

Diane Holt

From: todd_hatfield@msn.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2019 5:52 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Todd Hatfield

Name: Todd Hatfield
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: todd_hatfield@msn.com
Telephone: 2088509686
Address: 11405 W Hickory Nut St
Boise ID, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am writing primarily to share my opinion to the IPUC and Idaho Power regarding fair treatment of existing Schedule 6 home solar power generators. At a minimum, the IPUC and Idaho Power should grandfather existing customers for several more years before instituting the rate change schedule to allow the early adopters of solar to reap the expected financial benefits. Let me offer my rationale.

My first argument is based on my wife and I being "pragmatic environmentalists". Our decision to invest in solar generation was a balanced perspective between doing the right thing for the environment by generating renewable power AND making a financial investment decision with a reasonable break-even period. As a rough estimate, that financial break even period was roughly 10 years which we determined was reasonable to invest in our solar power system leveraging the net metering schedule from Idaho, favorable panel pricing driven by the Chinese engaged in "panel dumping" (proven by our Federal Government) and the tax credit from the Federal Government. If the IPUC approves Idaho Power's new rate plan request, even with the proposed eight year phase-in, the financial break even period of our investment will increase dramatically. Probably it will jump to 15+ years. I can definitely tell IPUC and Idaho Power that we would NOT have invested in solar power generation if that was the outlook at the time of our decision. For any new potential solar generating customers, this break-even period issue will become much more of a factor, especially in light of Idaho Power lowering power costs in the last two years which probably (speculation on my part) is driven entirely by an increased percentage of cheap power purchased from other states. If approved, this change will certainly have a very negative impact on the solar industry in Idaho.

My second argument has to do with the benefits to our local power grid of having solar net metering customers. During the summer, I participate in the A/C Cool Credits program with Idaho Power. Clearly, this program is intended to throttle back power usage during the highest demand periods which also happens to be peak solar generation time. Idaho Power offers a \$5 monthly summer credit which clearly is an incentive to help delay or offset the investments in infrastructure to cover peak power demands. Does a net metered solar system not provide that same benefit for at least 8 months of a year? Why should solar generators pay our "fair share" of the infrastructure costs of the power grid when we should be considered a natural buffer against peak demand AND have demonstrated real investments to help all Idaho Power customers?

My third argument is more philosophical and forward looking. When we moved to Idaho in late 1990, I seem to recall that the vast majority (if not all) of the power consumed in Idaho was generated by renewal sources, especially hydroelectric. This was impressive given the extensive coal trains I used to witness in Colorado and the strip mining I have seen in the Eastern states. With Idaho's hyper population growth leading to increases in power demand, it seems that hydro electric + geothermal renewable power will become a lower percentage of the total. Idaho has an opportunity to encourage, not discourage, the adoption of solar and wind power as alternatives for those willing to make the investment. I get that Idaho Power and many Idaho residents feel that net metering solar customers are not

paying their fair share of the infrastructure costs to deliver power to homes. But subsidizing and encourage adoption of the rather small percentage of net metering generation will not have a material impact on the infrastructure costs of Idaho Power especially given the high influx of new residents. Is the State of Idaho really intending to make a long-term bet by relying on "cheap and dirty" coal based power purchased from Wyoming? The folks at Idaho Power and IPUC may not subscribe to global climate change but it seems prudent and rationale to have a longer range power generation and conservation plan based more on renewable energy and less on fossil fuels.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Todd Hatfield

11.4 kWatt solar net-metering system installed provisioned Jan 2018 & Idaho Power customer

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: inrivers@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2019 9:08 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Scott Maclean

Name: Scott Maclean
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: inrivers@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
 Kimberly ID, 83341

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Re: Idaho Power Solar customer changes

I strongly object to the proposed changes by Idaho Power against those of us who produce power from solar panels to cover our own electrical consumption. These changes are not what I agreed to when I made my investment and planned for my wife and I's future. The power we produce adds to the electrical grid beyond what we consume and we are not reimbursed by Idaho Power but this is what we agreed to through the net metering program. I believe Idaho Power should be providing greater incentives for solar energy production by homeowners rather than trying to deincentivize. Our great country needs more energy production and conservation of energy to propel us into the future! I urge you to oppose these changes. Thank you

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: bjohns90@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2019 5:56 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Brian Johns

Name: Brian Johns
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: bjohns90@gmail.com
Telephone: 2084214880
Address: 3607 E. 3870 N.
Kimberly ID, 83341

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Our family installed a solar system on our home this year. We had contemplated this for a long time and finally decided to make this significant investment. When we learned recently of the pending settlement with Idaho Power and the IPUC, we were horrified. If approved as proposed, then with the swipe of a pen, our substantial investment will immediately become a giant financial albatross to our family.

The proposed net metering changes seem the most significant; we would obviously prefer to maintain monthly metering. The proposed credit rate changes are also troubling to us.

The main principle, though, is this: We passionately urge you to consider the circumstances of existing solar customers like our family. Even Idaho Power says that solar is an expected 15-18 year payback. If changes are felt to be needed, then why not let existing clients like us have that period of time to recoup our investment? We made this investment under the rules in place at the time. To change the rules on us so abruptly would be patently unjust.

Thank you for your consideration.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Outbackrumps@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 10:21 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: John Rumps

Name: John Rumps
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Outbackrumps@hotmail.com
Telephone: 12083929409
Address: 45 Highland Rd
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power advertises that they are a major supporter of sustainable energy with a corporate goal of "100% renewable by 2045". They urge all their customers to "invest in a green energy future" by paying an extra penny per kilowatt hour for a 50/50 blend of renewable solar and wind energy. Customer participation in this "Green Energy Program" also supports Solar 4R Schools which they say is a "great way to teach the next generation about sustainable energy."

Existing net-metering benefits were a primary consideration for us when we made a substantial investment in 42 roof-top solar panels on our home in 2016. For Idaho Power to now reduce those benefits to us, and their many other solar-invested customers, violates our basic trust in this public utility. And it would constitute a major disincentive for future investment in solar energy while Idaho Power professes a strong and appropriate desire to achieve just the opposite.

Please do what is best for Idaho's energy future and our environment, and deny Idaho Power's request to reduce our net-metering benefits.

Thanks for your consideration.

Respectfully,

John Rumps & Barbara Bergan

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: michael.ogas@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 12:05 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael Ogas

Name: Michael Ogas
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15
Email: michael.ogas@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087245975
Address: 9923 W Holandale Dr
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho families and small businesses shouldn't have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment.

The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

The PUC promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: reevp@slhs.org
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 12:14 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Paul Reeve

Name: Paul Reeve
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: reevp@slhs.org
Telephone: 8013805041
Address: 2168 Candleridge Dr
Twin Falls ID, 83301

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am opposed to the settlement agreement for One-site power generation aka solar power in terms of the 8 year plan to decrease the compensation for the Export Credit Rate. The change looks to decrease the credit rate to only 50% value. While I understand there is cost to Idaho Power to maintain the infrastructure this is not grounds to decrease the credit rate by 50%. Such a change would slow adoption of on-site solar power generation by decreasing potential cost savings or requiring larger systems to be put in to generate additional power during the daylight hours to offset the unfavorable exchange rate for power that is consumed after hours. Exported on-site power has an added benefit to Idaho Power as the energy produced does not have to travel long distances to get to a user, thus very little is lost to electrical resistance and waste. Exported power helps decrease the need for Idaho Power to purchase additional power from outside sources. I recognize that the current 1:1 export credit rate may not be appropriate either and is unfavorable to Idaho Power as this requires Idaho Power to "buy" power back from customers at Idaho Power's marked up price. Perhaps an agreement could be made in that On-site generators "trade" power credits in a 1:1 ratio at the production price and not the consumer price. This could be view more as a collaborative effort to enhance clean energy to Idahoans.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: tusharverma@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 2:06 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Tushar Verma

Name: Tushar Verma
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: tusharverma@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise ID, 83705

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: 1. Idaho Power, cannot be selling power at a higher rate and buying at a much lower rate to and from it's customers.

2. The change from Monthly to Hourly use of solar power is simply unreasonable as the solar pv customers are going to net supply power at peak demand and net use power at lowest demand hours. If anything, they should be compensated at peak demand rates if they supply power to the grid at those times.

3. Already Idaho power doesn't pay any cash to its solar pv customers. it only allows them future credits (without interest) to use any extra power supplied to the grid in future. So the impact of such customers is either zero or is net positive on the grid overall as they reduce peak power load and reduce costs overall.

4. Idaho Power policies stated in #2 and #3 above will be a double whammy on the local Solar PV and will virtually end any future installations of home based Solar PV systems.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: laurie_kuntz@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 1:39 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Laurie Bentley

Name: Laurie Bentley
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: laurie_kuntz@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 5742 N Black Sand Ave
Meridian ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a homeowner who installed solar panels on my home at a personal cost of over \$45000, I strongly oppose Idaho Power's attempts to change the rates at which net metering customers are charged. There is a benefit to Idaho Power in homeowners and businesses investing in solar technology because it will prevent Idaho Power from having to build new power plants in the future to keep up with ever-increasing demand, and will help Idaho Power achieve its goal of providing 100% clean energy by 2045. Those of us consumers who are and who will be investing in sustainable energy infrastructure should not be penalized. With Idaho Power being a monopoly in Idaho, we must protect consumers from abuses of power from companies who seem to only care about profit. I understand the need to have a viable business model even with a public utility company, but the proposed net metering program would mean that Idaho Power would then be stealing electricity from homeowners who are trying to make Idaho a better place, and selling it to non-net-metered consumers at a ridiculously high markup. We need to be encouraging more solar installations in order to reduce greenhouse gases rather than impeding solar with higher and higher costs. Eventually the ROI for consumers and solar businesses will be so small that it will kill the industry altogether. Please reject any more changes to net metering rates for previous and future net metering customers. Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dan_staples@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 1:05 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Daniel Staples

Name: Daniel Staples
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: dan_staples@msn.com
Telephone: 2084846444
Address: 1713 Sunset Drive
Emmett ID, 83617

Name of Utility Company: 1956
Comment: Dear PUC Board

I am submitting my comments concerning the letter that I received recently from Idaho Power concerning the changes to the Solar Rules and Regulations pertaining the the new Idaho Power Net Metering rules. I don't mean to be rude, but what they are proposing seem utterly ridiculous to me. I put 52 Solar Panels on my roof just a little over a year ago. Idaho Power States that the return on investment for Solar is about 15 to 18 years. Based on the Net Metering rules that IDAHO POWER told me when I installed Solar, I went ahead and made a \$60,000 investment in Solar. Why? Because it is Green. The Federal Government and Idaho, and Idaho Power all say that Solar Power is Good. Save the Environment they say. I also did it because over the long run (25 - 35 years) it will save me money. With the new Idaho Power Proposed rules changing the net metering from \$1.00 for a \$1.00 to the new changes of .04 cents that they will pay me for my Solar I am Now Officially Screwed by the very people that told me that Solar Power was Good. I made a BIG investment in Solar. I am not a rich man. On the contrary, my wife and I are barely getting by, but I borrowed money to do Solar because I thought it was a wise investment. Idaho Power is NOW trying to completely ruin my investment. I hope you stop their Garbage from happening. For them to pay us 4 cents for our power is ridiculous. At least allow the people that already did Solar to get GRANDFATHERED into the OLD RULES so we don't get screwed so bad. If you allow them to trade 4 cents for power instead of trading Kwh for Kwh that will Absolutely ruin any Solar Sales in the Future, but Please don't allow the big Powerful Monopoly Idaho Power to screw over the Solar Customers they already have in the system. Solar is good. But it will die if you let Idaho Power do what they are asking to do. Thank you, Dan Staples 208-484-6444

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: rkfuller47@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 3:30 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ronald & Cheryl Fuller

Name: Ronald & Cheryl Fuller
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: rkfuller47@gmail.com
Telephone: 541-977-5025
Address: 3896 S Lamone Way
Meridian ID, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Many years ago, while living in Oregon, my wife and I used solar panels to create hot water for our home and saw the benefits of using the sun to generate power. When we were told by Idaho Power representatives that Solar Panels were now approved for electricity production we were sold. We had to take \$30,000 out of our retirement fund to make it happen but we felt a civic duty to do our part. We were assured that the PUC and Idaho Power would hold up their end of the bargain so our investment would be good for all. This new proposal will wipe out the investment we were told would be upheld in the future. We should be grandfathered in to this net metering program as we did nothing wrong we were just following the agreement as stated. We understand that through out the nation when a utility company changes the net metering program that the utility and PUC's allow existing customers who made a substantial investment to continue on the original plan. Keeping existing utility rates and terms that have been agreed to by all parties, like in the region, because it is ethical to do so. The PUC has promised that discriminating against existing customers would not happen and yet this is happening here in Idaho through this legislation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored. Please check your conscience and let it guide your decision.

Yours truly,

Ronald and Cheryl Fuller

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: scolthorp@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 2:52 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Sheryl Brooks

Name: Sheryl Brooks
Case Number:
Email: scolthorp@msn.com
Telephone: 2085904612
Address: 40 Tranquility Lane
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I just purchased solar power and now suddenly the rules are changing. Idaho Power should be thanking me for building a power plant they can profit from and not pay for the plant itself. Private solar saves Idaho Power from having to invest money in building new plants. If the rules change Idaho Power stands to make quite a profit off private home owners. I say the rules stay the same for all.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: balancedenergysystems@outlook.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 1:25 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: John Yatchak

Name: John Yatchak
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: balancedenergysystems@outlook.com
Telephone:
Address: 1119 S 2185 W
Aberdeen Idaho, 83210

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: I oppose the daily net metering proposal as my goal is to approach a yearly net zero point. The reduction in generation credits over time will make this much harder. If this passes, I will likely look at adding some storage and dropping the grid.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kencarp930@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 1:16 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Kenneth Carpenter

Name: Kenneth Carpenter
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kencarp930@msn.com
Telephone: 5034404404
Address:
Midvale ID, 83645

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power owerKenneth

Comment: Why is Idaho Power be changing policy that was in place when I purchased my solar panels. I should not get less for the power I produce than what you get for the power your company produces.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dan_staples@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 1:05 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Daniel Staples

Name: Daniel Staples
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: dan_staples@msn.com
Telephone: 2084846444
Address: 1713 Sunset Drive
Emmett ID, 83617

Name of Utility Company: 1956
Comment: Dear PUC Board

I am submitting my comments concerning the letter that I received recently from Idaho Power concerning the changes to the Solar Rules and Regulations pertaining the the new Idaho Power Net Metering rules. I don't mean to be rude, but what they are proposing seem utterly ridiculous to me. I put 52 Solar Panels on my roof just a little over a year ago. Idaho Power States that the return on investment for Solar is about 15 to 18 years. Based on the Net Metering rules that IDAHO POWER told me when I installed Solar, I went ahead and made a \$60,000 investment in Solar. Why? Because it is Green. The Federal Government and Idaho, and Idaho Power all say that Solar Power is Good. Save the Environment they say. I also did it because over the long run (25 - 35 years) it will save me money. With the new Idaho Power Proposed rules changing the net metering from \$1.00 for a \$1.00 to the new changes of .04 cents that they will pay me for my Solar I am Now Officially Screwed by the very people that told me that Solar Power was Good. I made a BIG investment in Solar. I am not a rich man. On the contrary, my wife and I are barely getting by, but I borrowed money to do Solar because I thought it was a wise investment. Idaho Power is NOW trying to completely ruin my investment. I hope you stop their Garbage from happening. For them to pay us 4 cents for our power is ridiculous. At least allow the people that already did Solar to get GRANDFATHERED into the OLD RULES so we don't get screwed so bad. If you allow them to trade 4 cents for power instead of trading Kwh for Kwh that will Absolutely ruin any Solar Sales in the Future, but Please don't allow the big Powerful Monopoly Idaho Power to screw over the Solar Customers they already have in the system. Solar is good. But it will die if you let Idaho Power do what they are asking to do. Thank you, Dan Staples 208-484-6444

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: trampusverstop@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 10:14 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Trampus Verstoppen

Name: Trampus Verstoppen
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15
Email: trampusverstop@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089655944
Address: 8029 upland dr
nampa idaho, 83686

Name of Utility Company: idaho power

Comment: As I idahoan I care about are state. I bought solar to help reduce pollution and to have one less utility bill once I retire. I spent \$55k on solar under agreement of rates and credits. The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fairdeal.

- Idaho families and small businesses shouldn't have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jchaddock72@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 10:36 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jim Haddock

Name: Jim Haddock
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jchaddock72@gmail.com
Telephone: 480-588-0938
Address: 1738 W. Puzzle Creek Dr.
Meridian Idaho, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am requesting that if you agree to a rate change for residential solar power generators, that you grandfather in existing users. When I went to solar power, I did it realizing that it was not a really good investment, requiring over 14 years to pay for itself. In addition, it was a significant financial burden for my wife and myself. However, we thought that it would be a good investment in our children's future in clean energy.

If the proposed rate changes were applied to us, the timeline for payoff would be drastically changed. We feel that this is quite unfair. At least future prospective solar energy users will be able to make a decision with the rate structure they built their system under, and we feel that we should be able to continue under the structure that we made our decision under.

I would also note that when Idaho Power first applied to you, they had the expectation that you would grandfather in current solar users, according to the letter we received from them.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: mtparmelee864@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 8:53 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Marty Parmelee

Name: Marty Parmelee
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: mtparmelee864@gmail.com
Telephone: 509 592 3001
Address: 4241 W High Meadow Dr
Boise Id, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: My husband and I are retired and living on a fixed income. We decided to invest in solar panels installed on our home to eliminate our monthly power bill going forward, helping us financially as the years progress. Plus we felt it the socially responsible thing to do, given a changing world climate and population growth in this part of Idaho. We made this investment with the assumption that Idaho Power Would continue to support clean energy producers such as us with on par, that is 1:1 compensation, for the power we generate. We feel very strongly that we should be grandfathered in at the current rate of compensation. It is only just and fair.

I have to say we are very disappointed Idaho Power is imposing such draconian changes to how they compensate clean energy producers, it will have wide ranging consequences for the future of residential users committing to making the investment in solar generation. Simply put, many homeowners and contractors will be put off from investing in solar as the costs will soon outweigh the perceived benefits. Idaho Power needs to change their business model somehow to stay profitable and still continue to support those of us who are progressively motivated to do the right thing, creating clean efficient energy from the sun. There is no reason that in ten to twenty years from now all rooftops in the treasure valley with good solar exposure don't have solar panels on them, and that those customers drive clean electrically powered cars (from the sun!) substantially reducing air pollution in the city and county. This model is the future of power generation in sunny, clear skyed, urban areas such as ours. Idaho Power must realize this fact and build a business model that actively supports individuals and commercial customers working towards this goal. Evolve or Die!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: judyls@cableone.net
Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2019 11:29 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dave Sommer

Name: Dave Sommer
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: judyls@cableone.net
Telephone: 208-731-2282
Address: PO Box 2311
Twin Falls ID, 83303

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We believe existing solar customers should remain under the existing program rules for at least ten years if not longer and at least for the original owner of the solar panels. We made this investment under the rules at the time and expect those rules to remain in place. We feel that homeowners should have the option to own their own power and we have made a HUGE investment in our energy future. The benefit of solar users is being undervalued in both regards to power to the system and impact on the environment. Idaho Power is promoting going green by 2045. We made that move in 2019 but with the recent letter from Idaho Power, we are quite sure our best interest is not at heart and maybe they should look within their own organization if they feel they would be losing too much money to solar. We made this decision based on Idaho Power's current urging and positive impact on the environment. We urge the PUC to NOT apply the settlement agreement to existing residential solar users. Thank you, Dave & Judy Sommer

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42