

Diane Holt

From: jodee.arnold@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 3:12 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jo Dee Arnold

Name: Jo Dee Arnold
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jodee.arnold@gmail.com
Telephone: 4403642889
Address: 13448 Lakeside Village DR
Nampa ID, 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The purpose of this letter is to request to be grandfathered in with the contract system that we have now. When our system was designed the concept was to have an annual basis of credits not to be paid by Idaho power company. The idea was to have credits that we would accumulate in the overages and use them when we didn't have sun or even at night time for energy; it was never our design concepts to be paid for our energy. We under designed our solar panel system so that we would never have an annual credit overage of energy produced.

Changing this system to an hour by hour rate would be a overall financial burden for us as it would increase our monthly cost to Idaho power and we may never recover our initial financial investment costs.

Our house is totally electric we decided to install solar panels to help mitigate long term cost. Our concept was to be more neutral in our consumption year over year. We had our system installed in March this year and I can't attest to consumption in the winter time but is my opinion that I will be still paying for electricity in the winter time to Idaho Power at the present contract rate.

The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. We have invested in a point of consumption system to help our local environment and ask the PUC to keep the current rate system that we have now.

Thank you for you consideration in this matter.

Jo Dee Arnold

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: geneauker@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 4:38 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Gene Auker

Name: Gene Auker
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: geneauker@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Nampa Idaho, 83651

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Let's suppose that my neighbor and I produce a product that we both consume as well. It would be great if my neighbor would sell his excess product in a given hour to me so that I could sell it back to him in the next hour at more than twice what I paid him for it. I could do that all day but at some point, if not immediately, my neighbor is going to quit selling me his excess and keep it for himself or sell it to someone else, particularly if I am paying him less than it cost him to produce. I hope the absurdity of this scenario is evident.

Unfortunately, I don't have the option currently to keep my excess power or to sell it to someone other than Idaho Power.

Due to shorter days and increased cloud cover I currently produce less energy than I do in spring/summer months. Based on the monthly cost of my system the current cost of production is at .19 per KWh. So, with the current proposal IP wants to pay me .044 per KWh for my excess in a given hour and then sell it back to me in the next hour for the tier 1 rate of .079. So, in reality that KWh really cost me .22 (.19-.044+.079). That is a great deal for Idaho Power! I believe I read that IP believes the settlement agreement to be fair. Is it really?

I, like others have stated, would not have incurred the cost of installing a solar system had I known that this would be proposed. If the agreement is accepted as proposed, the solar industry in Idaho will likely be diminished if not largely eliminated, which is unfortunate.

I don't know why it can't be plainly stated in the proposal but I don't have any idea what the actual cost is to IP to trade production with me. I concur that the infrastructure must be maintained and that does cost money. I am not opposed to paying my share of that, however I don't know what that is and have a hard time believing that it is at this point half the cost I pay for a KWh at tier 1. I also don't see any offer from IP to compensate me for the expense that I have incurred to produce power.

I encourage the commission to reject this proposal out right as unfair and just plain bad business.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: drfriesen10@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 3:01 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dennis Friesen

Name: Dennis Friesen
Case Number:
Email: drfriesen10@gmail.com
Telephone: 4066989087
Address: 8568 Quail Hollow Drive
Middleton ID, 83644

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: I love my Auric solar panels HOWEVER, if the power company changes the rates for surplus energy generated then I protest. The rates charged to customers should be the rates that are credited to the solar panel owners. Thanks

Dennis R. Friesen
Middleton, Idaho
406-698-9087

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: traviswjensen@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 2:25 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: TRAVIS JENSEN

Name: TRAVIS JENSEN
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: traviswjensen@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
 JEROME ID, 83338

Name of Utility Company: idaho power
Comment: When will the PUC rule on Idaho Powers motion for net metering?

Is this the appropriate forum to add my opinions about Idaho Power and net metering?

Thank you for your time....

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dean.sandra@outlook.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 11:35 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dean Michalscheck

Name: Dean Michalscheck
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: dean.sandra@outlook.com
Telephone: 208-888-7689
Address: 2410 E Springwood Dr
Meridian ID, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment:

PUC Panel Members. Following are some reasons why I feel very strongly about what is being considered for adoption in the Solar Energy Program here in Idaho.

- The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.
- Idaho families and small businesses shouldn't have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment.
- The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills.
- Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.
- When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to do so.
- Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.
- The PUC promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.
- Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

Respectfully Submitted - Dean Michalscheck

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: SJKahler.RN@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 2:45 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Sondra Kahler

Name: Sondra Kahler
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: SJKahler.RN@gmail.com
Telephone: 2088993570
Address: 604 E Maryland Ave
Nampa ID, 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Poweer
Comment: Re: Net Metering changes

I respectfully request that no changes be made to the net metering agreement for existing solar customers. Thousands of dollars have been spent to utilize clean energy and it is unfair to penalize those who are trying to improve the environment for all of us.

Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: larrybpd@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 7:36 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Larry Paulson

Name: Larry Paulson
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: larrybpd@gmail.com
Telephone: (208) 841-7701
Address: 7684 W. Remuda Drive
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: Dear Sir:

We had solar power installed several years ago with the intent of assisting Idaho Power Company in their efforts to conserve energy. I would find it extremely aggravating to have my family penalized for those conservation efforts. Its my considered opinion that those private residences that have installed solar energy should be grandfathered in as it relates to any increased rate adjustments that are proposed presently or in the future.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me through my information listed below.

Sincerely,
Larry A. Paulson
7684 W. Remuda Drive
Boise, Idahp 83709
Phone: (208) 841-7701

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: rodriguezjaime09@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 8:56 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jaime Rodriguez

Name: Jaime Rodriguez
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: rodriguezjaime09@gmail.com
Telephone: 7874646655
Address: 3005 S Terri Way
Meridian Idaho, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Auric Solar

Comment: To the Public Utilities Commission:

The proposal (Case number IPC-E-18-15) is not consonant with the WW movement promoting the use of alternate sources to generate power. The proposal penalize all of us who took the initiative to install power panels in our properties. This will not only benefit us, it will benefit Idaho future generations. Please do not approve this proposal. Thank you on behalf of all the companies and citizens who care about Idaho and USA environment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: sue99p@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:03 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: sue petersen

Name: sue petersen
Case Number: 1815
Email: sue99p@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087889647
Address: 731 northatar drive
hailey ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: hailey climate action committee
Comment: Editor:

What good news last March when Idaho Power announced that it would go to all renewable energy by 2045!

In light of that, its recent decision to change its net metering policy for rooftop solar power is hypocritical, to say the least. This change, which is slated to take effect in January of 2020, will not only penalize current owners of solar panels, but will also discourage potential investors in this clean energy.

Climate change is here and our utilities should be leading the way toward a sustainable energy future, not going backwards. I urge you to deny this change.
Sue Petersen
Hailey

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dmhara@cableone.net
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:09 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Donna Hartmans

Name: Donna Hartmans
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: dmhara@cableone.net
Telephone: 208 344 3722
Address: 2926 N Hillway Dr
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have had solar panels for over 4 years and I feel powerful generating my own electricity. I do not want the solar regulations to change. I invested my own money in this program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. It is not right to have the rules changed after we have already made our investment. The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy and are expecting a fair deal.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kwbarnes@live.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:21 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ken Barnes

Name: Ken Barnes
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kwbarnes@live.com
Telephone: 208-860-7393
Address: 2909 W. Dill Dr.
Boise Idaho, 83705

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I want to be grandfathered into 1:1 net metering for solar power production regardless of the outcome of this case. I don't believe I should get less credit for power that I put onto the grid.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: markandmaryhome@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:26 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Mark Wolfenden

Name: Mark Wolfenden
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: markandmaryhome@gmail.com
Telephone: 208 600 5431
Address: 11320 W Creekrapids Dr
Star Idaho, 83669

Name of Utility Company: IPUC

Comment: In my opinion, existing solar customers that have opted to invest, utilize the ultimate source of natural clean energy should be grandfathered in, allowed to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers. Existing solar customers have already funded and or financed systems based on current rates / ROI time frames. A Fair, commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith is the "right thing to do"

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Dane_jer@hotmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:35 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dane Michelsen

Name: Dane Michelsen
Case Number:
Email: Dane_jer@hotmail.com
Telephone: 2088711312
Address: 4000 N. Cole rd.
Boise Idaho, 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I would like my solar contract to remain the same as it is now because that's what I paid for. If it was any different I wouldn't have signed up to get them and now I'm stuck with them regardless so Idaho Power should be held to their contract.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: shellianderson08@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:26 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Shelli Johnston

Name: Shelli Johnston
Case Number: IPC-E-18-!%
Email: shellianderson08@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089211595
Address: 315 Robbins Ave W
TWIN FALLS Id, 83301-6512

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I feel the PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith. When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to. The PUC promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. our family has invested a large amount of our money in our panels and we are really fearful of the negative impact that this will have on our family's future

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jgoss159@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:33 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jackson Goss

Name: Jackson Goss
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jgoss159@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise ID, 83705

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Dear PUC:

I am a Conservative, but I am also for using the precious resources we have available, one of them being the sun.

I am writing in regards to Idaho Public Utilities Commission, case IPC-E-18-15. I am in support of solar and had 10 panels (3000 watts) installed on my house in Fall 2016 to help defray the cost of conventional electric and to harness a free resource, the sun.

Soon after I switched to partial solar, I was disappointed to learn that Idaho Power was trying to change the rate structure for solar customers. As noted, my system only produces about 25% of my homes actual energy usage, so I still buy the bulk of my power from Idaho Power. Idaho Power proposes an even higher rate for solar usage (regardless of the amount you use) to defray the cost of infrastructure, but I feel that I am being discriminated against. They agreed to a net metering commitment; and now they are trying to change the terms of the agreement against my will.

Maintaining the existing rates and terms for current solar customers is the only fair choice. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. I expect more from our appointed officials on the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and our public utility (Idaho Power). If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honoured.

Sincerely,

Jackson Goss

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: yagerd@cableone.net
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 1:13 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: David Yager

Name: David Yager
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: yagerd@cableone.net
Telephone: 208-739-1728
Address: 11NW 4th St
Ontario OR, 97914

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: I am asking to be grandfathered into 1:1 net metering.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: brentmerrick@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 4:11 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Brent Merrick

Name: Brent Merrick
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: brentmerrick@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Nampa ID, 83687

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have invested \$60,000 on a residential solar system based on incentives that were created to encourage renewable energy programs. You MUST NOT allow me to be penalized for trying to do my part in offsetting my carbon footprint, and investing in renewable energy. At the very LEAST, those of us that have made the investment should be allowed to maintain our existing utility rates like we were lead to believe would happen.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: pokyquiltlady@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 4:07 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dorothy Hill

Name: Dorothy Hill
Case Number:
Email: pokyquiltlady@gmail.com
Telephone: 2082512264
Address: 6293 E Playwright St
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power continues to try to thwart the expansion of solar power and now once again by changing their net metering program rules to favor them and not us, the customers. The PUC needs to stop them from trying to move the finish line and hurt us, the end users. Solar power needs to be expanded as a excellent renewable source of power.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jeffandemilyhansen@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 4:00 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jeffrey Hansen

Name: Jeffrey Hansen
Case Number:
Email: jeffandemilyhansen@gmail.com
Telephone: 2088705753
Address: 11949 W Hiawatha Drive
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a current customer I believe that I should continue to fall under the solar plan that Idaho Power agreed to and promoted. When I was researching the option of installing solar on my house Idaho Power promoted both on their website and in paper mailings the benefits of their solar credit program. Now a year later after I invested in solar Idaho Power is changing what they had promised. Please leave current customers under the current plan. Thanks, Jeff

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jamiecaplan@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 3:55 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jamie Caplan

Name: Jamie Caplan
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jamiecaplan@gmail.com
Telephone: 2065956337
Address: 3202 N Crane Creek Rd
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am very concerned about the proposed net metering rate changes proposed for solar customers and I am against the changes described in case number IPC-E-18-15. I installed solar panels last year that is estimated to cover 80% of our family's electric usage, including an electric vehicle. The new rules would dramatically reduce the economic value of the solar and my home since the return on investment under the old rates would still be 20+ years. The proposed new rates will cost our family thousands of dollars in lost value and offsets.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jess.dirocco@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 3:50 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jessica Dirocco

Name: Jessica Dirocco
Case Number:
Email: jess.dirocco@gmail.com
Telephone: 9095599653
Address: 8163 W. Silkwood crt
Boise Idaho, 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: I would like to have the existing solar agreement kept for current solar customer. We signed up to keep Idaho green and to find alternative power, we expect that deal to be honored.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42