

Diane Holt

From: gdattilo@peoplepc.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 2:23 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ginger Dattilo

Name: Ginger Dattilo
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: gdattilo@peoplepc.com
Telephone: 7203012055
Address: 70 Tyler Drive
Bellevue Idaho, 83313

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Having invested a significant amount of capital in my home solar system I feel the PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and Solar customers. I have invested in clean energy and expect a fair deal. The new proposal will cost me thousands of dollars on my electricity bills. I have already invested thousands. Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the current net metering program is only equitable and fair. Giving me credit at significantly lower rate than I am charged by Idaho power hardly seems fair. I should not be penalized for investing my own money in a program that was incentivized. Having the original program invalidated is unfair and unethical. I expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and public utility.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: idahomurphys@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 2:25 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jeff and Christine Murphy

Name: Jeff and Christine Murphy
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: idahomurphys@gmail.com
Telephone: 2085710564
Address: 7668 N Waterlilly Ave
Boise ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are writing concerning Idaho Power's request to change to the net-metering program. We insist that their request must be proceeded by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the Commission of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of on-site power generation.

We are both teachers in the Treasure Valley and do not have a lot of expendable income. Our decision to get rooftop solar panels was made based on Idaho Power's agreement with solar customers, hoping to recoup our investment down the line. We should not have the rules changed on us after we have already made our investment. At the very least, we should be grandfathered in under the current agreement.

We respectfully urge you to hear our request. Our investment was made based on what Idaho Power currently agreed. Had we known that they would attempt to change their agreement, we would NEVER have risked the costs involved.

Thank you for considering our request.

Jeff and Christine Murphy
Boise

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: roedernorth@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 1:52 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Gary and Jackie Roeder

Name: Gary and Jackie Roeder
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: roedernorth@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089631534
Address: 906 N Harrison Blvd
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are submitting these comments to urge the IPUC to fully reject the proposed changes to net metering under Case Number IPC-E-18-15. We have been Idaho Power net metering customers for a little more than one year. We have with a 13,000 KWH yearly system installed designed to provide a 100% offset to our yearly power usage. In times of high demand in the summer, our house is a net power generation station for the local grid. We bank power (net metering) to offset our energy usage in the dark months of winter.

The proposed change in the rate structure to an hourly basis will drive up our monthly service fees by about 100-150% (per our call to Idaho Power), or about \$10-15 more per month or \$180 for the year. The more damaging part of the proposal is the eventual reduction of credit for our generated KWH to about 50% of market rate. This equates to a net increase for our electric costs of \$280 per year. The total cost increase to our household will be about \$460 per year for the life of my solar power system, or approximately \$11,500. If this was in effect when we contracted for system installation, we would not have installed our system. It wouldn't have made economic sense.

There are (according to Idaho Power) about 5,000 residential net metering customers, representing a mere 1% of its total customer base. This is a specific targeting of solar net meter customers that goes way beyond recovering costs of individual participation in the grid. Along with unfairly targeting current net metering customers, this ill-thought out proposed rule change will effectively kill future residential solar in our area of Idaho. Furthermore, the proposal to allow for "non-export option" is absurd -- we would have to install a 4000KWH battery system to bank enough power to disconnect from the grid, which is patently unaffordable and impractical, both now and in the future.

The IPUC should at a minimum, uphold the original program that Idaho Power presented to us less than two years ago when we decided on our own dime (about \$33K) to invest in clean energy. Maintaining the current program and fee structure is the ethical, honest and common practice nationwide. If there a need to recover the cost of delivering our power back to our home, then moderate either the net hourly proposal to a net amount for the day or the monthly service fee. Above all, the IPUC should remain non-discriminatory and fully reject the proposal to pay us a reduced rate for our generated electricity – the rate should remain at the current market rate (1:1). It is patently unfair and discriminatory for net metering customers to pay for their own power generation (via our investment in our system, about 6-7 cents per Kwh) and then pay another 4.4 cents per Kwh for the power again when it comes back into their homes. This alone disincentivizes ANYONE from installing solar power in our region!

IPUC has the chance, now, to support the expansion of clean solar power in Idaho. Reject the proposal under Case Number IPC-E-18-15.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: bob.and.comis@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 1:41 PM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Robert Loken

Name: Robert Loken
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: bob.and.comis@gmail.com
Telephone: 2088911294
Address: 2496 E ASHBROOK CT
EAGLE ID, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I paid over \$10,000 for solar panels on my roof 1 and 1/2 yrs ago with the promise from Idaho Power that if I followed their requirements (which I did), I would be reimbursed at retail for the energy generated by my panels. (IP sells it at retail to its customers.) Now IP is breaking its promise by proposing to lower my reimbursement by more than half. I find this extremely unethical and unfair. A promise is a promise. Of course, IP may develop a new program for future customers, but not for those under the decades old "net-metering program." Please reject IP's proposal.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: brocam@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 10:53 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Brock Turner

Name: Brock Turner
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: brocam@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 2441 s Rushmore way
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I'm concerned that the proposed change to the net-metering program will discourage people from investing in solar power. As it stands, residential solar panels only start being profitable after roughly 20-30 years, and I'm worried that this change will increase that substantially.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 10:55 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Amy Corcoran

Name: Amy Corcoran
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: thumbsplumbing@hotmail.com
Telephone: 12088911104
Address: P.o. Box 170379
Boise ID, 83717

Name of Utility Company: 2 Thumbs Up Plumbing and Remodeling LLC.
Comment: We have been told by both Idaho Power and our Solar Company Blue Raven solar to contact you regarding the apples to apples / watts for watts for the solar panels installed on our roof for the Idaho power net metering system program. It was brought to our attention that this program is being devalued and that the net metering is not going to support what they originally agreed to (the watts for watts). That's bait and switch! Also if our watts are not as good as Idaho Powers watts. Please allow us to understand the difference between the two? We look forward to seeing this explained.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: willcha2@isu.edu
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 11:50 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Charles Williams

Name: Charles Williams
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: willcha2@isu.edu
Telephone: 208-589-7911
Address: 1734 N Elk Road
Pocatello Idaho, 83204

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Date: November 29, 2019
To: Idaho Public Utilities Commission
From: Charles F. Williams, Idaho Power customer
RE: Case # IPC-E-18-15

Dear Members of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I strongly urge you not to change the net-metering rate structure for residential users who generate solar power, as described in Case #IPC-E-18-15. Although I believe that customers tied in to the grid should help maintain the infrastructure that enable net-metering, I do not believe that the proposed change in rate structure that severely devalues energy generated from rooftop solar panels are a fair and equitable means to do so. Owners of residential solar generation systems should not be penalized for their investment (at no cost to Idaho Power) in helping Idaho Power achieve their stated goal of 100% renewable energy generation by 2045.

Thousands of Idahoans like myself have already installed residential solar systems, assuming a financial model that allowed them to invest their own funds and then slowly pay it off through generating solar energy for Idaho Power. The proposed changes to the rate structure would effectively eliminate the possibility of recovering my investment in solar energy production during my lifetime. It is completely unfair to penalize current customers who invested in a solar system (at a cost of tens of thousands of dollars!) by so drastically changing the rate structure.

Thousands of Idaho residents have committed their own funds to a residential solar system. My decision in part was to help Idaho Power meet its OWN commitment to renewable energy benchmarks (100% by 2045)- and to show that there is strong customer support for this goal. I think it is essential to recognize that customers are providing renewable energy generation to the Idaho Power grid for free- Idaho Power receives the benefits of renewable energy generation without having to pay for the purchase, installation and continuing maintenance of the solar panels! In return, these customers are requesting a fair and equitable net-metering rate structure that reflects the true per-capita costs to Idaho Power of maintaining the power grid. I believe that this is better achieved through true net-metering (the buying and selling of electricity at the same rate) and an appropriate monthly service fee.

Renewable power generation is a growing industry in Idaho, providing many good-paying jobs for Idaho citizens. Idaho's citizens want to invest in solar power, but the initial cost and potential payback are only now coming within reach of many households. It will be a disaster for local businesses and will set Idaho and the region back decades if this rate change is made. We already have too few experienced solar installation companies in our region. If this rate change occurs, investment will decline and these businesses will close. Why would a business ever come back to provide this service again in Idaho? Once again, Idaho will be losing business investment dollars and good jobs to other regions.

Diane Holt

From: willcha2@isu.edu
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 11:50 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Charles Williams

Name: Charles Williams
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: willcha2@isu.edu
Telephone: 208-589-7911
Address: 1734 N Elk Road
Pocatello Idaho, 83204

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Comment: Date: November 29, 2019
To: Idaho Public Utilities Commission
From: Charles F. Williams, Idaho Power customer
RE: Case # IPC-E-18-15

Dear Members of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I strongly urge you not to change the net-metering rate structure for residential users who generate solar power, as described in Case #IPC-E-18-15. Although I believe that customers tied in to the grid should help maintain the infrastructure that enable net-metering, I do not believe that the proposed change in rate structure that severely devalues energy generated from rooftop solar panels are a fair and equitable means to do so. Owners of residential solar generation systems should not be penalized for their investment (at no cost to Idaho Power) in helping Idaho Power achieve their stated goal of 100% renewable energy generation by 2045.

Thousands of Idahoans like myself have already installed residential solar systems, assuming a financial model that allowed them to invest their own funds and then slowly pay it off through generating solar energy for Idaho Power. The proposed changes to the rate structure would effectively eliminate the possibility of recovering my investment in solar energy production during my lifetime. It is completely unfair to penalize current customers who invested in a solar system (at a cost of tens of thousands of dollars!) by so drastically changing the rate structure.

Thousands of Idaho residents have committed their own funds to a residential solar system. My decision in part was to help Idaho Power meet its OWN commitment to renewable energy benchmarks (100% by 2045)- and to show that there is strong customer support for this goal. I think it is essential to recognize that customers are providing renewable energy generation to the Idaho Power grid for free- Idaho Power receives the benefits of renewable energy generation without having to pay for the purchase, installation and continuing maintenance of the solar panels! In return, these customers are requesting a fair and equitable net-metering rate structure that reflects the true per-capita costs to Idaho Power of maintaining the power grid. I believe that this is better achieved through true net-metering (the buying and selling of electricity at the same rate) and an appropriate monthly service fee.

Renewable power generation is a growing industry in Idaho, providing many good-paying jobs for Idaho citizens. Idaho's citizens want to invest in solar power, but the initial cost and potential payback are only now coming within reach of many households. It will be a disaster for local businesses and will set Idaho and the region back decades if this rate change is made. We already have too few experienced solar installation companies in our region. If this rate change occurs, investment will decline and these businesses will close. Why would a business ever come back to provide this service again in Idaho? Once again, Idaho will be losing business investment dollars and good jobs to other regions.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY TO STUDY THE COSTS, BENEFITS, AND COMPENSATION OF NET EXCESS ENERGY SUPPLIED BY CUSTOMER ON-SITE GENERATION

NOTICE OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

CASE NO. IPC-E-18-15

COMMENTS FROM:

MICHAEL STAMBULIS AND CAROLYN FABIS

1805 NORTH 7TH STREET

BOISE, ID 83702

RECEIVED
2019 NOV 29 AM 10:12
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

We strongly oppose the settlement agreement in general, and the proposal to impose this settlement agreement on existing Idaho Power customers with solar installations.

Our reasons for opposing the overall settlement are provided in the following list:

1. Idaho Power was directed to produce information regarding the true costs to the utility in supporting net metering customers. In their own words, they were to:

“...initiate a docket to comprehensively study the costs and benefits of on-site generation on Idaho Power’s system, as well as proper rates and rate design, transitional rates, and related issues of compensation for net excess energy provided as a resource to the Company.”

What is in front of your commission and the public right now is a negotiated resolution instead of a comprehensive study. A comprehensive study, at a minimum, would produce values for the blank placeholders for both avoided transmission and distribution capacity and environmental benefits. A comprehensive study would also provide a clear method of calculation and rationale behind a proposed revision to the net metering program.

Any proposal addressing the current net metering program should not be approved until Idaho Power agrees to a fair and just method to value the environmental benefits of solar installations and the avoided transmission and distribution capacity. The environmental benefits are a main determining factor when we decided to install solar panels, and to move forward without a determined benefit devalues homeowners’ decisions and investments.

2. There is no rush to complete this settlement agreement. The actual solar penetration levels have not increased to the point that they can be reasonably expected to be impacting Idaho Power’s non-solar customers.

In an analysis of the financial impacts of net-metered energy on utilities and ratepayers, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab found that while high use of net-metered solar generation may eventually decrease utility shareholders’ earnings, it will also eventually have a “relatively modest” impact on ratepayers. While there is much useful information in the report, we focus on the results presented in the attached chart.

such as the social cost of carbon, the avoided construction of new power stations, and the displacement of more expensive power sources were factored.

As an alternative to ‘traditional’ net-metering rate design, a “value of solar” approach would credit solar owners for (1) avoiding the purchase of energy from other, polluting sources; (2) avoiding the need to build additional power plant capacity to meet peak energy needs; (3) providing energy for decades at fixed prices; and (4) reducing wear and tear on the electric grid.

5. We emphasize the comment on avoiding the need to build additional power plant capacity to meet peak energy needs. In addition to the environmental benefits, this point strongly persuaded us to add solar panels to our home. We have been in the Treasure Valley since 2001, and our valley’s growth is obvious. It made sense to us to try and help Idaho Power avoid building another natural gas powered peaking plant or additional capacity to deal with the rapid growth. It was one small part we could play to help our wonderful city and valley deal with the challenges of so many people relocating here in a short time period.

Our oppositions to imposing this settlement agreement on current net-metering customers are as follows:

1. We urge you to support customer-owned solar and our private investment. We installed solar panels to meet our own needs and to address our environmental concerns; not to be a power generator. We did not oversize our system to receive credits – we still receive one power bill in February after our credits from the year are exhausted.

We do enjoy providing power to our neighbors – especially during peak demand periods! However, our desire is for a fair and just program that allows individual homeowners to invest in solar panels.

2. Currently installed solar systems were designed around Idaho Power’s net metering program at the time – not just the rates. The outline of the program dictated how homeowner’s systems were designed including size and layout. The change from Net Monthly Metering to Net Hourly Metering undermines these system designs and devalues homeowner’s investment to the point where we would not be able to recover our investment. Systems would have been designed differently under the proposed program.
3. Pursuant to Comment 2, based on our system design and the proposed switch to Net Hourly Metering, the proposed program would allow Idaho Power to profit off of our power generation every time the sun shines and compels us to pay every time we use energy. The proposed settlement is unjust and unfair to current solar owners and extremely tilted in favor of Idaho Power.
4. We are not represented by this settlement. The signatories to this agreement do not represent us as current solar homeowners. The signatories had their own goals in signing this agreement which are not in step with our interests. In addition, Idaho Power has not provided effective notice regarding these proposed changes.
5. Part of our investment in solar includes the value of our home. If the Net Monthly Metering program is not preserved after sale, it is arbitrarily devaluing our home. The solar installation should qualify for this program for at least 20 years (the expected life of the solar panels) and should be based on the system, not on ownership. In addition, homeowners should be allowed to repair their system as necessary (not expand) without being removed from the Net Monthly Metering program.




Figure ES-1. Impacts of Customer-Sited PV on Average Achieved ROE, Earnings, and All-in Retail Rates

One key objective of this scoping study was to illustrate the extent to which the potential impacts of customer-sited PV on utility shareholders and ratepayers depend on underlying conditions of the utility. To explore these inter-relationships, we compared the impacts from PV under a wide array of sensitivity cases, each with varying assumptions about the utilities' operating or regulatory environment (see Table 3 in the main body for the full list of sensitivity cases). The sensitivity cases all focus specifically on impacts from customer-sited PV at a penetration level

Allan and Anna Schneider
3820 N Willowbar Ln.
Garden City, Idaho 83714

RECEIVED

2019 NOV 29 AM 10:13

IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720

Commissioners:

I am writing you regarding Docket Number IPC—E—18—15. I understand that Idaho Power wishes to change how it credits customers who produce electricity via home-owned solar panels. I cannot speak to the merits of this case as a whole, but I must speak to the idea of this change for current solar panel owners. We made a deal with Idaho Power: kilowatt hour for kilowatt hour. It's not fair to force on us a new program, mid-stream, that reduces the value of our investment by 50%. It can only be fair that we be grandfathered.

Anna and I made a large investment in good faith knowing that it would take us 15 years to just break even. Our intention was that this would be a savings investment to benefit our retirement. After the 15 years, we would have virtually no electric bill. We made that deal with Idaho Power. It's not the Idaho way to change the rules *after* we've made the investment.

We also invested in our panels to help protect Idaho's air quality and to positively affect overall climate stability. Idaho Power invests hundreds of thousands of dollars to reduce electricity demand. They distribute energy saving kits, they have programs to cut air conditioning some minutes each hour, they buy ads to convince folks to save electricity. That's all good, and that's exactly what we're doing: we're saving electricity! In addition, during the hottest days when demand is at its peak, our panels are also at their peak, producing the most electricity. Idaho Power is committed to 100% clean energy in the future. Why can't we help?

We early adopters made careful calculations before we invested in clean energy. It's unfair to change the math after the deal is done. Reneging on a good faith deal is not the Idaho way. It's not who we are. Please grandfather current solar owners.

Respectfully,

Allan and Anna Schneider

Diane Holt

From: lindsyrg@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 9:19 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Lindsay Glick

Name: Lindsay Glick
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: lindsyrg@gmail.com
Telephone: 5755192853
Address: 1708 N 11Th St
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have been so impressed with Idaho Power's dedication to renewable energy, and its cooperation with its members. Please don't lower the value of net metering buy backs for residents or businesses. If clean energy is good for Idaho Power, it's good for Idaho families and businesses. Please work with our communities to ensure everyone has a fair chance to benefit and build a clean energy future. Our future depends on it.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: lindsyrg@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 9:19 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Lindsay Glick

Name: Lindsay Glick
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: lindsyrg@gmail.com
Telephone: 5755192853
Address: 1708 N 11Th St
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have been so impressed with Idaho Power's dedication to renewable energy, and its cooperation with its members. Please don't lower the value of net metering buy backs for residents or businesses. If clean energy is good for Idaho Power, it's good for Idaho families and businesses. Please work with our communities to ensure everyone has a fair chance to benefit and build a clean energy future. Our future depends on it.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: LindaRytt@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 9:48 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Linda Rytterager

Name: Linda Rytterager
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: LindaRytt@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089215052
Address: 2006 Gourley St.
Boise ID, 83705

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I'm opposed to Idaho Power's proposal to deny fair compensation for electric energy generated by solar customers in its net-metering program. The purpose of the program was to incentivize renewable generation, enabling long-term savings and expanding this clean technology. Instead, the plan would decrease affordability and access to residential solar. It's not fair to homeowners who invested in residential solar. It's not fair to solar installation companies. It's not fair to the Earth.

As a PUBLIC utility, Idaho Power should be supporting customers who make the effort to transition to carbon-free energy sources, not penalizing them. The company should honor the original service net-metering agreement. I'm a Boise homeowner looking for ways to reduce my own carbon footprint and hoping to add solar panels when the price is within my budget. The plan put forward by Idaho Power is short-sighted, unfair, and heading in the exact wrong direction. I, and most other residential customers, would be extremely unlikely to get panels if it's enacted. Please reject Idaho Power's plan to dismantle net-metering and require the company to furnish an unbiased study of the costs and benefits of residential solar energy before making any change to net metering. We need to keep incentives for CLEAN energy.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: elkofboise@msn.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 9:50 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Judy Klosterman

Name: Judy Klosterman
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: elkofboise@msn.com
Telephone: 2084124260
Address: 3250 Summerset
Boise id, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As an average citizen, consumer, IP investor, and long-time resident, I have observed Idaho Power to have no interest in alternate forms of energy production. Years ago they wanted to charge a huge monthly fee to solar users. Now they want to stiff them. While they claim they are solar friendly and use solar to power their offices, this move to reduce compensation to solar producers indicates otherwise. They proudly claim on their website to have plenty of electricity to serve the valley thru 2018. Laughable! Given the influx of people, and that 8 years from now is short range planning at best, that statement alone motivated me to add solar. Compensation to citizens who, at their own expense, are willing to be energy producers and support a greener community should be done fairly, with deliberate, independent analysis. I appreciate past efforts of the UC in holding IP accountable and supporting me, one voice. Judy Klosterman

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: Tle33100@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 10:21 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Travis Edwards

Name: Travis Edwards
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: Tle33100@gmail.com
Telephone: 7757507486
Address: 14342 Crego st
Caldwell Idaho, 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: Your proposed change to the net metering program is outrageous and unfair. I have made a significant investment into going solar partly based upon the AGREED upon program that is in place and now you want to buy my energy at a discounted rate and resell it to other customers at a premium rate. That is absolutely outrageous. You have an agreed upon program with solar providers and should either uphold your end of the bargain or grandfather existing solar providers based on said agreed program.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Diane Holt

From: erickson_brandon@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 6:46 AM
To: Diane Holt
Subject: Case Comment Form: Brandon Erickson

Name: Brandon Erickson
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15
Email: erickson_brandon@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 3059 S Skyview Dr
Nampa ID, 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please reject Idaho Power's dismantling of net-metering. This has been a win for everyone involved: the environment, the home-owner, and Idaho Power as well. This is clean, affordable energy that Idaho Power didn't have to pay to develop or install. All they have to do is buy the power from the homeowner like they would from any other power producer. Ending this will set back clean energy creation.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42