFETY PROGRAM DURING CY 2014

Name/Title	%	*	Qual.
	Ime	Months Cat.	Cat.
Supervisor			
Leckie, Joe			
Executive Administrator	1	12	
Hire, Ellis			
Pipeline Safety Program Manager	44	12	=
Inspector/Investigator			
Bartolome, Lysle			
Inspector	09	12	=
Hire, Ellis			
Inspector	43	12	=
Rustin Alsup			
Safety Inspector Regulated Utilities	87	12	>

Person-Years

0.45

2.35

Last Name	First Name	Course
ALSUP	RUSTIN	PHMSA-PL1250 Safety Evaluation of Gas Pipeline Systems Course

Completion
Date
2014-12-12
00:00:00

BARTHLOME	LYSLE	PHMSA-PL3311 Assessment Evaluation for Operator Qualification (OQ) Seminar	00:00:00
BARTHLOME	LYSLE	PHMSA-PL3322 Evaluation of Operator Qualification (OQ) Programs Course	2010-04-21 00:00:00
BARTHLOME	LYSLE	PHMSA-PL31C - Investigating and Managing Internal Corrosion of Pipelines WBT Course	2005-10-20 16:45:00
BARTHLOME	LYSLE	PHMSA-PL3OQ Operator Qualification WBT Course	00:00:00
BARTHLOME	LYSLE	PHMSA-PL4253 Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Safety Technology and Inspection Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3355 Safety Evaluation of Control Room Management Programs	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3291 Fundamentals of (SCADA) System Technology and Operation Course	2014-03-07 00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3IC - Investigating and Managing Internal Corrosion of Pipelines WBT Course	18:07:10
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL1245 Safety Evaluation of Distribution Integrity Management Programs (DIMP) 2011-08-24 Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	A-PL1250 Safety Evaluation of Gas Pipeline Systems Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL1255 Gas Pressure Regulation and Overpressure Protection Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL1297 Gas Integrity Management (IM) Protocol Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL1310 Plastic and Composite Materials Course	2008-04-25 00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3242 Welding and Welding Inspection of Pipeline Materials Course	2008-04-25 00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3254 Joining of Pipeline Materials Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3256 Pipeline Failure Investigation Techniques Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3257 Pipeline Safety Regulation Application and Compliance Procedures Course	e00:00:00 00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3275 General Pipeline Safety Awareness Course	00:00:00
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3292 Safety Evaluation of Inline Inspection (IL1)/Pigging Programs Course	00:00:00

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF	The second secon		1110000
			7008-04-11
TIKE	ELLIS	PHIMSA-PL3293 Corrosion Control of Pipeline Systems Course	00:00:00
			2009-10-30
HIRE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3306 External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) Field Course	00:00:00
			2010-04-21
HIKE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3311 Assessment Evaluation for Operator Qualification (OQ) Seminar	00:00:00
			2010-04-21
HIKE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3322 Evaluation of Operator Qualitication (OQ) Programs Course	00:00:00
			2008-07-29
HIKE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL3OQ Operator Qualification WBT Course	18:04:14
			2007-01-12
HIKE	ELLIS	PHMSA-PL4253 Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Safety Technology and Inspection Course	00:00:00
			2014-08-15
LECKIE	VICTOR (JOE)	PHMSA-PL3256 Pipeline Failure Investigation Techniques Course	00:00:00
11/17/11	(301) 402011		2013-06-14
LECNIE	VICTOR (JOE)	PHIMSA-PL 1230 Safety Evaluation of Gas Pipeline Systems Course	21:07:36

### Attachment 7 Notes



# Attachment 8 - Compliance with Federal Regulations

# STATE COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014

No.	Effective Date		Adoption Date	AdoptionStatus
-	Maximum F Indicate act	Maximum Penalties Substantially Same as DOT (\$100,000/\$1,000,000); Indicate actual amount in note.	04/1970	04/1970 Not Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>	\$2,000 per ea	\$2,000 per each violation per day the violation persists. \$200,000 maximum for any related series of violations.	related serie	s of violations.
2	191.23 and 191-14)	191.23 and 191.25 Safety-Related Conditions(through current amendment 191-14)	03/2001	Adopted
Note'				
3	Part 192 Amendments	nendments		
01-90	Pre 2002	[All applicable amendments prior to and including 2002]	04/2003	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
91	4/23/2004	Definition of high consequnce areas for gas transmission lines	04/2003	Adopted
Note'				
92	9/4/2003	Procedures for Producer-operated outer continental shelf natural pipelines that cross directly into state waters		N/A
Note <sup>1</sup>				
93	10/15/2003	various changes to gas pipeline safety standards from NAPSR recommendations	04/2004	Adopted
Note				
94	5/6/2005	Modification to the definition of a Transmission Line	04/2005	Adopted
Note '				
95	5/26/2004	Pipeline integrity management for transmission lines in HCAs	04/2005	Adopted

Note

Adopted

04/2005

Passage of internal inspection devices on new and retrofitted

transmission pipelines

7/28/2004

67

Pressure limiting and regulating stations

9/14/2004

Note<sup>1</sup>

96

Adopted

04/2006

N/A

Performance of periodic underwater inspections

9/9/2004

Note<sup>1</sup>

86

Note 1

API RP 1162 Public awareness campaign

6/20/2005

Note '

66

100

Adopted

04/2006

PSIA Statuory changes to Operator Qualification Program

7/15/2005

Adopted

04/2005

PHMSA F 999-95

106-73 FR 16562	3/28/2008	Administrative Procedures, Updates and Technical Amendments (73 FR 16562)	04/2010	Adopted
Note'				
107-73 FR 62147	10/17/2008	Standards for Increasing the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure for Gas Transmission Pipelines (73 FR 62147)	04/2010 Adopted	Adopted
Note				
108-73 FR 79002	12/24/2008	PA-11 Design Pressures (73 FR 79005)	04/2010 Adopted	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
109-74 FR 2889	1/16/2009	Administrative Procedures, Address Updates, and Technical Amendments	04/2010 Adopted	Adopted
Note'				
110-74 FR 17099	4/14/2009	Incorporation by Reference Update: American Petroleum Institute (API) Standards 5L and 1104	04/2010	Adopted
Note.				
111-74 FR 62503	11/30/2009	Editorial Amendments to Pipeline Safety Regulations	04/2011	Adopted
Note				
112-74 FR 63310	12/3/2009	Control Room Management/Human Factors	04/2011	Adopted
Note,				
113-74 FR 63906	12/4/2009	Integrity Management Program for Gas Distribution Pipelines	04/2011	Adopted

Adopted

04/2012

Adopted

Adopted

04/2012

117-76 FR 35130	8/15/2011	Control Room Management/Human Factors	04/2013	Adopted
Note,				
118 - 78 FR 58897	9/28/2013	Administrative Procedures, Updates, and Technical Corrections		Not Adopted
Note	Discussion	Discussion has began in the Commission to move forward with adoption of this final rule in 2016	I rule in 201	9
4	Part 193 A	Part 193 Amendments (applicable only where state has jurisdiction over LNG)		
01-17	Pre 2002	[All applicable amendments prior to and including 2002]	04/2001	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
18	4/9/2004	Updated LNG standards by section	04/2005	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
61	7/10/2006	Incorporate by Reference various Standards	04/2008	Adopted
Note				
20-73 FR 16562	3/28/2008	Administrative Procedures, Updates and Technical Amendments (73 FR 16562)	04/2010	Adopted
Note"				
21-74 FR 2889	1/16/2009	Administrative Procedures, Address Updates and Technical	04/2012	Adopted
Note '				
22 - 75 FR 48593	8/11/2010	Periodic Updates of Regulatory References to Technical Standards and 04/2012 Miscellaneous Edits	1 04/2012	Adopted
Note				
23 - 75 FR 72878	11/26/2010	Updates to Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Reporting Requirements	04/2012	Adopted
Note'				
24 - 78 FR 58897	9/28/2013	Administrative Procedures, Updates, and Technical Corrections		Not Adopted
Note"	Discussion l	Discussion has began in the Commission to move forward with adoption of this final rule in 2016	I rule in 201	9
S	Part 199 - I	Part 199 - Drug Testing	03/2001	Adopted

Part 199 Amendments

Note '

61-10	Pre 2002	[All applicable amendments prior to and including 2002]	04/2003	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
20	3/12/2003	Definition of Administrator	04/2006	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
21	12/31/2003	Instructions for Single Use Form for MIS	04/2006	Adopted
Note <sup>1</sup>				
22	7/14/2004	New address for reporting	04/2006	Adopted
Note				
23	3/8/2005	Administration name change	04/2006	Adopted
Note				
24-73 FR 16562	3/28/2008	Administrative Procedures, Updates and Technical Amendments (73 FR 16562)	04/2010	Adopted
Note				
25 - 78 FR58897	9/28/2013	Administrative Procedures, Updates, and Technical Corrections		Not Adopted
Note"	Discussion	Discussion has began in the Commission to move forward with adoption of this final rule in 2016	I rule in 201	9
7	State Adop	State Adoption of Part 198 State One-Call Damage Prevention Program		
a.		Mandatory coverage of areas having pipeline facilities	04/1990	Adopted
Note'				
b.		Qualification for operation of one-call system	04/1990	Adopted
Note'				
c.		Mandatory excavator notification of one-call center	0661/60	Adopted
Note'				
d.		State determination whether calls to center are toll free	04/1991	Adopted
Note '				

04/1990 Adopted

Mandatory intrastate pipeline operator participation

e. Note'

on of excavators/public tive relief substantially same as DOT nalty for first occurence; \$5,000 for second occure	f.	Mandatory operator response to notification	04/1990 Adouted
	-		noidony occurs
	Note		
	ŝ	Mandatory notification of excavators/public	07/2002 Adopted
	Note '		
	h.	Civil penalties/injunctive relief substantially same as DOT	Not Adopted
	Note	Current law provides for \$1,000 penalty for first occurence; \$5,000 for second month period. Third occurences can be subject to treble damages to repair or re	ccurence; \$10,000 for third occurence in a consequtive 12 nove facilities.

## 'If Adoption Status is No, Please provide an explanation

State Attendance at 2014 NAPSR Regional Meeting: Frequency of General Legislative Session: Annually

Attended full time (Lead rep or alternative pipeline staff)

Attachment 8 Notes

House Bill 118 is currently in front of the state legislators and if passed will increase the Idaho civil penalty for one-call violations.

Idaho IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, Page: 23

### **Attachment 10 - Performance and Damage Prevention Questions**

### **CALENDAR YEAR (CY) 2014**

### Planned Performance: What are your Planned Annual and Long-term goals for your Pipeline Safety Program?

The commissions Pipeline Safety Program long term goals for 2015 and beyond are to further grow the program by bring in Master Meter operators into the pipeline safety program. Identified Master Meter operators will be educated on the requirements of Part 192 and tracked for inclussion into the commissions inspection program later in the year. Another area of focus for 2015 is operator (High Pressure Service Sets) farm taps. We have scheduled several weeks in 2015 to inspect these farm taps for general condition, corrosion and damage. Here at the commission we feel that due to the large number of these farm taps (7.000+) in our system they could possibly be lacking the required attention need to keep them safe with the required inspection intervals being several years apart. Our short term goal is to continue inspecting/auditing our three interstate operators annually and follow-up on each non-compliance noted. During the 2014 cycle we were able to hire a FTE for pipeline safety and once qualified we will be able to assign this employee specific areas of pipeline safety to be the commissions expert.

Past Performance: What did the Pipeline Safety Program accomplish during the subject year (to this document) to contribute toward the program's annual and long-term goals?

The commissions Pipeline Safety section was able to inspect all three intrastate operators records and field units during the 2014 inspection cycle. Control Room management inspection/audits were performed on all Idaho intrastate operators with one inspection being a joint inspection with Oregon and Washington. Our new FTE hired in 2014 has completed his PL 1250 course in Oklahoma City and in 2014 attended most inspections with a commission qualified inspector. We have been able to use this new FTE as our Master meter point-of-contact and we will continue to have him focus on locating master meter operators and conducting initial education of these operators to bring them into the commissions safety program.

- 1. Has the state or agency reviewed the Damage Prevention Assistance Program (DPAP) document in the last twelve months? Yes
- 2. Has the state or agency developed or is in the process of developing a plan to address the nine elements contained in the PIPES Act of 2006 for an effective State Damage Prevention Program?

Yes

If yes to question 2, where does the state or agency stand on implementation of the nine elements contained in the PIPES Act of 2006? Please provide a description of how the state or agency has or will meet each element. If not, please provide a brief passage

2006? Please provide a description of how the state or a explaining the reasons why the state or agency has not.

The commission maintained membership in a local dan improve the underground damage prevention law, elim effective enforcement mechanism in accordance with the introduced and presented during the 2015 legislative se The commission maintained membership in a local damage prevention coalition through out 2014. The goal of the coalition is to improve the underground damage prevention law, eliminate as many exemptions as possible, educate the public and establish an effective enforcement mechanism in accordance with the 9 elements contained in the PIPES Act. This new legislation is being introduced and presented during the 2015 legislative session as House Bill 118.

DUNS: 102589939 2014 Natural Gas Base Grant Progress Report