

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** adrian paz <adrianpaz56@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 2:32 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** case IPC-E-18-15 I Adrian Paz thank you for rejecting the proposed settlement on the ca denial of Idaho Power net hourly billing petition as this would be discriminatory toward solar customer.i

So please commission of Idaho reject Idaho Power new motions don't let them get their way and change are net meter billing cycles and once again thank you for your time.

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Dan Johnson <danmontyjohnson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 3:15 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case # IPC-E-18-15

My name is Dan Johnson and I live in Nampa, Idaho at 10351 Pheasant Lane. We have a solar system on the roof of our home and outbuilding as part of the 1 to 1 Net metering system.

I would like to thank you for the hearing you recently held on the above referenced case that was filed by Idaho Power and your subsequent decision to maintain the 1 to 1 net metering system rather than agreeing to let Idaho Power go to a system they proposed in their filing. As solar users for a number of years now, my family appreciates what we are doing for the electrical grid. We know Idaho Power wanted a different outcome but appreciate your decision for existing customers. I and my family are hopeful that you will continue your position on this matter with future customers because it is simply the right thing to do for the right reasons.

Sincerely,  
Dan Johnson

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** jill Giegerich <jill.giegerich@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 12:21 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case IPC-E-18-15

From: Jill Giegerich  
Address: 2087 South Hudson Avenue, K107, Boise ID 83705  
Phone: 31- 795 6991  
Utility: Idaho Power

Idaho Power has filed a motion to reconsider asking the PUC to change their order given on December 20th, 2019, petitioning for net hourly billing for future solar customers. Currently, solar customers are on net monthly, which makes sense based on standard utility billing cycles. This change would negatively impact how solar customer's generation is valued. I disagree with Idaho Power's request.

Vote Solar has filed a [motion to reconsider](#) as well, asking to include all new solar customers in the existing 1-to-1 net-metering program until new rules go into effect. This makes sense to allow continuation of the current policy until a new policy is actually determined, after the mandated study and requisite timeframe, not before. Until the requested cost-benefit analysis/study of net-metering and all related public workshops are complete, the current program should be guaranteed for all customers who invest in the future of Idaho by improving our grid and protecting our beautiful outdoors with solar. There is no reasonable argument to change a policy without having a new policy approved and implemented, so I agree with Vote Solar.

Thank you,  
Jill Giegerich

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 1:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Gregory Mortensen  
Email: gregoryfrank3@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-994-9315  
Address: 975 E 17th N  
Mountain Home, ID 83647

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Net hourly metering for Customer Generated is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the one to one net metering, aka Net monthly metering, policy until the future study is completed and the new policy takes effect. If the study is not complete, how can Idaho Power really determine what is best for all?"

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** larrymoore1224@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 12:19 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Regards to Idaho Power petitioning for net hourly billing

Solar Power is such a positive resource for our country it should not be constrained by Big Companies who are out to pinch the consumer or take advantage of for their own greed. Currently Solar Power in Idaho is billed net monthly. It should not be billed net hourly because this will be a negative reason for the consumer to purchase Solar Power. Thus causing a bigger usage of fossil fuel which is a negative to our environment. We all need to think of ways of promoting Solar Power and not restraining it. Thankyou for your attention.

Larry Moore  
[Larrymoore1224@gmail.com](mailto:Larrymoore1224@gmail.com)  
208-941-1873

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and attachments may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, print, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the message and any file attachments from your computer. Thank you.

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Donna Hartmans <dmhara@cableone.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 9:28 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Solar Customers

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on [January 10th, 2020](#). Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you.

Donna Hartmans, Solar Panel owner & user

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Tonya Harke <harkes@rocketmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:44 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Idaho Power solar proposal

Net-hourly is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect.

Chris & Tonya Harke

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** MARK RUTHERFORD <huskerut@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:45 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Fair Solar in Idaho

Dear I P U Commissioners,

Thank you for protecting our interests as customers of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. We urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. We believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you,

Caryn & Mark Rutherford

(Installed 20 panels on our Boise home in February 2019)



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Medina Blanchet <mailformedina@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:45 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Residential Solar Energy

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

We had solar panels installed on our home in the Hidden Springs neighborhood at the end of 2019. The intention for this was two-fold. First to help provide clean energy and secondly to produce our own energy and save on our utility bill. It is disturbing to think that Idaho Power is trying to take steps that would discourage homeowners from installing solar. Idaho should be a frontrunner in residential solar. We know it will take many years to get our investment back and were willing to make the commitment when we would be receiving the one-to-one compensation from Idaho Power.

I also believe that the power we are generating is our own. I would like to suggest that any extra power credit that we accrue in our account can be used as we see fit. Whether it be donating to Idaho Power's Low Income program, or transferring it to another property that we own.

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by **Vote Solar**, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Medina Blanchet

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** MARK RUTHERFORD <huskerut@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:49 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Fair Solar in Idaho

Dear I P U Commissioners,

Thank you for protecting our interests as customers of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. We urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. We believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you,

Caryn & Mark Rutherford

(Installed 20 panels on our Boise home in February 2019)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Kim Wilkerson <kwilkers32@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 7:47 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you.

Kim Wilkerson

7601 West Sagebrush Way

Boise, ID 83709

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 8:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Kim Wilkerson  
Email: kwilkers32@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-989-0840  
Address: 7601 West Sagebrush Way  
Boise, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power - Auric Solar

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 9:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Paul Cunningham  
Email: pmchmc@aol.com  
Telephone: 208-424-3698  
Address: 874 w. sandstone lane  
boise, ID 83702

Name of Utility Company: IPC

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Please stand by your earlier well informed decision on IPC and solar net metering. By denying IPC's current request you will send a message that solar is a viable option for ratepayers and IPC should get on board."

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Mary Peterman <maryzpeterman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 19, 2020 9:33 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Rates for Solar Power

Please reconsider your order on net metering for solar power and please provide transparent and reasonable future rates. Please help Idahoans, who independently install solar power so they can help our future generations live without fear that their planet will not withstand the carbon assault.

Mary Peterman

Sent from Mary's traveling iPad 🐾🐾🐾

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Charles Bingham <bingham.cd@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 6:41 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Vote Solar petition & Idaho Power petition

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you.

Charles Bingham

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Helinka <helinka@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 5:20 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Reference Case Number IPC-E-18-15 Idaho Power motion filed 10 January 2020

21 January, 2020

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Billing on a monthly basis is in line with the current utility billing cycles. Net hourly billing for future solar customers does not make sense. To use "net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect.

Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy.

I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Fair compensation for the electricity that both current and future solar owners produce is about freedom. The freedom to choose and create your own power for your family or business. The right to choose where your energy comes from and the compensation received should be designed by the people, for the people, not maximizing the profits of a monopoly.

Thank you.

Helinka Papison  
712 S Sneddon Way  
Nampa, Idaho 83686



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Hannah <hannahnogle@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 7:49 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Solar power in Idaho

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you.

Hannah Nogle

Boise Idaho

Sent from my iPhone

**Diane Holt**

---

**From:** Jane Groebner <jgroebne@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 8:06 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** metering for solar

Dear Secretary -

I own solar panels and I expected that the agreement with Idaho Power would stay in effect. I am asking you to reject any changes to solar customers so we may enjoy the little savings we may get as a result of investing in clean energy.

Sincerely,  
Jane Groebner  
6803 Pomona Rd  
Boise, Id

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Adrian Curnow <adriancurnow@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 12:28 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Case Number IPC-E-18-15

Dear Diane and Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15 last month. You protected my investment in renewable energy with solar power and cleaner air for Idaho.

Once again Idaho Power is trying to change an agreement that they made with each of us when we made our investment in Solar Power for a healthier Idaho. "Net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory. Idaho Power is entering into a contract to purchase power from a large Solar farm for the next 20 years. It would not be fair for that Solar Farm to change the contract and charge Idaho Power more in a few years part way through that contract. My Solar investment 2 years ago was for 20 years. I cannot go to the bank and renegotiate a decreased return to them. Idaho Power can not be allowed to do that to me and other Solar investors who have current agreements with Idaho Power. Furthermore you should consider allowing future customers into the current 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study that has been asked of Idaho Power is completed and a new policy takes effect.

I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. **I believe the current one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is a fair and valid agreement that Idaho Power entered into with us.**

Idaho Power needs to listen to the PUC and the public, and stop trying to change the rules!

Thank you for your service to all of us citizens of the great State of Idaho!

Adrian Curnow  
adriancurnow@yahoo.com  
208-866-0482

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** lucas R <eagleljr@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 9:31 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Solar Action

My name is lucas and I just got my solar in 2019. The "net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect.

Lucas

Sent from my iPhone

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 12:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Steven Nelson  
Email: steves23t@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-954-3504  
Address: 2082 N McClure Ln  
Kuna, ID 83634

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I feel "net-hourly metering" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory. I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers to be included in the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect. Thank You"

-----  
Name: Russell Gluch  
Email: rgluch74@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 208-440-8829  
Address: 11584 W. Hollandale Dr.  
Boise, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----  
Name: Loveta Geesey  
Email: lovetageesey@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-859-5142  
Address: 2978 North Triphammer Road

Lansing, NY 14882

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "We currently have property in Idaho that has solar power on it. When considering solar, the way that energy is gather, used, and credited was a big factor. Punishing solar customers for helping with the energy health of Idaho does not benefit anyone. "Net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and not how power is billed. As a property owner, electic power users, and solar panel owners, I ask that the commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect."

-----  
Name: Steven Poole  
Email: spoole33@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-906-9173  
Address: 625 W Mulberry Loop  
Nampa, ID 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----  
Name: Ryan Owsley  
Email: rsodermmd@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-859-1509  
Address: 2834 S. Kingsbury Way  
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. I would also Request a denial of Idaho Power's net hourly billing petition, as this would be

discriminatory toward solar customers, and is neither necessary and nor justified without a comprehensive study. Thank you, Ryan S. Owsley, MD"

-----

Name: Brian Murphy  
Email: blmurphy@hopkinsfinancial.com  
Telephone: 208-340-3052  
Address: 2419 W Hill Road  
Boise, ID 83702

Name of Utility Company: Hopkins Financial Services, Inc.

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I believe net-hourly is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory and that I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the one-to-one net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes place. Sincerely, Brian Murphy "

-----

Name: SEAN MANION  
Email: smanion@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-906-4161  
Address: 7750 S HIDDEN VALLEY DR  
BOISE, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 21, 2020 1:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Dustan Shrader  
Email: dustan.shrader@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-371-0146  
Address: 1143 E Argence Ct  
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----

Name: Derek Cassel  
Email: derekarlis@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-440-9548  
Address: 4508 E Homedale Rd  
Caldwell, ID 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I am writing in opposition to Idaho Power going to a net hourly system for billing solar customers (past or future). This can only have the effect of stifling future solar development as more and more people come to the realization that it's just not a good investment with the numbers stacked against them in such a way. It's time for Idaho Power to decide if they are for green energy (and energy efficiency in general) or not. This unfair request indicates that they are not."

-----

Name: Charles Heilsberg  
Email: cheilsberg@yahoo.com



Telephone: 208-514-8220  
Address: 16639 Clover Valley Way  
Nampa, ID 83687

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I appreciate the commission rejecting the proposed settlement. Please continue allowing the one for one credit that is fair and simple. Idaho power is a public utility and they need to complete their study before mandating changes. Idaho power needs to listen to the PUC and the public."

-----

Name: Bill Bach  
Email: drbbach2@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-850-8969  
Address: 8115 South Old Farm Place  
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "From a homeowner with solar generation I believe net-hourly metering is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory. I request the Commission to continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect."

-----

Name: Shane Butler  
Email: shane@butlerinsuranceservices.com  
Telephone: 208-859-6567  
Address: 12335 N 11th Ave  
Garden City, ID 83714-1457

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: ""net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and that you would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect. Furthermore, it is sad that Idaho companies and leadership are not supporting a more green and self sustaining Idaho. Let's get with the times and do our best to make alternative green energy a good option for everyone. I love conservative Idaho, and I love keeping with tradition, but in this case, LETS TO WHAT IS BEST for the future, not for the profits of Idaho Power. Stop the push to change net metering. "

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 7:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Eric Oliver  
Email: ericparkeroliver@gmail.com  
Telephone: 603-236-2680  
Address: 608 N Stanley St  
Boise, ID 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I write to you in favor of net metering, and to thank you for your decision protecting families and businesses who are considering investing in energy resiliency via solar panels--my own household included. I hope the Commission sticks with its December decision and provides clear information to those who are working to make investment decisions. Investing in solar not only gives families like mine the freedom to produce energy, it also opens the door to an increasingly important sector of Idaho's economy. Thank you for considering my comments."

-----  
Name: Shelley Hallum  
Email: yogartini@gmail.com  
Telephone: 817-875-5377  
Address: 2654 S Shadywood Lane  
Boise, ID 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I recently bought a home that would be perfect for utilizing potential solar power that we have available in Idaho. I feel it would be a great injustice to not have the option to utilize this natural resource and offset some of the pollution and global warming we are experiencing. Please allow us, the consumers, to have this option. "

-----  
Name: Montgomery Seal  
Email: Devildog68ms@yahoo.com  
Telephone: 208-455-8401  
Address: 1409 W Olds River Dr

Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: Ipc-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you. Montgomery Seal "

-----

Name: Clarissa Nappier  
Email: cnappier65@gmail.com  
Telephone: 334-863-1436  
Address: 13008 N Schicks Ridge Rd  
Boise, ID 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Thank you for the ruling on IPC-E-18-15 that requires Idaho Power treat solar power customers fairly, I am writing to ask that this treatment be extended to people who are new installers of solar panels. I also request that you deny Idaho Power's request to net hourly billing rates on existing customers because it is unfair and discriminatory. "

-----

Name: Kevin Myers  
Email: kevin@flyingmcoffee.com  
Telephone: 208-250-6787  
Address: 6811 E. Locust Ln  
Nampa, ID 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Please uphold your decision to reject Idaho power's request to change net metering. Hourly net metering will tremendously alter the savings home solar provides."

-----

Name: Don Walker  
Email: dewitdon@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-365-8905

Address: 433 E. Idaho Blvd  
Emmett, ID 83617

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-25

Comment: ""Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you.""

-----

Name: Alex Henkel  
Email: alexhenkel1@yahoo.com  
Telephone: 208-546-1580  
Address: 8435 cherry lane  
Nampa, ID 83687

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Net-hourly is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and that I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect."

-----

Name: Pete Schroeder  
Email: petethetreeguy@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-340-9798  
Address: 13008 N Schicks Ridge Road  
Boise , ID 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Thanks for rejecting the proposed settlement of the case listed above. I am writing to request you reject the net hourly billing rate from Idaho Power. This is unfair to people who have made the investment in clean solar power. I would also ask that new solar panel customers be able to access the one for one credit to continue to encourage the growth of this clean energy source."

-----

Name: Susan Martin

Email: cgsam2@yahoo.com  
Telephone: 810-875-0989  
Address: 5386 W Lockport Dr  
Boise, ID 83703

Name of Utility Company: IPC

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you! "

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)