

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Adell72@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 8:38 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Martin Adell

Name: Martin Adell  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: Adell72@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 775-385-8026  
Address: 6006 Robertson Dr  
Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am writing in regards to the potentially new policy of the monetizing of energy produced by homeowners (photovoltaics) then reducing the amount over the next several years.

My wife and I have installed solar cells to reduce our draw from the Idaho power grid and maybe assist in any extra given back. Our desire was to reduce the need on our rivers in Idaho and the Columbia basin.

The install was costly, but doable. Now, we hear Idaho Power is has come up with a way to charge us for assisting. We believe the manner in which you are going about the change, underhandedly, is wrong. And charging us for assisting is selfish.

If there is a need for population of home energy producers to cover some cost of our use of your grid, then state it directly. However, at this time it does not seem to be the case and we are AGAINST this latest proposal.

You have already gotten rid of the program where you pay for excess energy produced by home photovoltaics. And we are fine with the sharing of energy back and forth (current system). It seems this change is more of an attempt to keep your strangle hold on your bottom line.

Once again, my wife and I are against the policy change. If there is something I am missing, please contact me and let me know.

Yours truly,  
Martin Adell

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** zmant@live.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 8:53 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Tyler Zundel

Name: Tyler Zundel  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: zmant@live.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please reject Idaho Power's attempt to dismantle net metering for residential solar customers. This is nothing more than a greedy money grab by Idaho Power. Require them to publish verifiable, unbiased studies of the costs and benefits of residential PV production. I also remind the commission of its commitment to protect Idaho Power's customers from being subjected to discriminatory rates. I, and thousands of other Idaho Power customers installed PV systems as a way to provide sustainability to power consumption. These proposals completely neuter the reason behind this investment. Do the right thing and tell Idaho Power to perform a study, publish the results, and set their greed aside.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** mike.goncz@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 1:53 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Michael Goncz

Name: Michael Goncz  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: mike.goncz@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-870-9624  
Address: 921 N Miner ave  
Kuna ID, 83635

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Powet

Comment: To whomever this may concern a couple years back I made a decision after checking with Idaho power and the solar company to invest approximately \$43,000.00 on a solar system to provide me with renewable clean energy. This decision did not come easily as I am a disabled veteran on a fixed income! I explored the options of producing my own clean energy and reducing our footprint on the environment.

I would be paying minimal charges on my power bill which allowed me to pay the \$200 a month payment on my solar panels for the next 20 years. It seems inconceivable that a company could change the rules after we have made such an investment. It would be unethical and unfair to the existing customers who invested under the agreement they provided us. I could understand if they enter into a different agreement with new customers that obtain renewable energy sources in the future! If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

If changes are to be made in the future they should maintain existing utility rates and terms for existing customers!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** ajnak182@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 2:28 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Adam Balinger

Name: Adam Balinger  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: ajnak182@hotmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Boise ID, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Comment: Dear Commissioners,

Please do not allow for the significant destruction of the solar industry in Idaho by ending net metering in Idaho. If that does occur, please grandfather existing projects.

I intend no hyperbole, and I believe none exists, as the ending of net metering and the rate plans offered for consideration by Idaho Power would end economic benefit for solar investment. This will likely lead to small solar businesses closing, and solar workers losing their jobs.

I can respect Idaho Power's business decision to attempt to curtail solar, as it does compete with their own energy production. I can understand the need for the cost of grid maintenance to be shared with solar power generators. At the end of the day, however, I have not seen a study completed by Idaho Power to objectively define the financial benefit of solar eg power being added by solar producers during peak energy demand periods, and its costs eg grid usage. Until such a study occurs and defines the costs and benefits, it is premature to change rates in a manner that has the fallout described above.

Should Idaho Power's request for these rates, despite objections, be approved, I hope you will grandfather existing solar projects into net metering for 10 years, a reasonable amount of time for these projects to "break even". These projects required significant investment that would lead to significant losses if the proposed rates go into effect without grandfathering. Please minimize the harm of these changes by grandfathering in existing solar projects for some period of time so that these projects can recoup their costs. People must not be harmed for investing in clean energy prior to the potential implementation of these rate changes.

Respectfully,

Adam Balinger

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Hull.erin@westada.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 6:08 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Erin Hull

Name: Erin Hull  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: Hull.erin@westada.org  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Boise ID, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Net metering allows solar customers to receive a credit on their bill for the excess electricity they send back to the grid. Today, that credit is the same as the rate all customers pay Idaho Power for electricity. However, under a recently filed settlement that value would decrease over time to a rate 50% lower than today. This would not be a fair or just practice and would allow Idaho Power too much control over our energy. The public needs to have a viable and valuable alternative to coal and hydro power. De-valuing solar degrades the incentive to move toward clean energy. Idaho Power is moving AWAY from clean energy by proposing to devalue it.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** S.saraharmstrong@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 6:40 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Sarah Armstrong

Name: Sarah Armstrong  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: S.saraharmstrong@gmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Hailey ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Public Utilities Commission and Idaho Power

Comment: The proposed change in net metering for solar power on individual homes should not encompass those who already have solar installed. These individuals made financial decisions entailing high initial costs with the understanding that they would be reimbursed at the market price rate for electricity produced. It puts an undue burden on these individuals to ask them to not only continue to absorb the initial costs (already expected of them), but to have a reduction in payment that was assisting in those payment of initial costs. These individuals need to be grandfathered in to the existing program with the change only applying to new solar investors. Thank you for your consideration.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** deindh@frontiernet.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 7:07 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kathy deinhardt hill

Name: Kathy deinhardt hill  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: deindh@frontiernet.net  
Telephone: 2086304412  
Address: 14068 Pioneer Road  
McCall Idaho, 83638

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am against Idaho Power's attempt to change rates for customers who provide solar power for the grid. Idaho power customers who invested in solar power had an agreement with Idaho power. To change that agreement now is unfair and unethical.

I produce power and "sell" it to Idaho Power through net metering. Now Idaho power wants to buy that power for less money than it's worth. In essence, they will be charging me MORE for my power than the average customer who has no investment in the grid. This is discriminatory.

I invested in Solar Power for two reasons:--it is good for the environment and it helps me save money. I did it because Idaho Power promoted it. Now Idaho Power wants to punish those who made major investments based on their net metering promise.

Please deny Idaho Power's rate change for Solar Producers. Please honor the original agreement Idaho Power made, and the PUC approved, for its solar power producers.

Thank you.

Kathy Deinhardt Hill

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** leeburnswick@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 7:36 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Yen Lee

Name: Yen Lee  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: leeburnswick@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2087615708  
Address: 8019 W Blackberry Ct  
Boise ID, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: The grid system is the market place, and the electricity should be traded fairly and freely. No private company should monopolize the market and dictate the pricing. Solar customers should sell their generation at the SAME rate if they were to purchase from the grid. The grid should be viewed as a public roadway, a freeway, a reserve, that allows the free flow of energy from one solar customer to another non-solar customer. The cost of the grid is taxed equally among the customers through the fees we all pay. The grid is significantly benefiting from the solar customers by avoiding costly expansion of the generation capacity to meet population growth, and reducing the peak usage and outage risk during the hot summer days, which are by far the biggest cost factors. Solar energy also carries additional value, compared to other non-solar sources, from the carbon credits embedded in each kwh that is generated with the least impact to the environment. It is the elected official sworn duty to protect and defend the public interest and the long term sustainability of our cities, rather than the profit and market share for a private company.

Yen Lee, PhD in Electrical Engineering.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** bob.unnasch@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 7:48 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Robert Unnasch

Name: Robert Unnasch  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: bob.unnasch@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-860-0780  
Address: 712 Eastridge Place  
Boise Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I'm writing to express my concern and opposition to the proposal by Idaho Power to unilaterally change the terms of their agreement with residential solar customers. We installed solar panels on our south-facing roof two years ago. This was a huge investment for my family, one that we considered carefully. We went forward with the understanding that Idaho Power would keep its commitment to Idaho families in its net metering agreement. The proposed change in that agreement is unreasonable and, honestly, unethical. Idaho has great solar potential - as evidenced by Idaho Power's investment in the solar farm east of Boise - and Idaho's residents should be encouraged to take advantage of that potential, not penalized for making an investment in the future. Idaho Power's proposal to credit residential producers at below market rates for net metering is both unethical predatory. This is especially true for those, like myself, who decided to make an early investment in rooftop solar to set an example to our neighbors as to its benefits. Now, I find myself telling people that Idaho Power is clearly trying to discourage residential production and us using its political power to penalize residents who want to help Idaho move to a more renewable future.

In the past the PUC promised that they would not allow discriminatory rates. If Idaho Power's proposal is implemented, the PUC will allow for such discrimination. I encourage the Commission to reject Idaho Power's proposal to penalize existing small solar producers and force the company to live by the terms of its original agreement.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** srbarbwire@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 7:56 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Sarah Barber

Name: Sarah Barber  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: srbarbwire@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-559-1077  
Address: 1612 Roanoke Dr  
Boise Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power's proposed changes to the net metering rates for existing solar energy customers are unfair and unfounded. Existing solar energy systems were built according to established rules and regulations; changing those rules after investments and efforts have been made is unethical and makes no sense. It makes more sense to keep existing solar consumers under the same net metering rules as always, and simply apply the new rules to NEW solar customers.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** ammon\_wilhelm@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 8:03 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Ammon Wilhelm

Name: Ammon Wilhelm  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: ammon\_wilhelm@hotmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address: 420 W Washington Ave  
Meridian ID, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please Exempt existing customers from the proposed changes in Net Metering. We made long term investment decisions based on the current set of rules regarding net metering that Idaho power was advertising and supporting. Reducing the value of our home generated electricity likely tips the scales on getting any return on our investment. If these proposed rules had been in place when we purchased solar, we would probably have made a different decision.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** gordoneldredge@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 8:18 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kenneth Eldredge

Name: Kenneth Eldredge  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: gordoneldredge@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2083232222  
Address: 2753 E WAINWRIGHT DR  
MERIDIAN ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am against the altering of the current agreement between existing Idaho Power net metering users. This agreement was made in good faith with considerable cost involved in the purchase of solar panels. The PUC promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome. If changes are implemented that negatively impact customers solar investments it would be discriminatory. The PUC needs to hold true to its word and honor its existing commitment to renewable solar energy.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** sjbistline@toast.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 8:27 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Susan Bistline

Name: Susan Bistline  
Case Number:  
Email: sjbistline@toast.net  
Telephone: 2089205303  
Address:  
Sagle ID, 83860-4911

Name of Utility Company: Avista  
Comment: the more solar used here and everywhere the better for all in many ways --our health and the health of our environment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

2 December, 2019

Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
PO Box 83720  
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074

fax: 208-334-3762

Re: **Case Number IPC-E-18-15**

Dear Members of the IPUC,

I am submitting comments on the proposed changes on how Idaho Power Corp. will compensate residential solar power production. I will present my arguments **against** the adoption of these proposed changes.

My wife and I invested this year in rooftop solar panels, at an approximate cost of \$22,000. Our installation was designed to cover 90% of our average annual kW-hr energy consumption. We made this investment based on the net-metering protocol in use presently, before we were surprised (more accurately, blind-sided) by the new proposed net metering scheme. Under the existing protocol, our system would pay for itself in approximately 15 yrs. And, being environmentally aware citizens and power consumers, we felt this was the correct decision. Under the proposed changes, it is unlikely our system will break-even over the expected operational lifetime of the system. The proposed changes will punish those residential solar producers, and is akin to changing the rules in the middle of a game. Not that Idaho Power is “losing”, rather they want to boost their profits at the expense of residential solar producers. **At the very least, if the proposed changes are adopted, existing residential solar producers should be “grandfathered in” under the rules in place when they made their investment.**

The proposed hourly net-metering scheme would not be so **onerous** if the rate paid by Idaho Power for surplus kW-hrs being pumped into their grid were the same as (**or at least close to**) the rate they charge for delivered kW-hrs. However, the scheme will gradually drop the value of these surplus kW-hrs to roughly half the rate we pay them for energy! This is pure and simple greed.

Here’s a scenario: suppose my household uses during the summer 24 kWh per day. Also, suppose this usage is fairly constant through the day ( 1 kWh used per hour). This assumption of constant usage is not unrealistic, as during the summer months we are usually not at home, and only the maintenance electric usage is occurring. Also, suppose my system produces 48 kWh during the day with most of this during the 6 hours of highest intensity sunlight ( 10 AM – 4 PM). So, assume this production is 8 kWh each of these 6 hours. Under the current structure, I bank 24 kWh for offsetting my usage in the winter months, when I don’t expect my system to produce even a quarter of that produced on a sunny summer day. Under the proposed scheme of hourly net-metering, my daytime production of 48 kWh, taking away the 6 kWh of constant usage during this 6 hour period, gives me a surplus of 42 kWh. However, because the compensation will be only at ½ the rate I pay when I’m drawing energy from the system, it’s like 21 kWh. Now there are still 18 hours in the day left to cover, leaving now only a net surplus of 3 kWh. Hence, I hardly even break even during the sunniest time of the year, and Idaho Power is essentially getting 21 kWh for free !!!!!

The fact that most surplus solar energy will be produced during the summer months is actually a **boon** to Idaho Power. These summer months, especially the daytime hours, are often **peak load periods**. Residential solar producers are putting excess energy into Idaho Power’s grid **precisely** when they (Idaho Power) need it the most, and when out-of-state purchased energy is most expensive! We are helping Idaho Power, and their proposed scheme is to screw us.

In closing, I urge the IPUC **NOT to ADOPT** the proposed net-metering changes. Residential solar production is a boon to the economy and the environment, and both will suffer if these changes are adopted. We need the

IPUC to push this state towards a more sustainable and cleaner energy future, not to stifle such a move. Please don't put the shareholders of IDACORP (**of which I am one!**) ahead of the future of our state.

Sincerely,

Ken W. Bosworth

95 Drake Ave.  
Pocatello, ID 83201-3439

email: [boswkenn@gmail.com](mailto:boswkenn@gmail.com)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** dandexheimer@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 10:51 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Daniel Dexheimer

Name: Daniel Dexheimer  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: dandexheimer@gmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address: 5882 E Bend Ridge St  
Boise Id, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a residential solar customer, I'm writing AGAINST the proposed change to the current Idaho Power net-metering program and urge the Commission to uphold the original program that was agreed upon by IdPower and solar customers. Idaho Power should not be able to make money on power that I and other solar producers make for them. A neutral third-party cost/benefit analysis should be conducted before any proposals for changes are seriously considered. If that analysis indicates needed changes, any alterations that would add expense to existing customers should be grandfathered in to the current agreement, as all parties made decisions based on those expectations. Idaho families and businesses should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement, they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored. The Idaho Power plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar. Idaho is already one of the worst ranked states for solar power. Adoption of the proposal from Idaho Power benefits them, but moves the state backwards.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** starkcr@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 9:59 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Clarence Stark

Name: Clarence Stark  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: starkcr@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 2085505098  
Address: 1203 County Rd 70  
Weiser Idaho, 83672

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I write to you with my concern about the new anticipated rate structure offered by Idaho Power in regards to customers producing their own power via solar panels.

We entered into a solar power program understanding that Idaho Power would purchase our excess energy at a stated rate. Now, I see that there is a restructuring taking place to significantly reduce the purchase rate of our excess power thus reducing the return for our investment. I understand that Idaho Power needs to have a program in place to pay for their infrastructure however the formulas presented for the new rate schedule are difficult to understand or even to verify accuracy.

Idaho Power needs to continue honoring the agreement that they made with solar producing customers at the time of the customer installation.

I urge the PUC to reject the reduction of rate changes made to existing solar power producers and require Idaho Power to develop a rate structure model that can be verified by their consumers.

Yours truly,

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** jmeldredge@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 10:14 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Julie Eldredge

Name: Julie Eldredge  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: jmeldredge@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2088631951  
Address: 2753 E WAINWRIGHT DR  
MERIDIAN ID, 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am opposed to this proposal by Idaho Power to the PUC. The PUC should uphold the original program that was agree upon by Idaho Power and the solar customers.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** studio305@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 10:15 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Joel Gardner

Name: Joel Gardner  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: studio305@gmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Pocatello ID, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I, along with many others, would like to use solar power for my home and the proposed rule change will make that much more difficult. Please keep our local economy strong by bringing solar jobs and regulating Idaho Power to move in the direction of their 100% renewable commitment.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** kenny.w.turner@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 10:19 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kenneth Turner

Name: Kenneth Turner  
Case Number: Case Number IPC-E-18-15  
Email: kenny.w.turner@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2089226572  
Address: 1837 W Merganzer Dr  
Meridian ID, 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Please do not make the changes requested.

The PUC should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting a fair deal.

Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

2 December 2019

Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
P O Box 83720  
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074  
FAX: (208) 334-3762

**Case Number IPC-E-18-15**

To the IPUC:

I am a customer of Idaho Power, a shareowner in IDACORP, and have recently installed rooftop solar panels on my home. I have to say that the plan proposed by Idaho Power will harm Idaho and its citizenry. Instead of collaborating with the solar industry and encouraging the people of Idaho to help reduce pollution in our fine state, they want to send the message to anyone wanting to install solar that they will be penalized. What a **negative** incentive!

I wonder:

- What individual will want to invest in solar or any other renewable energy?
- What renewable energy companies, offering job opportunities to Idahoans, will want to build their business here?
- What does this say to the people of Idaho, the nation, and the world regarding the increase in pollution, impact on the environment, increase in consumption, and corporate greed?

**What it says is Idaho Power doesn't care!**

I'm not against companies making money, but to plan to get free energy from individual producers (by cutting the rate by one half), making excess money from the sale of that free energy, and not compensating the producer fairly is contemptible.

Many more Idahoans would like to be solar powered and this will make that transition more difficult. We ask that the IPUC keep our economy strong by bringing solar jobs and regulating Idaho Power to move in the direction of their 100% renewable commitment.

I encourage the IPUC to vote against the current proposal and against any other proposals that would hinder solar development in Idaho.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Mary M. Hofle  
95 Drake Ave.  
Pocatello, ID 83201  
mhofle@gmail.com

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** monica@alpineig.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 10:36 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Joel Carlson

Name: Joel Carlson  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: monica@alpineig.com  
Telephone: 2087607332  
Address: 226 Meadows Loop  
Ketchum Idaho, 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We are respectfully requesting that the PUC uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans. The PUC has promised that discriminatory rates would not be the outcome, however if changes are implemented that negatively impact customers' solar investments, it would be discriminatory.

We choose to invest in local clean energy expecting a fair deal, and should not have the rules changed on us post investment. It is unfair to be penalized for investing our money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation and feel this is a breach of our good faith agreement with Idaho Power. It is our opinion that the most fair and equitable solution is to allow existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program, and the new program should be applied only to new customers. This commonsense compromise will allow all parties to keep agreements made in good faith.

In conclusion we feel that approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the PUC and our public utility. If they had no intention of honoring the service agreement they set out initially, they shouldn't have even made it an option. But they did, and it should be honored.

Respectfully, Joel Carlson

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** rodrwolfe@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:42 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Rodney Wolfe

Name: Rodney Wolfe  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: rodrwolfe@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-866-5228  
Address: 5974 N Willowdale Lane  
Garden City ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a long-time solar advocate and current co-gen customer, I am vehemently opposed to the modification of the current net metering schedule:

- It will have a negative financial impact on my family as we have invested significant capital based on the existing net metering structure. The proposed changes will cost me thousands of dollars over the life of my installed equipment. Idaho families and small businesses should not have the rules changed on them after they have already made their investment based on Idaho Power's imposed requirements and standards. The Commission should uphold the original program that was agreed upon by Idaho Power and solar customers. Idahoans have invested in local clean energy expecting and they should not be penalized for investing their own money into a program that was created to incentivize purchasing renewable generation. Approving a net metering policy that encouraged investment for long term savings, only to have it invalidated in this manner, is unethical. We should expect more from our appointed officials on the Commission and our public utility.
- You must at least grandfather those already permitted co-gen customers at the present net metering rate structure, otherwise they will be caused material financial harm at no fault of their own. Should the Commission adopt the Proposal as-written and decide not to grandfather-in existing net-metering customers, thousands of residential solar energy producing Idahoans will lose their investment, the expansion of residential solar in Idaho will end, thousands of jobs will be lost, and our beautiful state will suffer. Allowing existing solar customers to stay on the existing net metering program and applying the new program only to new customers is a commonsense compromise that allows all parties to keep agreements made in good faith. Maintaining existing utility rates and terms for existing customers is a very common practice for other utilities in the region making similar changes – it's reasonable to expect the same for Idahoans.

Finally, this is a bad idea at the worst time for many other reasons. Shame on anyone who will not summon the strength to oppose this short-sighted proposal and be an example of the stewardship our beautiful state deserves.

Sincerely,

Rodney and Lisa Wolfe  
Garden City, Idaho

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** mfmccoll@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:44 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Matt McColl

Name: Matt McColl  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: mfmccoll@gmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Comment: Dear Commissioners Kjellander, Raper and Anderson:

I, along with my family (all of whom are life-long Idahoans) write in strong opposition to any settlement wherein Idaho Power would be permitted to reduce the current net-metering payment to residential producers.

It was, in no small part, as a function of Idaho Power's constant advertisements (in serial media) and public facing statements, about moving to a zero-carbon future, that we elected to make an investment to become residential producers.

Idaho Power's actions, including broadcasting its 2045 Goal, have, no doubt, enticed scores of residential producers to make this investment.

Should these residential producers not be grandfathered in to the 1-1 net metering return, Idaho Power will clearly unfairly profit from the investment my family and so many others have made, as we made these investments, in no small part, based on Idaho Power's commitments and public facing statements.

Thank you for your consideration and careful deliberation on this important issue.

Warm regards,

Matt McColl and family

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** rjhanson@cableone.net  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:46 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Robert Hanson

Name: Robert Hanson  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: rjhanson@cableone.net  
Telephone:  
Address: 5917 E Black Gold St  
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I have made an investment in doing my part in producing solar electricity at my home. Keep the Net Metering rules and rates unchanged to keep pricing and investment ROI fair. All existing customers should be grandfathered into any existing price plans.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** rusty@veritasmaterial.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:49 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Rusty Boicourt

Name: Rusty Boicourt  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: rusty@veritasmaterial.com  
Telephone: 2088709728  
Address: 11051 W. Leilani Drive  
Boise Idaho, 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: My family's decision to install solar panels this fall was based, in large part, on the savings in electricity cost we would realize by onsite generation. The proposed rate change would unfairly increase our electricity cost.

Neighboring PUCs have honored net-metering rates in-place when onsite systems are installed by existing customers. Idaho Power now proposes to renege on the incentive they offered to coax customers into installing solar.

Changing the rate structure program will negatively impact the installation of future private onsite solar power. This is contradictory to the national trend and Idaho Power's own marketing. Idaho Power proudly advertises the amount of sustainable power (wind, hydro, and solar) included in their electrical delivery system. Their attempt to change the net metering rate program conflicts with their own goals.

Achieving a more sustainable power system in Idaho will require private customers to spend their own money up front-- in my case \$39,000. Unless the PUC and Idaho Power deal fairly with customers, the good faith needed to accomplish this noble goal will be lost.

Sincerely,  
Rusty Boicourt

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** sampsonjane935@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:50 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Jane Sampson

Name: Jane Sampson  
Case Number: IpcE-18-15  
Email: sampsonjane935@gmail.com  
Telephone: 2082411376  
Address: 935 Stone St.  
Chubbuck Idaho, 83202

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power was so nice when I first signed up to be a power producer, they said "anything you need just call and we will help you learn all about the things that will be on you account. Everyone was so happy to get everything set up,etc. When I call about the letter that Idaho Power sent out, the guy I spoke with didn't know much about what was going to happen, but was sure to tell me it wasn't fair to all the other IP customers to pay us, and that they had nothing to do with this, that the Idaho public utilities com was starting all of this reduced rate of pay. I think Idaho Power is passing the buck. Keep this fair for everyone!!

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** ds2k@msn.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:50 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Del & Susan Dickerson

Name: Del & Susan Dickerson  
Case Number: IPC-E- I8- I5  
Email: ds2k@msn.com  
Telephone: 2088508850  
Address: 1035 Beverly Dr  
Eagle ID, 83616-4629

Name of Utility Company: idaho power

Comment: As participants in Idaho Power's net-metering program, we have made a significant investment in our solar array. Now the IPUC staff has recommended that the credit granted us for our power production be cut in half over an eight year period. This would effectively double the pay-off period for our investment.

The IPUC Staff states in their brief of 11/13/19 that eight years "...will give Existing Customers time to make financial adjustments...". My wife and I are retired and are on fixed incomes (as, it would appear from the comments, are many others). What type of financial adjustments does the IPUC Staff suppose that we could make?

This is not Idaho Power's first bite at this apple. Years ago, just prior to when we decided to make the investment in solar, Idaho Power had basically tried to scuttle residential solar. Fortunately, the IPUC decided against IP in that case, and we went forward with our investment. Now, here we are again.

The eight hundred pound gorilla in the room is not, as put forth by IP, that solar owners are unfairly subsidizing non-solar customers, but that IP is a publicly-traded monopoly. IP does not care a whit about non-solar residential customers, they care about their shareholders and their bottom line. Residential solar jeopardizes their monopoly business model, and now it would appear that the IPUC Staff has drank the Kool-Aid as well.

The IPUC Staff recommends that after eight years, net-metering customers be compensated at an ill-defined Export Rate. We would ask whether IP's solar farms plan to sell their power at this Export Rate? Data from Idaho Power's 2017 Net Metering Report states (according to the IPUC Staff Brief) that the cost shift from net-metering participants to non-participants was 0.0237% of residential class revenues (0.0237% of a \$2,000/year bill = \$0.47). Does anyone really think that IP would go to this much effort to protect non-participants from such a trivial amount of money?

As irrigators, we are paid, under IP's Peak Rewards Program, not to use our communal irrigation pump during summer peak demand periods. These are precisely the periods when our solar production is at it's peak. Go figure.

We respectfully urge the IPUC to deny the settlement agreement as put forth by the IPUC Staff and some of the Intervenor. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Del & Susan Dickerson

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** greghope1@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:54 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Gregory Hope

Name: Gregory Hope  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: greghope1@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 2087945330  
Address: 11728 Lawrence Dr  
Caldwell ID, 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I spent \$72,000 on a solar system that would generate enough power to offset our peak usage times based on the existing credit payback. This proposal would cut that credit by half, forcing me to pay for the system AND still have a power bill. I would not have wasted that much money had I known Idaho Power was going to stiff us on the payback credit. My power bill payment was supposed to go toward the majority of the solar system monthly payment, which was the major selling point. How can you expect me to accept having to pay both bills just to boost your profit margin?

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** skiqueen@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 4:56 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Elizabeth Selset

Name: Elizabeth Selset  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: skiqueen@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 2063888174  
Address: 5212 E Arrow Junction Dr  
Boise ID, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Comment: I believe that all current Solar customers should be grandfathered in to any proposed changes to the Net Metering Policy changes.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** bookpeeps@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 5:04 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Thomas Schmidt

Name: Thomas Schmidt  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: bookpeeps@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-954-3089  
Address: 6202 Ethan Pl  
Garden City ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I'm wondering what will happen to all those people who already have solar panels? Shouldn't they be grandfathered in under current rates? It feels pretty shady if the people that already have panels will have their rates reduced. The calculations I used for when the panels would pay for themselves were based on current rates.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** bradsjoi@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2019 5:09 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Joi Marker

Name: Joi Marker  
Case Number: Ipc-18-15  
Email: bradsjoi@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-850-1227  
Address: 4711 W Hillcrest Dr  
Boise Id, 83705

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho power customer shouldn't pay the price for Idaho Powers lack of planning for the future for clean energy. It's in Idaho power's best interests to join hands with all who are willing to invest in a clean future including eliminating hydropower. It's time to recognize the need for change is great. You already charge solar customers a monthly fee for using your grid. It's time for Idaho power to be innovative.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** kcontrucci@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:26 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kent Contrucci

Name: Kent Contrucci  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: kcontrucci@gmail.com  
Telephone:  
Address: 18224 Southlake Circle  
Caldwell ID, 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Comment: Hello,

In good faith I signed up for the net meter program early in under a 1 to 1 agreement. Now Idaho power is going back on the agreement. Idaho Power should honor their commitment and grandfather in existing customers. It's the fair and right thing to do.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
11331 W. Chinden Blvd.  
Building 8, Suite 201-A  
Boise, ID 83714

December 1, 2019

RE: case Number IPC-E-18-15

PUC commissioners, please deny Idaho Powers request to change net metering from monthly to hourly and to change the rates for the extra kWh residential solar systems produce.

I contacted the PUC and asked for the report that was requested by the PUC Commission's June 1, 2018 Order 34604 Case No. IPC-E-17-13 to evaluate the costs and benefits of residential solar on-site generation. I was informed that no report was completed and to see the comments (see attached email). Comments do not qualify as a report. I request that this report be completed as required and allowed a public review and comments of this report before any rate structure changes are made.

My residential solar system was installed in September 2016, with additional panels added in October 2018. My goal was to generate 100 percent of my power usage. My total expenses were approximately \$29,000. My return was estimated to be 10 to 14 years on my investment. This is a substantial investment that I made based on the agreed rates at that time set by Idaho Power. I would not have made this investment based on the proposed rate changes. The proposed rate changes will reduce the number of residential solar installations or hurt the solar industry.

Some Additional Cost Factors:

- 1.) Idaho Power has announced that it wants to have 100% green energy sources by 2045. Solar residential energy would be part of this and have an associated cost benefit. Nothing is mentioned about this cost benefit to Idaho Power.
- 2.) Idaho Power has been able to reduce the use of Coal-fired generation plants (i.e. Valmy Coal-Fired Plant) saving costs for this expensive power production (see attached information sheet). Idaho Power reported "This allows Idaho Power to achieve cost savings for customers of approximately \$12.2 million". Residential solar energy generation has helped with this dirty power reduction. Nothing has been mentioned about this cost savings.
- 3.) When my solar system produces extra power it goes out on the grid to my neighbors homes. Idaho Power wants to pay me 4.4 cents for this power and sale it for 8.7 cents with little or no transmission costs. If I have a build up credit at the end

of the year, which is not used, Idaho Power essentially gets this for free. I should get compensated for any extra power generated that is not used.


4.) Idaho Power wants to charge me fixed costs (i.e. transmission system). Rural electric consumers use long transmission lines to get their power. Are they paying more for these services?

5.) Electrical prices will increase over time. Is this factored into the equation anywhere?

I believe that residential solar power producers provide Idaho Power more costs benefits than expenses. I also believe that our climate is changing and that we need to reduce our carbon output. Solar energy is now more important than ever and we should encourage people to install solar and not to make it cost prohibitive. Please do not allow Idaho Power to make these changes to net metering. Please complete the report that was required by the PUC Order 34604 Case No. IPE-E-17-13.

Thank you. Sincerely,

Dale Reynolds and Meg Fereday

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dale Reynolds and Meg Fereday". The ink is dark and the writing is fluid.

1320 E. Hays Way  
Boise, ID 83712

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** spen\_wood@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:29 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: SPENCER AND LAYLE WOOD

Name: SPENCER AND LAYLE WOOD  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: spen\_wood@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 2088609410  
Address: 421 E Crestline Dr. 421 E Crestline Dr., 421 E Crestline Dr.  
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: IDAHO POWER CO.  
Comment:

Re: Study of Costs, Benefits, and Compensation of Net Excess Energy Supplied by Customer On-Site Generation

Dear Commissioners:

We are both long-term shareholders of Idaho Power Co. stock and folks who, in 2019, invested \$34,000 (less 10,200 tax credit) in our residential solar photovoltaic system. We are an all-electric home, and our system now provides ~ 40% of our electric power usage. During some summer months, during daytime summer peak demand we will produce a small amount of excess power, and we expect to be credited by the net-metering agreement at the same rate we are charged (~\$0.086/kWh), including foreseeable future rate increases.

We point out that the residential solar investment is expensive to us, but provides the utility company with sustainable "clean energy" without a capital investment by Idaho Power Co. or need for more transmission facilities.

For this simple reason, we ask that the commission reject the proposed settlement of a reduced rate for our exported power.

Sincerely yours,

Spencer and Layle Wood  
421 E. Crestline Dr.  
Boise, Idaho 83702

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** hdermott@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:08 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Heather Dermott

Name: Heather Dermott  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: hdermott@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-340-3777  
Address: 3690 S. Holcomb Rd  
Boise ID, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: My husband and I are committed to supporting 100% renewable energy goals and made the move over a year ago to power our home and vehicles with rooftop solar. We generate more energy than we use and that value is sent back to us in the form of a credit. We understand net metering allows Idaho Power to measure and monetize the costs and values associated with individual rooftop solar generators in our state. However, we're troubled to now learn that the value of credit we receive on our bill for the excess electricity we generate and send back to the grid will decrease over time to a rate roughly 50% lower than it is today. This is unfair to us and other existing solar customers who invested in solar based on the current net metering rates.

Additionally, I am not only disappointed by these decreasing rates for my own family, but believe these lower metered rates will discourage individuals and businesses from investing in rooftop solar and renewable energy solutions going forward. This is incredibly disappointing and troubling given climate change and at a time when more and more cities are committing to 100% renewable energy solutions to combat this crisis.

At a minimum, I would ask that existing customers be allowed to stay on the current net metering program. If rates are to be decreased it should apply only to new customers who will benefit from rate transparency as they move forward. Both utilities and customers deserve transparency in order to make and to keep agreements made in good faith. Ideally, Idaho Power will leave rates where they are for the foreseeable future for all customers to continue to drive solar adoption and more renewable energy generation in Idaho.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** mdunay@altenergyinc.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:12 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Matthew Dunay

Name: Matthew Dunay  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: mdunay@altenergyinc.com  
Telephone:  
Address:  
Boise Idaho, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: As a solar business owner and net metering customer, I am opposed to Net-Hourly billing for one simple reason:

If I export a solar kWh to the grid, this solar kWh travels through twenty feet of copper wire and is immediately consumed by my neighbor. Idaho Power charges my neighbor full retail rate for that solar kWh; a kWh they did not have to pay to produce or transport any distance. For the vast majority of net metering customers, any exported solar electricity is immediately consumed within close proximity of its generation. This is a huge net benefit to all Idaho Power rate payers and reduces the costs of electricity in our state.

I urge the PUC to reject the proposed settlement. Time-of-Use metering, not Net-hourly billing, is the solution. Please direct Idaho Power to conduct a proper costs and benefit study to determine a fair Time-Of-Use rate schedule.

If the proposed rate case is approved, it will have a severe impact on our business in Idaho. I understand there are huge pressures, and I appreciate the PUC's careful consideration of Idahoan's rights to produce their own electricity and the benefits that distributed solar energy brings to all Idaho citizens.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Dunay

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** ncontrucci3@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:21 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Nancy Contrucci

Name: Nancy Contrucci  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: ncontrucci3@gmail.com  
Telephone: 9095280925  
Address: 18224 Southlake Circle  
Caldwell ID, 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Comment: Hello,

I would like to start by thanking the members of the PUC for their service.

I am writing today because I am very troubled by the changes to Net Monthly Meeting that Idaho Power has proposed.

I went solar a couple of years ago and my system was designed under the current Net Monthly Meeting program. My energy consultant explained the program and that it had been around for a number of years. They also told us that based on what had just happened in Nevada, we could expect to be grandfathered into any future changes to the program.

However, now I am learning that Idaho Power wants to change the program from Net Monthly Metering to Net Hourly Billing. My system was not designed under this system, and if I had to switch to this new system it could cost me thousands of dollars!! This is not what I signed up for and is not fair.

It is also not fair that Idaho Power is not telling us how they are arriving at the export rate. It is my understanding that the PUC told Idaho Power to do a study to determine the net costs/benefits that arise from people having solar, and it is also my understanding that they have not done that study. Without the study and the resulting data they should not be able to set an export rate. Especially since other states have done studies that show a net benefit, not a net cost to solar!

Thank you for your time. I truly hope that you will reject this settlement until Idaho Power provides the requested study. But at the very least I hope you grandfather in existing customers to any changes. Thank you for protecting the individual investments of Idahoans and making a just and fair decision.

Nancy

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** djgibsonn@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:21 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Donald Gibson

Name: Donald Gibson  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: djgibsonn@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-908-2898  
Address: 9993 W Whirlaway  
Boise Idaho, 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: When I decided to purchase Solar Panels my sizing was based on 103% of the previous year. I was told of the excess power was to be sent to the power grid and being compensated with kwh credit. Had I known of the rate reduction of credit hour I would not have purchased the panels. Due to the reduction in rate compensation my power bill will increase substantially to the percentage that no economist nor rational thinker would even consider the purchase of solar panels. I believe that I and those whom have already installed the system should be grandfathered in to continued existing credit as explained and established on purchase. Thank you for the time and consideration of proposal. Donald Gibson

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Meg32461@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 3, 2019 11:27 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Megan McMahon

Name: Megan McMahon  
Case Number: IPC-E-18-15  
Email: Meg32461@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208/788-3232  
Address: 417 North 5th St  
Bellevue ID, 83318

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am appalled that you are considering allowing Idaho Power make changes to the solar power agreement. SERIOUSLY! We are working people who invested in solar power to try and do our part for the environment. We are still paying off our investment and may never recoup but, did it because we care about our community, state, world!

To discourage others like us is disgraceful... especially with the legacy in our country of subsidizing the oil and power companies!!!!!!

Please look at the big picture.

Keeping my fingers crossed that you will do the right and moral thing.

Megan McMahon

Unique Identifier: 164.165.206.42