

Diane Holt

From: Idhawker@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:48 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Larry & Cathy Hawker

Name: Larry & Cathy Hawker
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Idhawker@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-479-6979
Address: 1695 Riverton Rd
Blackfoot ID, 83221

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are expressing our concerns and asking to be grandfathered into 1:1 net metering. It seems only fair if we are trying to help add power to Idaho power we should be able to get our power back; we were of the understanding when we purchased the solar that it was reciprocated that whatever energy we put in to the system we would be able to get back.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: pmmullane68@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 4:01 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Patricia Mullane

Name: Patricia Mullane
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: pmmullane68@gmail.com
Telephone: 8506197397
Address: 273 Fry Creek road
Sagle Idaho, 83860

Name of Utility Company: NLI

Comment: Do not reduce compensation for the Idaho power customers who are using their own installed solar panels for their energy use. If they are able to collect surplus energy to sell to you, Idaho power, pay them 100 per cent!!!! No discount for you mega power corporation.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: darwinroy29@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:14 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Darwin Roy

Name: Darwin Roy
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: darwinroy29@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083360623
Address: 147 E Indian Creek Rd
Mayfield ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I installed a 4kw solar system in the fall of 2015. This system has an energy monitor and log the daily solar output. Using a spreadsheet and current IPCo inverted step energy rates I calculate total my savings in kWh and dollars. The system is providing a return on investment (ROI) of about 6%. Most of that savings comes from reducing energy consumption in the higher cost steps, over 800 and 2000 kWh in a month. While I understand that the current settlement agreement does not include systems installed prior to January 1, 2018, obviously the intent is to include us at some point. I am unable to determine how hourly assessment of my net generation vs month assessment will affect me, however the current ROI is close to a threshold that would make this investment unwise. The suggested rates beyond 2028 will likely make any residential grid connected solar system financially unreasonable. If it is truly IPCo's desire for their future generation to be carbon free this proposed rate structure seems to be totally antithetical to that goal. Thank you for your consideration.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: gordons@fastmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:20 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Gordon W J Sorensen

Name: Gordon W J Sorensen
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: gordons@fastmail.com
Telephone: 2083369576
Address: 14001 Blacks Creek Rd
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Is this change in rate structure for net metering customers a done deal? From the wording in the letter I received from Idaho Power it sounds like it is all done and dusted.

As a net metering participant, I am dismayed to see the net metering process being destroyed. It represented an opportunity to reduce one's power bill and personally contribute to efforts to reduce our carbon foot print.

The basis for the net metering activity is solar power generation. While it has many desirable attributes, it is not a perfect solution to our energy consumption. A principal shortcoming is that it is not a base load source of power, it works only in the daytime. The existing net metering structure relied on the utility to act as a storage system. While this made Net Metering a very attractive situation, in all fairness, the utility really should be compensated for "storing" that power.

I however think Idaho Power's final usury rate of 50% in 2028 is on the steep side. I think something in the neighborhood of 15% is more appropriate.

Idaho Power's proposal does not recognize this concept of a storage fee and simply treats the exported power as external power generation to be compensated at the going rate when buying power. It also does not recognize the fact that the exported power coming from a net metering source typically occurs during periods of peak demand, there by lowering the demand by the customer while at the same time contributing additional power helping to reduce the use of peaking stations in periods of high demand.

Interestingly Idaho Power has programs where customers are paid to allow Idaho Power to switch off loads in their residence in times of peak demand.

The Idaho Power proposal also does not acknowledge the benefit of all of the solar energy being created by net metering customers in the contribution of reducing our carbon footprint.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: idahochavez@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:23 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Natalie Chavez

Name: Natalie Chavez
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: idahochavez@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 17934 N Creag Way
Boise ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: The electricity we produce with our rooftop solar is electricity that IPC doesn't have to produce through coal-fired power plants out of state or hydroelectric dams that affect habitat for many species of plants and animals. If utilities don't credit solar panel owners 1:1 for their generation, people will be hesitant to invest in solar. And those of us who have already made the investment but remain on the grid for those times when our generation doesn't cover our needs, we will likely buy storage as soon as we can and wean ourselves from the utilities all together.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: ginzeldave@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:24 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: David Duncan

Name: David Duncan
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: ginzeldave@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Garden City Idaho , 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are opposed to Idaho Power changing its net metering program for existing solar customers.

We decided to make our investment in solar power based on the rules in effect at the time. We don't feel that it is fair to subject us to new rules that change the economics of our project after our investment has been made. When we were considering investing in solar, we understood that the Idaho P.U.C. was considering new rules for net metering, but that most commissions around the nation were allowing current solar customers to stay on existing net metering programs and applying the new rules only to new customers.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: michael.haseltine@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:26 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael Haseltine

Name: Michael Haseltine
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: michael.haseltine@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083010323
Address:
Moscow ID, 83843

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: There is no way I could consider solar on a house without the current payment for the value of the electricity generated over my use. To reduce it for folks that have already committed to an installation is even worse!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: tara@indigonatural.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:30 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Tara Estabrook

Name: Tara Estabrook
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: tara@indigonatural.com
Telephone: 4154974882
Address: P.O. Box 3802
COEUR D ALENE ID, 83816

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: It is essential to pay solar grid owners, past and present, their rightful value when the energy is sold to the utility. Reducing the value for people who already invested is not right since it takes a long time to recoup the investment, and the incentive to move to solar energy is also greatly reduced. Please support 100% renewable energy

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: ronreagan@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:32 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ron Reagan

Name: Ron Reagan
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: ronreagan@gmail.com
Telephone: 1-208-345-7630
Address: 6331 N Charleston Pl
Boise Idaho, 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: Idaho Power should be doing everything they can to provide clean energy to their customers. They still rely on coal to produce electricity. Natural gas is cleaner but still not as environmentally friendly as wind and solar. You would think they would welcome clean solar energy going into the grid. Previously, they have promised solar customers that they would be reimbursed through net metering at a going rate. Now they want to profit off homeowners who desire to put solar on our homes. Homeowners foot the bill to go solar and Idaho Power profits from their investment. This is not right or fair!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: colhim15@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 3:37 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Colin Himmelstein

Name: Colin Himmelstein
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: colhim15@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087099554
Address: 4106 E 605 N
RIGBY Idaho, 83442

Name of Utility Company: Rocky Mountain Power

Comment: I hereby wholeheartedly disagree with what Idaho Power is proposing. Net Metering should be kept as is. Those who are currently using solar power should be grandfathered in.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Monjeautyson@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:46 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Judy Tyson

Name: Judy Tyson *IPC-E-18-15*
Case Number: ~~Pice~~ 18 15
Email: Monjeautyson@hotmail.com
Telephone: 5754912388
Address: P.o. box 983
Hailey Id, 83333

Name of Utility Company: I'd powerplease maintain the current energy reimbursement to current and future solar
Comment: Please maintain your current reimbursement rate for current and future active solar customers.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

Subject: FW: Case Comment Form: Grant Yee

-----Original Message-----

From: gyee5238@msn.com [mailto:gyee5238@msn.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:48 PM

To: Diane Holt <Diane.Holt@puc.idaho.gov>

Subject: Case Comment Form: Grant Yee

Name: Grant Yee

Case Number: IPC-E-18-15

Email: gyee5238@msn.com

Telephone: 2083625238

Address: 6756 W WRIGHT STREET

Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Regarding Idaho Power's net metering change request, PUC should ensure that the program will remain equitable for existing customers. The credit for Solar powered customers should have the rate as all other customers that receive electricity from Idaho Power. PUC should keep its promise that discriminatory charges will not occur.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: rlpriebe28@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:27 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Rick Priebe

Name: Rick Priebe
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: rlpriebe28@gmail.com
Telephone: 2086822421
Address: PO Box 881
Pinehurst ID, 83850

Name of Utility Company: ID Public Utilities
Comment: Please support fair reimbursement for those being compensated for their use of solar power.
Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: lawrencecford@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:28 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: LAWRENCE FORD

Name: LAWRENCE FORD
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: lawrencecford@gmail.com
Telephone: 2082416436
Address: 4936 Rebecca Dr
Chubbuck ID, 83202

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I installed solar panels on my residence this summer (address above) with the understanding that I would be participating in net metering. So far I have generated over 320 Kwh of more than I have used since installation. I expect to be somewhere around net zero over the year.

I received a mailing last week from Idaho Power stating that they were requesting the PUC to change the rules, drastically reducing the amount they pay solar generators. Arguments I have read from Idaho Power claim that solar and wind generator providers do not pay their fair share of transmission costs. I disagree with that, but also point out that Idaho Power did not pay one dime of construction or financing costs of the power generation we are providing them. Also in the recent past Idaho Power was requesting a differentiated rate structure that would charge more for power used during summer daytime peak demand hours. I point out that those times are exactly when residential and other solar generators are providing peak power generation to Idaho Power's grid, saving them considerable costs in having to provide excess generation capacity.

Both in providing clean, renewable energy at no construction/financing costs to the utility and reducing Idaho (and Idaho Power's) carbon footprint, residential and other solar/wind generation provides a great benefit. Allowing Idaho Power to change the rules in midgame will greatly discourage renewable installations and should not be approved.

Sincerely,

Lawrence C. Ford
Chubbuck, ID

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: casey.claire01@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:29 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Claire Casey

Name: Claire Casey
Case Number: *FPCE18-15*
Email: casey.claire01@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087882136
Address:
Hailey ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: idaho Power I have solar panels on my house...

Comment: i have solar panels on my house. Living in Idaho provides us with a great deal of solar energy. I put panels on my roof for 2 reasons. One is that I save money on my energy bill and secondly, I want to keep fossil fuel and hydro a bay. The fossil fuel use is being decreased and that is good because of solar panels. Using less hydro will help return the salmon population. To think that Idaho Power wants to charge us more for helping the environment and to put more \$\$\$\$ into their pockets for share holders causes me to want to call the company hypocritical as they are always stating that they want us to conserve on energy.. Read their flyers on how to save energy and yet for those of us who are making free power for them, they want to charge us..... They are going against everything they want us to believe. This will cause customers to invest in more solar panels and therefore cause more global warming. Please consider voting against Idaho Power move on the solar industry.

Thank you

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jtrealo@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:42 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jackson Realo

Name: Jackson Realo
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: jtrealo@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Ketchum Idaho, 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am here to publicly comment on how it is unjust and unfair to reduce the rate of return on solar energy for customers in Idaho. Idaho Power is abusing their power and taking advantage of people who spent their own money to personally invest in clean energy. Why should they suffer at the hands of a big corporate entity? They paid for their solar panel set ups and therefore, should receive the money for the exact amount of energy they put back into the grid. Idaho Power should not profit off of the customer's clean energy investment. This would be a true disgrace to let this happen. Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: svanzan1@jhu.edu
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:44 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Shirley Van Zandt

Name: Shirley Van Zandt
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: svanzan1@jhu.edu
Telephone: 410-963-3791
Address: 1513 N 17th Street
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am contacting you to object to the new Net Metering Porgam that has been proposed. I installed solar panels on my home 3 years ago. I agreed to a plan with Idaho Power that I would be paid back for any power that my panels produced that were beyond what I needed. I have added to the grid at my own expense. I am changed by Idaho Power for their service in transferring this power.

The new Net Metering program that would reduce the payment to me for power I have produced at my own cost is a betrayal of the original plan for installing solar panels. If there was evidence that the cost to Idaho Power for the transference of excess power I produced has increased, then please charge me for the service. The new Net Metering plan discourages others from contributing to the power grid and available power that is produced and community involvement in helping with energy use and production.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: mbickley5@cableone.net
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:50 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael Bickley

Name: Michael Bickley
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: mbickley5@cableone.net
Telephone:
Address: 2333 Ada St.
Pocatello Idaho, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.

Comment: Hello,

Concerning the current Idaho Power vs Home Owners who produce some solar power. I believe it is extremely important that Idaho Power continues to honor the agreements with these home owners that was in place when the home owners invested in the solar power systems. To change the agreement mid stream would be devastating especially to older and retired home owners. Thank you, Michael Bickley, Pocatello, Idaho.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: tolotom@connectwireless.us
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:52 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: tom Kovalicky

Name: tom Kovalicky
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: tolotom@connectwireless.us
Telephone:
Address: PO BOX 48
Grangeville Idaho, 83530

Name of Utility Company: ICLP
Comment: Please establish Fair Regulations for Solar Customers

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: talon_blackclaw@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:53 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Brian Oberson

Name: Brian Oberson
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: talon_blackclaw@hotmail.com
Telephone: 5034901366
Address: 464 e great bear st
kuna ID, 83634

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power didn't pay for any part of my solar panels, but somehow thinks they should get a share of what they produce for free? As a customer that had these panels installed before the proposed change, I want to be grandfathered in at the 1:1 net metering ratio. If Idaho Power wants extra credit for people's solar panels, then they should pay for part of the cost!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kinkadealvena8@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 1:02 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Alvena Kinkade

Name: Alvena Kinkade
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kinkadealvena8@gmail.com
Telephone: 2086589081
Address: 10937 W Linstock Ct
Boise Idaho, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Ms.

Comment: I had a solar system installed in 2017 to offset the cost of power provided by Idaho Power. Now they have petitioned PUC to revise the rules for net metering setting existing solar users to be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. This is unfair and makes PUC appear to be discriminating against existing customers.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

Please allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Thank you.

Yours Truly,
Alvena Kinkade

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: rskinner@skinnerfawcett.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 1:27 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Richard Skinner

Name: Richard Skinner
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: rskinner@skinnerfawcett.com
Telephone: 208-345-2663
Address:
Boise Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: About 5 years ago our small business, The Flicks, invested almost \$80,000 in almost 100 solar panels, and related equipment, etc. for our business based on the net metering arrangements in effect at that time, and we relied on those as we made this investment. It now appears that there is a chance that we cannot rely on that arrangement which seems wrong and not a proper business approach by IPC or the Idaho PUC. As an existing customer, along with many others, we cannot help but believe it is the right thing to continue this arrangement for those who have incurred substantial expenditures relying on that net metering approach. I am surprised that the IPUC would entertain the idea that this net metering approach would be restricted.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: splow27@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 1:32 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Sheila Plowman

Name: Sheila Plowman
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: splow27@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087210765
Address: P.O. Box 105
Hailey Idaho, 83333

Name of Utility Company: 05/24/1961
Comment: Please do not reduce solar buyback payments for people who install solar panels--we are trying to reduce our need for fossil fuels and keep Idaho Air clean!

Thank you for your time

Sheila

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Louise_ash@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 1:55 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Valerie Thompson

Name: Valerie Thompson
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: Louise_ash@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Las Vegas NV, 89148

Name of Utility Company: Idaho public utilities company
Comment: I am speaking up as a solar advocate

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Highbasin@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:06 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Randy Anderson

Name: Randy Anderson

Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*

Email: Highbasin@gmail.com

Telephone:

Address:

Pocatello Idaho , 83204

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am bothered by Idaho Powers' proposal to reduce the amount of credit given to generators of rooftop solar power. This is wrong at every level; morally, environmentally and its treatment of their customers. The State of Idaho needs to reverse this decision immediately. It's a clear demonstration of Idaho Power's commitment to profits above all else and why this utility needs State regulation.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: valstewrn@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:11 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Valerie Stewart

Name: Valerie Stewart
Case Number: PUC docket IPC-E-18-15
Email: valstewrn@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 1486 S. Loggers Pond Pl # 22
Boise Idaho , 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Electric

Comment: Regarding clean alternative energy, and fair rules, i I urge the Idaho Public Utilities Commission to allow families and small businesses who invested in rooftop solar to stay in the existing net metering program.

Mrs. Stewart

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: macantr18@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael Cantrell

Name: Michael Cantrell
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: macantr18@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 622 East B St
Moscow ID, 83843

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: I appreciate the work that the Idaho Public Utilities Commission does to ensure that we in Idaho have clean power that minimizes the pollution of our water and air. I implore you to keep doing this by maintaining net metering. And even more so by assuring that metering regulations which existed at the time any customer installed their own personal production of renewable energy in connection with the grid, be allowed to continue with net metering from now on.

In this case net metering refers to having the same price paid on electricity going either direction through their meter.

It is essential that Idaho's power companies do everything they can to help us prepare for the essential task of fair, zero-carbon emissions in all energy production within the next 10-15 years.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: ntbrownlee@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:20 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Nathan Brownlee

Name: Nathan Brownlee
Case Number: *IPO-E-18-15*
Email: ntbrownlee@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 180 E Bannock Ave
Richfield ID, 83349

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I would like to be grandfathered into the 1:1 kWh. I was not aware of this possible change and if I had known it may have changed my mind as to weather or not I purchased solar. This is a big benefit for going solar. Will this change include a payback at the end of the year for the credit we have built up?

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Sonna@dfinegan.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:22 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Donna Finegan

Name: Donna Finegan

Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*

Email: Sonna@dfinegan.com

Telephone:

Address: 383 So Hulen Way

Ketchum Idaho, 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I strongly oppose Idaho Powers cutting the reimbursement to homeowners who have added solar power to their homes . They are helping with clean energy and giving electricity created back to the grid. Offering a lower reimbursement for helping our environment and assisting l'daho Power in creating more electricity makes no sense.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: pinkerton.chyrle@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:22 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: chyrle pinkerton

Name: chyrle pinkerton
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: pinkerton.chyrle@gmail.com
Telephone: 2088303595
Address:
Garden City ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I would like to express my view regarding fair regulation of solar power owners. It would be unfair to reduce the compensation paid to these owners.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: aryoung57@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:23 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: ALLEN YOUNG

Name: ALLEN YOUNG
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: aryoung57@gmail.com
Telephone: 2086813891
Address: 1543 W TABER RD
BLACKFOOT ID, 83221

Name of Utility Company: Young Farms Equipment

Comment: I am a current owner of a homeowner solar system. The payout is over 15 years at current prices. I am in favor of grandfathering those that have already made the investment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jack@lymanidaho.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:24 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jack Lyman

Name: Jack Lyman
Case Number: *IPC#8-15*
Email: jack@lymanidaho.com
Telephone: 2088993623
Address: 14557 Galloway Road
Caldwell ID, 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I invested over \$25,000 in my solar panels based on Idaho Power's promise to pay me market rates for the excess power I generate. Please make them live up to that commitment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: nancyfaye@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 10:19 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Roger and Nancy Logan

Name: Roger and Nancy Logan
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: nancyfaye@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We recently had solar panels installed on our residence with the understanding that our investment would pay off according to the rates currently in place. Had we been aware of the proposed changes to the rate schedule, we would not have made such a large investment into solar panels. Idaho Power's published intentions has encouraged rate payers to choose alternate sources of energy and now proposes punishing us for doing so. We are requesting to be grandfathered with our current rate structure remaining in place. Please exempt us from any changes that would penalize us.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Peggyjo617@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:05 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Peggy Wilhelm

Name: Peggy Wilhelm
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Peggyjo617@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083812711
Address: 219 North Mobley Drive
Boise ID, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: I have two comments.

1- My husband and I purchased a solar array an understanding of how net metering would work for us. We made all our decisions based on that information. To change the rules now punishes us for being proactive about creating clean energy for ourselves and our energy.

2- We have an electric car which we charge from our solar array. It seems to me that PUC should be incentivizing anything that will help our climate and disincentize behaviors that are negative for our climate. A change in net metering policy would work against incentivizing what are clearly the right behaviors for our environment and for the future.

Thank you!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Kathryn.anderson1965@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:07 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Kathryn Anderson

Name: Kathryn Anderson
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Kathryn.anderson1965@gmail.com
Telephone: 5092027037
Address: 11155 N Falkirk Street
Hayden Idaho, 83835

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: Keep fair regulations for solar users. Do not cut incentives by 50% like is being proposed. We need Idaho solar to help protect Idahoans and stop climate change.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: soleilseattle@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:24 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michelle Reagan

Name: Michelle Reagan
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: soleilseattle@gmail.com
Telephone: 2086303762
Address:
 McCall Idaho, 83638

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am writing to encourage you to force Idaho Power to allow existing customers to stay on the existing net metering program that was in place. It is unfair to existing customers who have made an investment in good faith to suddenly have the terms changed on them - costing individual families thousands of dollars.

I am one of many who have already made an investment in local clean energy expecting a fair deal. We hope you will ensure Idaho Power keeps up their end of the bargain.

Thank you,
Michelle Reagan

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Elizabeth_P_Jensen@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:30 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Elizabeth Jensen

Name: Elizabeth Jensen
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Elizabeth_P_Jensen@hotmail.com
Telephone: 2034341116
Address: 319 N Forest Dr, 157
Coeur d Alene ID, 83814

Name of Utility Company: avista

Comment: i support a move away from marginal cost payments for solar energy put back to the grid. It isnt right in my view that all customers must pay for capital improvements made by a few, especially considering the massive state and federal subsidies the owners already received. Enough is enough. Please dont burden the rest of the ratepayers for decisions and investments others made to install capital improvements on their homes or businesses. They will still enjoy a substantial benefit selling to the utility company at average avoided costs.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: darrenblagburn93@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:32 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Darren Blagburn

Name: Darren Blagburn
Case Number: Solar Energy Docket IPC-E-18-15
Email: darrenblagburn93@gmail.com
Telephone: 2084123485
Address: 10743 W. Sandpiper St.
Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: To Commissioners Kjellander, Raper, and Anderson,

I have read through all of the documents presented by Idaho Power in their argument to the Public Utility Commission to change the costs imposed on solar users (Solar Energy Docket IPC-E-18-15), and it concerns my family that we will be penalized for making a choice to make a sizable investment in our children's and grandchildren's future to reduce our carbon footprint. While I see you have already sided with Idaho Power to increase the costs to future solar users, I ask that you understand the whole picture from the perspective of their customers and protect those who are already invested.

To be upfront, we took out a 10-year loan for \$42,089 at 2.99%, so the total cost to my family for generating solar power will be \$32,532. I could have paid Idaho Power for another 18.5 years before reaching the sum of my electrical bills over that time (using current rates). We don't profit financially from owning solar.

We aren't rich and powerful or an out-of-state transplant who brought with them cash from a house sale. As a matter of fact, I used to work for Paul about 30-years-ago at BSU Radio. We are an Idaho middle-class family with four children. We don't live in a mansion; we live on the bench in a tri-level. The solar investment isn't a luxury item or a new car. We work to make a living like most others who choose to quietly make a difference, and when we made the investment, it was a way to reduce our family's impact on climate change in Idaho.

The facts that we had at the time when we decided to invest in solar included: about 48% of Idaho Power's energy was generated by non-green means of production. Idaho Power's revenue in 2018 was approximately \$1.4 billion. Our production would equate to only what I use in a year. It wasn't until after I made the commitment that Idaho Power's strategic goal was announced to adjust their means of production, but even so, it will take at least another 25 years for them to achieve that goal. Our system was already installed.

Idaho Power currently has a solar program in place, and I bought my system knowing what to expect. Now, they want to change that program. I can cancel my subscription if Netflix wants to increase my rates by 50% or switch my cell carrier if they have unfair practices. That doesn't work with a power monopoly. The PUC should uphold Idaho Power's original agreement that was set up in good faith.

Thank you for considering keeping the existing solar agreement in place for current customers. I ask that you take into account the facts above because I know that my Boise neighbors are feeling the same level of concern and frustration. We should not be penalized for doing the right thing.

Regards,

//s//

Darren Blagburn

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: nick.airvantage@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:35 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Nicholas Sanders

Name: Nicholas Sanders
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: nick.airvantage@gmail.com
Telephone: 4357704762
Address: 35 Scriverwoods RD
Garden Valley Idaho, 83622

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am deeply concerned about the possible change in net metering of 1:1. I invested in solar partially because this benefit and too loose it would greatly impact the cost and return on investment of solar. If this practice would negatively impact not only the industry but the customers of solar and their ability to afford it. If this were to become a new practice - it should only affect new customers so they can make a decision if solar is right for them before investing. To impact customers that have already invested isn't fair because they can exactly opt-out at this point.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jaysongeisler@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 2:43 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: JAYSON GEISLER

Name: JAYSON GEISLER
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jaysongeisler@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083907196
Address: 3005 SHAWNIE PL
POCATELLO ID, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Customers who have paid for a solar system and are trying to help their community and environment should not be punished by cutting the value of their system in half. Many people who purchased the solar systems have financed it using the payment for the system as a replacement for the power bill. With the purposed kWh evaluation that would put a hardship financially on solar users that they would have to pay their financed bill as well as an electric bill. The power we generate should be valued the same as the power we are receiving.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: cecwright@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:09 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Cecil Wright

Name: Cecil Wright

Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*

Email: cecwright@gmail.com

Telephone: 2087333020

Address: 624 Polk St

Twin Falls ID, 83301

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I just spent \$45,000 for solar panels to help the environment and to freeze future power cost increases. Idaho Power was all friendly with me installing my solar system, but 3 months later, have now decided to penalize all of us who spent our good money on solar. Before my installation, Idaho Power was all for my solar installation. It's all about them making money and they don't now like us not totally being attached to their company power wise.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: 2annchris@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:29 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ann Christensen

Name: Ann Christensen
Case Number: ~~IPC-E-18-15~~ *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: 2annchris@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-720-8183
Address:
Sun Valley Idaho, 83353

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Dear Idaho Power,

I am a current solar customer having installed my system in 2017 under the tariff rules at that time. This proposed change is unfair and goes against our constitutional protections of not retroactively changing rules. For future customers this will make it much more difficult for them to add solar to their homes and businesses; solar is a critical strategy both to make our grid more resilient and create good jobs in our state. This will also hurt Idaho Power because people will get batteries and leave the grid. Idaho Power must be a partner to customers to build the grid of the future, not hold onto old ways, undermining its future. This is a grave error. I urge you to put in place an arrangement that is fair to all, customers, Idaho Power, and solar owners.

Thank you,
Ann Christensen

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: blanchardtw@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:45 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Terrell Blanchard

Name: Terrell Blanchard
Case Number: *EPC-E18-15*
Email: blanchardtw@gmail.com
Telephone: 9013717009
Address: 1399 Winchester Drive
Pocatello ID, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I strongly oppose Idaho Power Company's move to decrease the rate at which they will "credit" me for electricity that my rooftop solar array produces in excess of my usage. I invested over \$37,000 dollars (for which I am still repaying a loan) last year for purchase and installation of rooftop solar. I did this in good faith after calculating how long it will take for me to recoup my investment. If they are allowed to now change the rate, it increases my financial burden. But on a broader level, why in the world should public utilities do anything to DECREASE the incentive for residents to invest in clean energy?? If anything, you should be ENCOURAGING that, not approving something that will tend to discourage it. Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: info@homebrewstuff.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:50 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Marcus Bezuhly

Name: Marcus Bezuhly
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: info@homebrewstuff.com
Telephone: 541-840-1127
Address: 10620 W State St.
Star ID, 83669

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Hello, I have a solar array and I would like to express my desire to be grandfathered into 1:1 net metering.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kahlebecker@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:57 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Kahle Becker

Name: Kahle Becker
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kahlebecker@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083400231
Address: 12900 North Schicks Ridge
Boise ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have a small solar installation on my home. It is not a complete offset at this time. I may chose to enlarge it depending on how this matter is resolved.

However, I think we should be doing everything in our power to combat climate change. Making decisions so wealthy investors in power companies can continue to stay wealthy is shortsighted and amounts to state sponsored corporate welfare. Idaho is at risk of desertification if climate change models are correct. This will impact agriculture and hydro production. The IPUC should consider other impacts to Idaho's long term energy supplies and economy beyond what Idaho Power's current shareholders demand. I purchased my solar project in reliance on being paid fair value for the power I produced. Anything less than market value approved by the PUC amounts to a taking of my property. Stand up for small businesses and individual liberties. Stand up for science. Our kids and grand kids will not look kindly upon us if we leave them a planet which is inhospitable.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: chelsea@chelseahough.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:57 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: chelsea hough

Name: chelsea hough

Case Number: ~~na~~ *IPC-E-18-15*

Email: chelsea@chelseahough.com

Telephone: 2089211309

Address: 1520 W. Garfield

Boise ID, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Reducing the credit to solar owners is not a good idea for the future economy of Idaho or for the environment as a whole. This is a bad policy, solar owners should receive fair credit for the energy produced.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: muffydavis@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 11:59 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Marianna Davis

Name: Marianna Davis
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: muffydavis@hotmail.com
Telephone: 8017924307
Address: 711 Buttercup Rd
Hailey ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I would like to urge Idaho Public Utilities Commission to allow families and small businesses who invested in rooftop solar to stay in the existing net metering program. It is not fair to change the program for those who have already invested in solar.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: senatorhayes@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 12:01 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: John Hayes

Name: John Hayes

Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*

Email: senatorhayes@gmail.com

Telephone:

Address:

Ketchum Idaho, 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: 'Idaho Power is making moves to decrease the amount solar customers are compensated by about 50% over the next eight years.' Please don't allow them to do this and in turn take away the incentives that will keep our state clean and safe for generations to come. Do the right thing!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: taylor.e.neff@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 9:16 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Taylor Neff

Name: Taylor Neff
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: taylor.e.neff@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 104 S. Cove Ln
Haley ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a house owner that installed solar panels in 2018, I wanted to express my disapproval of the proposed new "net metering" program that will lower the credit for excess electricity generated by the residential system. I decided to purchase solar panels as an investment toward renewable energy based on the stated credit given for generated electricity by Idaho Power. To change the credit rate for house owners that have already installed and paid for their solar panel and net metering system is unjust and "discriminatory". The PUC has said that they can "assure Idaho Power's customers that discriminatory rates will not follow from the outcome of this case." If the new rates go into effect over 8 years, then the PUC will not be upholding their pledge. In addition, residential and commercial owners who made the commitment to renewable energy should not be punished retroactively as they attempt to make their contribution in the state of Idaho to a better environment that everyone benefits from, including future generations.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jmontbell@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:01 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Johanna Bell

Name: Johanna Bell
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jmontbell@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am writing to state my opposition to the terms contained in the October 17, 2019 Settlement Agreement. As a resident of Idaho, it is my hope that the Commission continues to diligently support a broad range of investment sources for solar power production in Idaho. As an Idaho Power customer, I respectfully request the final Settlement Agreement reflect the following:

1. The Schedule 6 net metering to be based on annual consumption and generation; not the hourly net metering framework as proposed.

Rationale: Idaho Power's customers usage peaks during the summer months, when on-site solar generation also peaks. The timing of on-site solar generation in Idaho provides a higher value to all customers when compared to other renewable energy resources. The value of summer generation, including the positive impact to transmission investments and offsetting the need for future energy supplies, should be carefully considered prior to either adopting a net-hourly export rate or placing a value on this rate. I suggest a better approach for Schedule 6 customers would be to retain the net-annual metering and develop a more comprehensive estimate of the export energy rate to be assessed at the end of each year. This would balance many of the concerns regarding free-ridership that underlies these issues.

2. Add half of the annual value to Idaho Power for the Renewable Energy Credits from residential on-site generation (Schedule 6) to the total value of the annual generation.

Rationale: On-site generation customers should get some of what they have paid for.

If the Commission decides to move forward with an hourly net metering valuation for on-site generation, I believe that sufficient economic incentives should be preserved over the long term for both existing and new Schedule 6 on-site generation customers in order to take advantage of this unique source of solar investment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: marilynzero3@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:04 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: marilyn spaulding

Name: marilyn spaulding
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15.
Email: marilynzero3@gmail.com
Telephone: 2085208168
Address: 6381 E Ririe Hwy
Idaho Falls ID, 83401

Name of Utility Company: rocky mt power

Comment: I am not on Idaho Power... but if they cut the rates to customers who have solar systems It would probably trickle down to the other utilities.... which would do the same ... When we invest in an energy program ... such as solar... it is to save any money we can... You cut the rates of the Kw that we produce would mean we would be producing power for you free... that's not fair... People who spend thousands of dollars to get a solar system up and running and which would take years to see any savings... after paying for their system... shouldn't have to share it with a utility company or anyone else . Please don't do this !

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kiefertown1@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:04 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: LeAnne Town

Name: LeAnne Town
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kiefertown1@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087245788
Address: 2405 N 33rd
Boise ID, 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are net-metering solar customers, having installed our system September of 2017. We hope that you will consider us 'grandfathered in' if changes are imposed. Our hopes were to invest in clean energy and reduce the burden of our consumption.

We will look forward to upcoming hearings on this matter.

Thank you,
LeAnne Town & Fritz Kiefer

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kiefertown1@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:04 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: LeAnne Town

Name: LeAnne Town
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kiefertown1@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087245788
Address: 2405 N 33rd
Boise ID, 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are net-metering solar customers, having installed our system September of 2017. We hope that you will consider us 'grandfathered in' if changes are imposed. Our hopes were to invest in clean energy and reduce the burden of our consumption.

We will look forward to upcoming hearings on this matter.

Thank you,
LeAnne Town & Fritz Kiefer

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: wright86scott@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:07 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Scott Wright

Name: Scott Wright
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: wright86scott@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Rexburg Idaho, 83442

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The credit ratio change from Idaho Power will directly affect my Job Status, because we are a smaller Solar Panel company. I am a college student who needs this job to make money and to get me through school. I ask that we not proceed with this change.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: anna.vines@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:11 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Anna and James vines

Name: Anna and James vines
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: anna.vines@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083168884
Address: 2791 whispering pine dr
Twin falls Id, 83301

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: Change of net metering policy. 1/1 policy was substantial in decision to install solar panels. Hope initial agreement is upheld.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: majestickingdom@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:11 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: charlynn Cunningahm

Name: charlynn Cunningahm
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: majestickingdom@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 1484 cottage ave
pocatello ID, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: First, I want to know if these changes go through will we get more for our credit from the power we sell back? Second, I want to know if my bill will increase or decrease? If we don't get more and if our bill changes in going up, then everything should stay the same as per the current contract. Those of us who installed solar panels work hard to try and make our lives better and reduce our bills. To be charged more or received less for our contribution is a breach of contract to which we signed up under. I personally am looking into changing how my extra power is used. I am looking into batteries to store the extra power to go completely off the grid.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: meorgeg@juno.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 6:13 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: George Michel

Name: George Michel
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: meorgeg@juno.com
Telephone: 2083772946
Address: 10649 W. Hinsdale St.
Boise ID, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.

Comment: I am opposed to the proposed change(s) by Idaho Power Co. to net metering as it currently exists. Specifically I do not support changing the current Residential On-Site Generation Schedule 6. In September 2018 I had solar power installed on our home at considerable expense. I do not expect to recoup my investment in my life-time. However, I do believe I am doing my part to reduce harmful emissions to our planet and to preserve our quality of life. In advertisements I receive from Idaho Power in my monthly bill the company claims to support renewable energy. This is exactly what I am doing. In attempting to penalize me for doing the right thing I find Idaho Power to be hypocritical as well as unfair. I support the Idaho Public Utilities Commission to act with fairness and integrity in making a decision not to change the current net metering rate under Schedule 6. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: craigbunten65@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 7:06 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: craig bunten

Name: craig bunten
Case Number: *IPO-E-18-15*
Email: craigbunten65@gmail.com
Telephone: 2084200987
Address: 649 old farm road
buhl idaho, 83316

Name of Utility Company: idaho power

Comment: please leave it alone it's unprofessional to change the rules on an already agreed contract. it's sometimes called welching. say suppose i didn't want to pay my bill because i decided to change the rules midstream, rrrright. wouldn't go to well.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Tracyhouse@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 7:48 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Robert Tracy

Name: Robert Tracy
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Tracyhouse@gmail.com
Telephone: (208) 867-2843
Address: 2786 N Lakeharbor Ln
Boise ID, 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am writing to beg that you grandfather us with net metering as it has been and do not adversely affect us by changes to us. We put \$50,000 into solar systems at our places in both Boise and Cascade, and made those investments because of the net metering that has been provided by Idaho Power. We would not have Made these investments had net metering not been available or much less value than what has been available. And the value of our investment will be lost or greatly diminished with adverse changes. It's is not fair or right that we made the investments with an understanding of net metering that would continue and then have it changed as has been proposed.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: argraham4@GMAIL.COM
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 8:23 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Andrea Graham

Name: Andrea Graham
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: argraham4@GMAIL.COM
Telephone: 7027044778
Address: 460 Arrowhead Path
Twin Falls ID, 83301

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: In good faith, my husband and I purchased our Solar system with the belief that we had the backing of Idaho Power, The State of Idaho as well as the Federal Government since all entities participated in "rewarding" our desire to minimize our carbon footprint. I do not understand how penalizing us for doing good by environment, is not only fair and just but just right!

The financial implication of these changes would be detrimental to us and many retirees, whom I am affiliated and would be burdened by if these changes are adopted for existing customers.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: bryan_bow@msn.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 8:35 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Bryan Bow

Name: Bryan Bow
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: bryan_bow@msn.com
Telephone: 2084478531
Address: 11738 CABIN CREEK ST
CALDWELL ID, 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: As a homeowner with rooftop solar on my home I find it valuable for helping produce energy for my home and provide the excessive energy back to idaho power. I wish IPUC would mandate solar on new construction and buildings.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: roysharon.ledesma@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 9:12 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Sharon and Roy Ledesma

Name: Sharon and Roy Ledesma
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: roysharon.ledesma@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089543380
Address: 1701 N 7th Street
Boise Idaho, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I made the decision to put solar panels on my house based on a value for dollar assessment using a one for one kWh compensation from my power company. If the buy-back rate had been lower, as the PUC is now suggesting, I would have made a different decision about investing in solar panels. It is only fair to keep my rate the same thus grandfathering me based on the rate I used to make my decision

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: grizdog@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 5:08 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Alexander Feldman

Name: Alexander Feldman
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: grizdog@gmail.com
Telephone: 2085054479
Address: 686 North Morningside Way
Boise ID, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have a lot of problems with the new rate structure, but I will only mention two of them here:

1. This is another example of moving from rate case to rate case, without an overall plan. What we need is a smart grid, so we could be compensated at a fair wholesale rate for power we sell back to the utility. Just like Idaho Power offers time of day pricing for purchase of power from them, it should compensate us depending on when and under what conditions we sell power to them. This is a huge topic, one I can't hope to cover here, but some movement in that direction should be part of every rate case.
2. In the absence of a smart grid, moving from monthly to hourly computation is a terrible idea. Right now, I do my laundry off-peak, just to be a good citizen. Since I am a net-meter customer, I don't get time of day pricing, it just seems like the responsible thing to do. With hourly pricing, it will cost me money, since it would be cheaper to do it when the sun is out and I could make my own electricity, rather than sell that electricity wholesale and by the early morning electricity retail. Daily pricing would be a reasonable compromise between monthly and hourly, and allow me to continue to be a good citizen and not cost me anything.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: vanturney@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 6:18 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Van Turney

Name: Van Turney
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: vanturney@gmail.com
Telephone: 619-456-5967
Address: 16939 N. Darmady Loop
Nampa ID, 83687

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Hello,

Two years ago we installed 20 rooftop panels for the amount of \$26,000 This is approximately a 15 year investment to break even, I would have never done this knowing that the rules can change so that the break even is even longer. We thought we were doing a good thing by going solar. Good for the environment and good in the long term for us financially. Please keep the rules the same for people that have already been using rooftop solar. Thanks

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: d.rdrainagesystems@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 7:13 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Daniel Flynn

Name: Daniel Flynn
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: d.rdrainagesystems@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 2101 W Lowry St
Meridian Idaho, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power is proposing to change the program for exiting solar customers. I paid \$28,000.00 to have solar put on my roof for a couple reasons. One is helping the environment, and the other is I'm getting ready to retire and I didn't want to worry about the high cost of utilities along with all the other costs of owning a home in my golden years. It not right to allow Idaho Power to make changes on existing customers who already paid for Solar and paid for it with the agreement that is in place now!!! Thank You For Your Concideration!!!! Daniel J Flynn

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: fastfeet501@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 7:56 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Gregory Cunningham

Name: Gregory Cunningham
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: fastfeet501@gmail.com
Telephone: 2084212519
Address: 3573a east 4000 north
Kimberly Idaho, 83341

Name of Utility Company: idaho power

Comment: I have invested in a solar power grid. i have spent a lot of my savings with the idea in mind that it would offset the cost of my power bill for my retirement years. i am not happy that Idaho power wants to collect all the power generated from my panels that they have had no cost in installing and no cost in the maintenance of my grid then sell me back power at less then half of what they are charging me for my power bill.

i would of not invested all of my savings if i had know that the greedy utility company wanted me to give them my power and not get reimbursed at the rate that Idaho power agreed to when i bought my grid. Idaho Power should stay with the agreement that i had with them when i paid for solar project!

i also feel that this new agreement will kill any future solar projects from consumers from going in.
Is that what Idaho wants in the future when we are trying to get away from NON-clean energy?

Greg Cunningham

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jw5227ok@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2019 8:19 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jimmy Schmidt

Name: Jimmy Schmidt
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jw5227ok@msn.com
Telephone: 208-244-8899
Address: 5227 Stuart Ave.
Chubbuck Idaho, 83202

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: We installed Solar Panels on our house in Spring of 2019. We are retired and now on a fixed income. We installed these to eventually help us with our utility bills. We had an initial outlay of 30,000 dollars and planned to eventually pay off our system to start benefitting from our investment. Idaho power is wanting me to use mine and others already spent dollars to profit unfairly while touting a green energy plan. Solar systems generate power which is used by neighbors which isn't using much of the grid. This proposal is unfair and i ask that you rule to keep the rates as they are for net metering of solar panels. At the least, if you rule to change the rates then existing systems should be grandfathered in so that we wont be unfairly impacted. Those building systems in the future can decide what to do based on the new plan. Thank you

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Krafty58@cableone.net
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2019 3:26 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: David Ruesch

Name: David Ruesch
Case Number: *IPC-E-18-15*
Email: Krafty58@cableone.net
Telephone: 208-358-7590
Address: 1099 Sparks Street North
Twin Falls ID, 83301

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Powet

Comment: This is concerning the letter dated October 23, 2019 as far as the compensation structure for residential customers with on-site generation. I have solar panels on my home. When we put the solar panels on our home we were told we would be getting a certain amount credited. And now it seems like according to the letter you want to cut that more in half. We spent an off a lot of money on our solar panels for the use of not having to use so much electricity. And now you want to penalize us? We do not Think that is fair to those of us that already have been using our solar panels for the last couple years. If you want to enter into a new agreement with people who have not had solar as of yet and are thinking about it that would be a discussion to enter into with them but those of us that I already have it with the understanding of what we do when we signed up for it should not be penalized four you guys changing your minds at this Date. We put the expense into solar panels for the express interest knowing that we are coming up on retirement years and didn't want to have to deal with the fluctuation of the monthly electric bill. we put the amount of solar panels on our home that would account for the utilities and the power that we use. And now it seems like we will either have to add more solar panels or pay more for our electricity which we do not think is fair or just. Please reach out to me so I can talk to you more on the phone about my concerns thank you for your time.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42