

Diane Holt

From: ted.stout1@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 3:03 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ted Stout

Name: Ted Stout
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: ted.stout1@gmail.com
Telephone: 2085787877
Address: 310 First Street Picabo
Bellevue ID, 83313

Name of Utility Company: Mr.

Comment: Please support sustainable energy...don't destroy it! Idaho Power can definitely do better on this move. The state should make sure they do.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: rosemarysmith8@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 3:28 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Rosemary Smith

Name: Rosemary Smith
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: rosemarysmith8@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083392589
Address: 1734 North Elk Rod
POCATELLO ID, 83204

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Date: November 27, 2019
To: IPUC (Idaho Power Utilities Commission)
From: Rosemary Smith, Idaho Power customer
RE: Case # IPC-E-18-15

Dear Members of the IPUC,

I urge you not to change the rate structure for residential users who generate solar power.

Thousands of Idahoans have already invested in residential solar systems, purchasing their system using a financial model that allowed them to invest their own funds and then slowly pay it off through generating solar energy for Idaho Power. It is completely unfair to penalize current customers who invested in a solar system (tens of thousands of dollars!) by changing the rate structure. This is especially true for new adopters, like me, who will suffer unanticipated financial loss in the form of unfair bill increases if the rate structure changes.

Secondarily, there are many reasons why Idaho residents committed their own funds to a residential solar system. My decision in part was to help Idaho Power meet its OWN commitment to renewable energy benchmarks (100% by 2045)- and to show that there is strong customer support for this goal. I think it is essential to recognize that customers are providing renewable energy generation to the Idaho Power grid for free- Idaho Power receives the benefits of renewable energy generation without having to pay for the infrastructure or continuing maintenance of the solar panels! In return, all that the customers are requesting is net-metering (the buying and selling of electricity at the same rate) and an appropriate monthly service fee.

Thirdly, renewable energy projects in Idaho and surrounding states have increased significantly in the past decade- it's finally catching on! It is clear that Idahoan's want to invest in solar power. It will be a disaster for local businesses and will set Idaho and the region back decades if this rate change is made. The reason why is because we already do not have enough experienced solar installation companies in our region. If this rate change occurs, investment will decline and these businesses will close. Why would a business ever come back to provide this service again in Idaho?? Once again, Idaho will be losing business investment dollars and good jobs to other regions.

Finally, I am sure that you are aware that similar rate changes have been considered by other state utilities. In every case, the legislatures and Utility Commissions have made the right decision and have rejected the rate changes and kept net metering (see recent cases quoted below in Nevada, Montana, Wyoming). The Idaho Commission ought to do the same.

November 23, 2019
841 Rim View Lane E
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Case Number IPC-E-18-15

Proposed Idaho Power Changes

Dear Public Utilities Commission,

I applaud Idaho Power's decision to have 100% clean energy by 2045. For this reason, it seems that the proposed change to the net-metering program, reducing the value small solar producers receive for excess energy produced, is counterproductive. If Idaho Power wants to reach its goal of 100% clean energy, customer-generated electricity should be valued and credited at the same rate all customers pay Idaho Power for electricity. Solar customers have made significant investments toward producing local clean energy and should not be penalized by lowering the rate of compensation to one half its retail value.

Customer-generated solar systems produce more excess energy when Idaho Power needs it the most during the summer months for air conditioning and irrigation pumping. This excess energy can be efficiently used right in the neighborhood without incurring transmission energy loss and expense, a win-win situation for customers and Idaho Power.

The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. This program was created as an incentive to purchase renewable energy and to encourage investment for long-term savings. The PUC has said they can "assure Idaho Power's customers that discriminatory rates will not follow from the outcome of this case." I urge the PUC to protect families and small businesses that invested in solar and to keep their promise that discriminatory changes will not take place.

Sincerely,



Judy Ruprecht

(208)731-1870

Diane Holt

From: emskisv@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 4:19 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Emily Williams

Name: Emily Williams
Case Number: IPC- E-18-15
Email: emskisv@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Ketchum ID, 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Thousands of Idahoans have invested in solar for their residences and small businesses. They made those investments based on financial calculations that included current net-metering rates. If the Public Utilities Commission votes to approve a recent proposal from Idaho Power on net-metering, the value of those rates will decrease to half the rate current solar owners receive. To change net-metering rates now throws those families' carefully calculated investments out the window. It is unfair to punish Idahoan families for investing in their clean energy future. Allowing existing customers to stay on the current net metering program is the only fair path forward.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: northstarrrafting@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:01 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jacob Warren

Name: Jacob Warren
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: northstarrrafting@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083154036
Address: 1942 pilgrim cove
McCall Idaho , 83638

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: My family made a decision to install a solar net metering system on our property earlier this year Based on receiving a one for one credit for power produced. The proposed changes Idaho Power is suggesting will have a significant financial impact on my family and many others who have made this financial commitment. The time it will take to recuperate my costs will nearly double based on the reduced credits for excess power production and I will still have a power bill based on the reduced credits. I am trying to be responsible for my family and the environment, but at this point in time it appears Idaho Power is trying to "move the goal posts" mid game. At the very least please consider the option of grand fathering in those of us who are already financially committed to solar net metering.

Idaho Power has made a public commitment to provide clean and self sustainable energy for the people of Idaho and I am trying to do my part. These purposed change to the net metering credit system will discourage people like myself from investing in clean energy production. I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Jacob Warren

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: wuverocats@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:09 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Lori Poublon

Name: Lori Poublon
Case Number: IPC-E- 18-15
Email: wuverocats@sbcglobal.net
Telephone: 4082062940
Address: 97 E Peach Springs ct
Meridian ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I bought solar panels despite the fact that I pay more monthly for the loan than I would pay for electricity. I did this because I believe it's the responsible thing to do. It's something I can do to help save the environment. Yet now Idaho Power wants to punish me and have me pay even more for solar power by changing the contract. How is it possible that I will not receive full credit for the power I generate, but will be charged full price when I do need to buy power? Where is the incentive for people to use solar power?

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:18 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Joe Corcoran

Name: Joe Corcoran
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Telephone: 12088911104
Address: 4459 S. Danridge Ave
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We have been told by both Idaho Power and our Solar Company Blue Raven solar to contact you regarding the apples to apples pricing for the solar panels installed on our roof for the Idaho power net metering system program. It was brought to our attention that this program is being devalued and that the net metering is not going to support what they originally agreed to. We have already invested in this program and are disillusioned bystanders on this program. If this system is not being issued as an apples for apples net metering system program. Why did we let Idaho Power install this meter according to this contract condition. We are doing something good for the environment and should be rewarded (not cheated)!

Sincerely,

Joe Corcoran

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: zspain22@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:21 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Zacj Spain

Name: Zacj Spain
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15
Email: zspain22@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Pocatello Idaho, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho Power should not be allowed to make a profit on the backs of unfairly treated solar customers.

Idaho families and small businesses should not have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment and otherwise relied on Idaho Power's imposed requirements and standards. The proposed rule changes will extend solar customers' payback period and will stop future residents from installing solar.

The Commission promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Cimolino@att.net
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:27 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael Cimolino

Name: Michael Cimolino
Case Number:
Email: Cimolino@att.net
Telephone: 208 570 1285
Address: 285 Trailblazer St.
Middleton Idaho , 83644

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Do not change net metering from what it is now. The only reason I bought solar is because the rules favor the customer. The proposed change is the crap they pulled in California. Do not let California ways creep into Idaho. You all have a responsibility to keep Idaho, Idaho. Do not let special interest like allowed in California to influence the greatest state in the union.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: lars.heineck@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:38 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Lars Heineck

Name: Lars Heineck
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: lars.heineck@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 5128 S Sweetgrass Pl
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho Power should not be allowed to make a profit on the backs of unfairly treated solar customers.

Idaho families and small businesses should not have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment and otherwise relied on Idaho Power's imposed requirements and standards.

Any request for a change to the net-metering program must be proceeded by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the Commission of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of on-site power generation.

The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

The Commission promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the Commission and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement, they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

The Idaho Power plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar.

Should the Commission adopt the Proposal as-written and decide not to grandfather-in existing net-metering customers, thousands of residential solar energy producers will lose their investment, the expansion of residential solar in Idaho will end, thousands of jobs will be lost, and our beautiful land will suffer.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: matt@rapid-aerial.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:47 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Matthew Roderick

Name: Matthew Roderick
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: matt@rapid-aerial.com
Telephone: 2088666598
Address: 10721 Blackhawk Dr
Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co

Comment: I'd like to voice my concern about Idaho Power's desire to change the terms of the Net Metering Program. In public, Idaho Power advertises a desire to provide clean energy but when customers spend their own money to help provide clean energy, Idaho Power balks when they realize their (considerable) profits might be threatened. Changing the terms of the net metering program for new customers will stifle growth and investment in clean energy. Changing the terms of the net metering program for existing customers is totally unethical. This is liken to a child changing the rules of a game when they realize they're starting to lose. Please, let's keep Idaho on track to pursuing cleaner energy by keeping Idaho Power honest in honoring their net metering program. Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:06 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Joe Corcoran

Name: Joe Corcoran
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Telephone: 12088911104
Address: 4459 S. Danridge Ave
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We have been told by both Idaho Power and our Solar Company Blue Raven solar to contact you regarding the apples to apples pricing for the solar panels installed on our roof for the Idaho power net metering system program. It was brought to our attention that this program is being devalued and that the net metering is not going to support what they originally agreed to. We have already invested in this program and are disillusioned bystanders on this program. If this system is not being issued as an apples for apples net metering system program. Why did we let Idaho Power install this meter according to this contract condition. We are doing something good for the environment and should be rewarded (not cheated)!

Sincerely,

Joe Corcoran

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dllspayton@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:07 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Dallas Payton

Name: Dallas Payton
Case Number:
Email: dllspayton@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 2819 Bristol ave
Caldwell idaho, 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: This year my family invested our hard earned money into a solar power system to generate power that is from a renewable source every day, in an effort to be a part of the solution to higher demands for electricity at higher costs over time. Idaho Power is now attempting to pay a substantially reduced rate for power my family is responsible for producing that is beyond what we use, done so at no cost to Idaho Power. Idaho Power needs to honor their commitment to the net metering program, and pay the fair market value of our power excess power produced. This will incentivize more Idahans to be a part of the energy crisis.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: andrewburton1993@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:12 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Andrew Burton

Name: Andrew Burton
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: andrewburton1993@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 11230 W Meadowriver Dr
Middleton ID, 83644

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho Power should not be allowed to make a profit on the backs of unfairly treated solar customers.

Idaho families and small businesses should not have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment and otherwise relied on Idaho Power's imposed requirements and standards.

Any request for a change to the net-metering program must be proceeded by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the Commission of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of on-site power generation.

The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

The Commission promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the Commission and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement, they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

The Idaho Power plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar.

Should the Commission adopt the Proposal as-written and decide not to grandfather-in existing net-metering customers, thousands of residential solar energy producers will lose their investment, the expansion of residential solar in Idaho will end, thousands of jobs will be lost, and our beautiful land will suffer.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kdawes208@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:33 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Kathy Dawes

Name: Kathy Dawes
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: kdawes208@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
MOSCOW ID, 83843-3228

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: Even though I am not an Idaho Power customer I am opposed to the newly proposed changes in the rooftop solar "net metering" rate. Thousands of Idahoans have already made a huge investment in local clean energy and they expect Idaho Power to hold up its end of the bargain! Clean energy is good for all of Idaho, and I am pleased that Idaho Power has made a commitment to work toward 100% clean energy, but it is not fair to those who have already purchased solar panels under the assumption that their rates would be one thing, and then you decide to change them midstream. Please honor your customers' wishes.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: hansonrex@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:42 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Rex Hanson

Name: Rex Hanson
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: hansonrex@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 602 Marie Ct
Caldwell ID, 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I strongly agree with the points raised by Altenergy about this action:

1. No net metering changes, or settlement proposals should take effect until the comprehensive study of the cost and benefits of on-site generation on Idaho Power's system, as was ordered by the PUC in Order No. 34046 Case No. IPC-E-17-13, has been completed, analyzed, and those findings evaluated by the public, PUC, and all parties with net metering interests, as stated in that docket.

- No study, nor any information related to a cost/benefit analysis study, was directly used to calculate the terms of this settlement decision.
- If a study was used to calculate these proposed changes, then that study should have been made available to the public and PUC for an opportunity to review before reaching the terms of this settlement or determining any other potential net metering changes.
- The proposed settlement is an arbitrary representation of parties who met behind closed doors without consulting the constituents who actually invested in on-site generation.
- All net metering interests were not taken into consideration during these settlement proceedings. In fact, the vast majority of net metering interests were not taken into consideration during these settlement proceedings. Order No. 34046 and Case No. IPC-E17-13 indicates that this case should include all net-metering interests in this study.
- Any changes to net metering should be evidenced based, and only then should we have a hearing about the whether net metering changes are properly justified.
- The current hearing is non-scientific, undemocratic, and should be postponed until a legitimate cost and benefit study has been properly conducted rather than completely ignored.

2. Grandfathering in existing net metering clients should be an obligation, not a consideration.

- No settlement should have taken place behind closed doors without the direct input of existing net metering customers.
- Systems were purchased and engineered based on existing net metering policies that track production and usage on a monthly basis.

Diane Holt

From: Dotyphotocompany@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:59 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: chad Doty

Name: chad Doty
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Dotyphotocompany@gmail.com
Telephone: 515-710-8498
Address: 12659, W. Audi Court
Boise Idaho, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho Power should not be allowed to make a profit on the backs of unfairly treated solar customers.

Idaho families and small businesses should not have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment and otherwise relied on Idaho Power's imposed requirements and standards.

Any request for a change to the net-metering program must be proceeded by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the Commission of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of on-site power generation.

The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

The Commission promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the Commission and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement, they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

The Idaho Power plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar.

Should the Commission adopt the Proposal as-written and decide not to grandfather-in existing net-metering customers, thousands of residential solar energy producers will lose their investment, the expansion of residential solar in Idaho will end, thousands of jobs will be lost, and our beautiful land will suffer.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: perfection-drivetrain@outlook.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 7:05 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Scott Sniff

Name: Scott Sniff
Case Number:
Email: perfection-drivetrain@outlook.com
Telephone: 2089210909
Address: 1904 N ICE FIRE PL
Star ID, 83669

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: This is in response to Idaho Power's proposal to change the rules for existing solar customers. We agreed to a flat-rate monthly fee for net metering, and to be compensated for our credits at the same rate we are charged, as was agreed to when we invested in solar. It is bad enough that Blue Raven Solar lied to us by telling us we'd be getting money from the government, so our system is costing us \$8000 more than we were told. So we need to have our credits remain as they are, and not be charged more to get them back, as they are proposing.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: mccall.zack@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 8:07 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Zackery McCall

Name: Zackery McCall
Case Number: E-18-15
Email: mccall.zack@gmail.com
Telephone: 2087132482
Address: 708 Meadowbrook dr
Nampa ID, 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power company
Comment: I zack mccall and my wife june mccall just moved in and if idaho power doesnt honor their solar net meter program it will devastate us as new homeowners

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Lbhill@Cableone.net
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 8:14 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Bart Hill

Name: Bart Hill
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Lbhill@Cableone.net
Telephone: 2083767439
Address: 8950 West Duck Lake Drive
Garden City Idaho, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Greetings, I respectfully request that the IPUC reject the proposed "settlement" proposed by Idaho Power. Idaho Power claims to have met with all interested parties . At no time were current and future solar panel owners included in the "negotiations" . The proposal would make our investment in solar completely non-viable. It would never reach a point where we would break even. Solar panels start failing around the 25 year mark. The current proposal makes our break even point about the 30 year mark.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: dewitdon@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 8:46 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: DON WALKER

Name: DON WALKER
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: dewitdon@gmail.com
Telephone: 2083658995
Address: 433 E. Idaho Blvd
Emmett Idaho, 83617

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Powerwe

Comment: We refinanced our home to purchase a solar system believing that it would pay for itself over the next 25 years. This proposal is completely unethical and should not even be allowed to be considered. To change a plan for future customers is fine and not illegal as what they are proposing is.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: track118@msn.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 9:03 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Bryson Lind

Name: Bryson Lind
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: track118@msn.com
Telephone:
Address: 1103 S Rumney Ave
Kuna Idaho, 83634

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please do not modify our solar power compensation credits. If we provide power to the grid we should be compensated at the retail rate and not a discounted rate as the proposed rate changes would do.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: jmderderian@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 10:18 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: James Derderian

Name: James Derderian
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: jmderderian@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I'm concerned about the request of Idaho Power to revoke or reduce the the rate that is credited for net metering. This is very upsetting and is just another example of Idaho Power's monopolistic, anti-competitive behavior. As a concerned citizen of Boise I hope the commission will serve it's citizens first, by maintaining the net metering policy as it stands.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: WILDEWITNESS1@GMAIL.COM
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 10:27 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: William Wilde

Name: William Wilde
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: WILDEWITNESS1@GMAIL.COM
Telephone: 2082383507
Address: 13542 N MANNING LN
POCATELLO ID, 83202-5172

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co

Comment: I am of the understanding that the current net metering process for solar customers of Idaho Power is being considered to be modified by Idaho Power without any study being conducted prior to modification. Idaho Power is apparently claiming that current net metering of solar customers is not fair to non-solar customers yet has not provided any scientific or other data based facts to justify this claim. This, I strongly believe, is not fair to current solar customers in that great expenses/investments were made under the current policy and these modifications proposed by Idaho Power would undermine these customers investments. At the very least, data should be gathered by an independent and neutral source that will either confirm or otherwise contradict these claims being made by Idaho Power.

Thank you,
William J. Wilde

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: kwardwell10@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2019 11:59 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Wayne Wardwell

Name: Wayne Wardwell
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15
Email: kwardwell10@gmail.com
Telephone: 3602023427
Address: 12473 W. Murchison St.
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I would encourage Idaho PUC to not allow Idaho Power or any other utility to move in the direction that does not encourage citizens to move in the direction of energy production.

Personally, having committed to the expense of having solar panels installed, it would be disappointing and costly to have the terms of metering changed while the cost for the panels won't.

In the future, I have to believe that most likely we will need to develop all of the alternative, clean sources of energy production.

If Idaho Power views the development of individuals utilizing solar energy as a financial threat, that is short-sided on their part. They, too, can invest in solar energy rather than seeing them as a competitor that needs to be pushed down. Instead, they should make a full commitment to a variety of clean, (non-nuclear) sources.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42