

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** dgvanleave@msn.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 4:50 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Douglas Van Cleave

Name: Douglas Van Cleave  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: dgvanleave@msn.com  
Telephone:  
Address: 1225 Rebecca Drive  
Blackfoot ID, 83221

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: When signing up to agree to add solar panels to my home, I acted in good faith under the provisions of the program presented by the utility from which I have purchased power, including the fair compensation structure outlined in the utility's program. This is no less than a contract between the utility and homeowners. Now the utility wants to violate the language of that contract in an effort to reduce the benefit it agreed to provide to the customers that have participated in the program. This is not in concert with the agreement that has been established and is a bad faith move on the utility's part. This move violates the principles of fair contracting practices, violating the intent of established local, state and federal laws, and is tantamount to placing an additional burden on customers in order to squeeze additional profits without justification. All of this is done without providing an explanation of why the utility would be justified in such a move. They have failed to provide cost/benefit rationale in this move and have not given proper justification on why increasing the burden on existing customers is reasonable. The terms and conditions that enticed customers to make changes to physical property are being violated with this move, an act that is purely unethical, is strictly unfair and is contrary with the utility's promise to avoid setting up discriminatory rate structures. In my own case of deciding to invest in a solar generating system that would provide power back to the utility, I studied the provisions thoroughly and cannot believe that the utility should be allowed to violate its own language in the agreement that was presented to me. This action is illegal, has no moral basis, invites class-action litigation, and needs to be stopped immediately.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Kengland99@msn.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 8:32 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kris England

Name: Kris England  
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15,  
Email: Kengland99@msn.com  
Telephone:  
Address: 2650 N Lochness Ave  
Meridian ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please do not let Idaho Power change or dismantle the net metering program for those of us who have invested in solar power for our houses. I believe Idaho Power should be required to have an UNBIASED study done about the costs and benefits of residential solar energy before making any changes to the net metering policy.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** jessicalovesoils@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 9:14 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Jessica Lopez

Name: Jessica Lopez  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: jessicalovesoils@gmail.com  
Telephone: (208)703-6748  
Address: 2122 S Fern St  
Nampa ID, 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho Power should not be allowed to make a profit on the backs of unfairly treated solar customers.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

My family made the decision to go solar to help our environment and to help us in the future not be reliant on other sources of energy. We made this investment with the understanding that we would have fair compensation for our excess energy and that that compensation would offset our energy use in the winter. To change our agreement now after we have already purchased our solar system and have been on the net metering system is both unfair and unethical. We did our part Idaho Power should be held to the agreement and be required to uphold their part. We invested in solar to help our family and our community not to help Idaho Power make money off of our investment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** smac362sm@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 10:36 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: James Macpherson

Name: James Macpherson  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: smac362sm@gmail.com  
Telephone: +1208  
Address: 4084 S. Mill Site Ave  
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am concerned that Idaho's PUC is not requiring prudent due diligence around Idaho Power Company's request to change net metering agreements, and small PV Solar electricity generator compensation for excess electric power transferred back into local electric grid(s).

Requests for a changes to net-metering agreements must be proceeded by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the Commission, and net metering stake-holders, of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection.

Data based on Idaho Power's self-generated analytics is inherently biased; supporting positions unique to a virtual state-electric power monopoly.

Idaho power customers purchased, installed, and operate small solar electric power generating systems for the benefit of our families, the environment, and long-term sustainable communities.

Idaho Power's net-metering filing will not promote on-site power generation growth. Absent credible third-party analysis and comment, the filing is nothing more than a selfish request to continue the current state of electric power generation and delivery.

I fully support paying fair rates that facilitate access to Idaho Power infrastructure. The utility established that \$5 monthly interconnection fee is adequate. If credible third-party analysis establishes a different fee, I am absolutely supportive. To date, there is no credible independent data suggesting that Idaho Power faces any version of economic hardship at the hand of existing net-metering agreements... or in the face of significant on-site power generation growth.

On-site power generators have not been given a voice in retail electric power rates, interconnect terms, or infrastructure access fees. Idaho Power is the only party that benefits from this circumstance.

Do not let Idaho Power's current net-metering PUC filing move forward as a unilateral request. Individuals, communities, and economies prosper when transparent analysis and data guide collaborative decision making and conclusions.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** leskorn@msn.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 12:21 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Lester Korn

Name: Lester Korn  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: leskorn@msn.com  
Telephone: 208-898-0010  
Address: 2065 E. Three Bars drive  
Meridian ID, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I feel in is extremely in-appropriate for Idaho power to encourage customers to install solar power cells at the owners expense, to benefit power generation for other customers in the Treasure Valley, and then change the Net metering rules / guidelines by allowing a far decreased compensation for each consumer generation location than what is currently in place. To now be offering a KWh credit and then decrease that amount in the future to each generation site, just to build the bottom line of Idaho power at the consumers/ generators expense is DISGRACEFUL and DISPICABLE after you first bait us to install Solar Cells on our homes and now change the rules to benefit Idaho Power and not those consumers that have installed Solar panel cells on their homes at their own expense with NO expense to Idaho Power, and now decrease our personal benefit to pad the ""bottom line"" of Idaho Power, the most Disgraceful power company in the state, with no other choice to go to now.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** dmdcol2@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 3:02 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: David DeArmond

Name: David DeArmond  
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15,  
Email: dmdcol2@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 208-949-5631  
Address: PO Box 731  
Meridian Idaho, 83680

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Dear PUC Members, Gov. Little,

The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested their own money to provide local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Idaho families and small businesses shouldn't have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment. Some of us have invested over \$50,000 to acquire Solar Power.

The new proposal could cost existing solar customers thousands of dollars on their electricity bills. I question why Idaho Power is doing this. Does what we pay or contribute in Netmeter cost more than what they are buying power from coal or natural gas generation plants.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith. When changing net metering, utilities and commissions around the nation allow existing customers to keep the original terms, because it's unethical and unfair not to.

Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

The PUC promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory. They need to hold true to their word.

Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

The large public meeting that Idaho Power had was attended by a large number of the public. They stated at that meeting it was not about the money!! So what is it??

Net metering customer,  
David DeArmond

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** dmclcbiz@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 5:44 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: DAWN CUELLAR

Name: DAWN CUELLAR  
Case Number: E-18-15  
Email: dmclcbiz@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2066516449  
Address: 7454 W SAGEBRUSH WAY  
BOISE ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Comment: We reject Idaho Power's dismantling of net-metering. Idaho Power needs to furnish an unbiased study of the costs and benefits of residential solar energy before making any change to net metering. You, the Commission said you will "assure Idaho Power's customers that discriminatory rates will not follow from the outcome of [the proposed changes]." We are here to remind you, the Commission, of your commitment to protect my and my neighbors who invested in rooftop solar from unfair changes to net-metering. Idaho Power claims solar customers don't pay their fair share of the cost to maintain the grid yet refuses to study the actual cost and benefits of residential solar. This, despite mounting scientific evidence, shows residential solar is a net benefit to utilities. Let's think in terms of profit margins which, yes, is a real outcome of Idaho Power's interest in shifting net-metering from a win-win to a win-solar customer lose reality!

STOP the GREED! And if you, the Commission, does not, this roof-solar customer will install batteries and uncouple from grid! Simple as that!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** wadesueb@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 10:26 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Susan Wade

Name: Susan Wade  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: wadesueb@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2088309281  
Address: 1310 Riverside Dr.  
Buhl ID, 83316

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am against Idaho Power company (IPC) changing the payment policy for clients who have installed solar as an alternative energy source.

How can IPC tout they are working to save the environment and then penalize clients who stepped up to be partners in solar energy production?

How is it honest to now change the payment contract for those of us who trusted the IPC and put up a significant expense to install solar panels in support of alternative energy?

I don't understand how a company who claims they support "environmentally" friendly decisions can now decide that solar clients will pay more than what was originally promised. Doesn't that make IPC blatantly dishonest?

Some decisions should be about integrity first.

I am asking Idaho Power to be a company who stands in their own integrity by honoring their original agreement with current solar clients.

Sincerely,  
Susan Wade

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** travellinglay@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 28, 2019 11:19 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Ryan Lay

Name: Ryan Lay  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: travellinglay@gmail.com  
Telephone: 9014384501  
Address: 2915 North Fieldstone Way  
Meridian ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: What you are proposing with home solar residents is unacceptable. HONOR your word and the contract we entered into with you. We agreed to net metering with you and now you want to change the terms, how is this moral? Get an independent third party study, but your don't want to do that because you know they'd disagree with you. This is simple greed, and you all should be ashamed.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42