BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	
OF AVISTA CORPORATION TO APPROVE)	CASE NO. AVU-E-06-07
AN AGREEMENT ALLOCATING)	
TERRITORY WITH NORTHERN LIGHTS,)	ORDER NO. 30173
INC.)	

On September 11, 2006, Avista Corporation dba Avista Utilities filed an Application to approve an agreement allocating service territory with Northern Lights, Inc. pursuant to the Electric Supplier Stabilization Act (ESSA), *Idaho Code* §§ 61-332 *et seq*. The parties' "Agreement Allocating Territory" is dated July 24, 2006. The Agreement was executed pursuant to the provisions of the Electric Supplier Stabilization Act (ESSA) and specifically *Idaho Code* § 61-333(1).

On October 2, 2006, the Commission issued Order No. 30134 requesting that interested persons file comments regarding the ESSA Agreement no later than October 23, 2006. Only the Commission Staff filed comments and recommended the Commission approve the ESSA Agreement. Based upon our review, we grant the Application and approve the parties' Agreement.

THE APPLICATION

According to the Application the parties have entered into an agreement pursuant to the ESSA in order to allocate territory, avoid disputes between utilities, and to provide consumers with the best possible service. Both utilities have existing service lines near a new development (Development) in Sandpoint, Idaho, and both utilities are able and willing to supply electric service to consumers who may establish service entrances in the Development. The utilities have agreed to an allocation of service territory to each utility as set forth in their Agreement.

The Agreement Allocating Territory, a map of the designated service areas and a legal description of the boundaries were filed with the Application. According to the Agreement Avista and Northern Lights will each be entitled to extend their electric facilities, to the exclusion of the other, within the territory allocated to each as outlined in the Agreement, and to provide service to customers who locate new electric service entrances within such territory. The Agreement states that, within the territory allocated, each utility shall provide line

extensions and electric service pursuant to the provisions of their respective line extension policies, rate schedules, and/or tariffs in force at the time such extensions or services are requested. The Agreement further provides that a line extension installed within the boundaries of the Development to serve an electric service located within the territory allocated by the Agreement shall not be considered an "existing service line" and may not be used as a future measuring point for the purpose of determining which utility is entitled to provide electric service under the ESSA to new service entrances located in territory not allocated pursuant to the Agreement. Additionally, the Agreement states that the utilities current electric service lines as shown on Exhibit B, as well as any line extensions external to the boundaries defined in the Agreement and outlined on Exhibit B which are required to reach existing infrastructures within the Development and which are installed in accordance with the ESSA, may be used to determine future electric service rights to new service entrances located in territory not allocated pursuant to the Agreement. Lastly, the Agreement states that the boundaries set forth by the Agreement and shown on Exhibit B will not be affected by any subsequent changes in lot lines, roadways, or other boundaries within the Development that occur after the date of the Agreement.

Idaho Code § 61-333(1) provides that electric suppliers may contract for the purpose of "allocating territories, consumers, and future consumers...and designating which territories and consumers are to be served by which contracting electric supplier." Under the ESSA, both Avista and Northern Lights are defined as electric suppliers. Idaho Code § 61-332A(4). After notice and opportunity for hearing, the Commission may approve agreements allocating service territories and customers between electric suppliers only upon finding that the allocation is in conformance with the purposes of the ESSA. Idaho Code § 61-333A(1). As set out in Idaho Code § 61-332(2), the purpose of the ESSA is to: discourage duplication of facilities; prohibit "pirating" of consumers; stabilize service territories and consumers; and promote harmony between electric suppliers.

STAFF COMMENTS

After reviewing the Application and the accompanying Agreement, Staff recommended the Commission approve the Agreement. Staff recommended that the Agreement is in conformance with the provisions and purposes of the ESSA, specifically those found in *Idaho Code* § 61-332(2).

Staff also recommended the Commission actively support a broader expansion agreement plan for the North Idaho Region between Avista, Northern Lights, and Kootenai Electric in order to ensure that electric infrastructure and expansion is developed in an economically efficient manner.

FINDINGS

Idaho Code § 61-333(1) requires the Commission to review consumer and territory allocation Agreements between electric suppliers. Pursuant to this statute, we must determine whether the allocation of service territory and future customers is in conformance with the provisions and the purposes of the ESSA. After reviewing the Agreement and the Staff's comments, we grant the Application and approve the allocation Agreement. In particular, the Agreement avoids duplication of services, stabilizes service territories and customers, and promotes harmony between Avista and Northern Lights, Inc. We further find that both electric suppliers are willing and able to furnish the requested service. We also note that there was no public opposition to the Agreement. Based upon these findings, we conclude that the "Agreement Allocating Territory" comports with the purposes of the ESSA.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Avista Corporation dba Avista Utilities' Application and the "Agreement Allocating Territory" dated July 24, 2006, between Avista and Northern Lights, Inc. is approved.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order with regard to any matter decided in this Order. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. *See Idaho Code* § 61-626.

DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this $I^{3/7}$ day of November 2006.

PAUL KJELLANDER, PRESIDENT

MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

DENNIS S. HANSEN, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Jean D. Jewell Commission Secretary

O:AVU-E-06-07_dw2