

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 11:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Barbara Forderhase  
Email: b4dhaza@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-433-9548  
Address: 2157 E Walling Drive  
Boise, ID 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Back in December 2019, you heard from over 1,000 Idahoans on Idaho Power's plan to change its solar net metering program for families and small businesses. You rejected their proposal and told them to complete a cost-benefit analysis to inform a new compensation program for solar customers. Now Idaho Power is asking you to overturn your decision and disregard public opinion in this matter. As an alternative, Idaho Power wants a single term in the settlement to be implemented, changing from a monthly to an hourly calculation of the way customers with solar are billed. You heard testimony from one individual on the significant difference in the return on investment between the monthly and hourly billing. Idaho Power has ignored your requests for the cost-benefit analysis to support their proposed changes to net metering. Please uphold your original decision and also address customers who go solar after December 20, but before a replacement program goes into effect."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 2:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Lucas Revaul  
Email: eagleljr@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 208-576-9405  
Address: 1444 W Olds River Dr  
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "The "net-hourly" is unfair, unnecessary, and discriminatory, and I would like to see the Commission continue to allow future customers into the 1-to-1 net metering policy until the future study is completed."

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 1:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Julie Heath  
Email: julianneheath@yahoo.com  
Telephone: 208-449-3800  
Address: 1209 Shenandoah Ct  
Boise, ID 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear PUC, thank you for protection customers interested in solar. I urge you to extend net-metering until new rules go into effect. It is important to protect economic investments in solar power by reducing compensation uncertainty. Further, Idaho will benefit from investment in reliable solar power and choices that support freedom of decision."

-----

Name: Mark and Tamara Masarik  
Email: mtmasarik@q.com  
Telephone: 208-391-0412  
Address: 2802 N Norman Dr  
Boise, ID 83704-6140

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you. Peace, Mark and Tamara Masarik"

-----

Name: John Rumps  
Email: Outbackrumps@hotmail.com  
Telephone: 208-392-9409

Address: 45 Highland Rd  
Boise, ID 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "First of all, thank you for your wise December decision rejecting Idaho Power's proposed settlement. Now Idaho Power proposes to immediately end net-metering for all new customers without doing the cost-benefit analysis you requested in your decision. For the sake of promoting Idaho's local, affordable, clean energy future and the economic benefits it will bring, please uphold and clarify your December decision, but do not approve Idaho Power's latest proposal. Respectfully, John Rumps"

-----  
[Open in the PUC Intranet application]

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Medina and David Blanchet <dmb Blanchet@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 2:33 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Idaho Power Residential Solar

Concerning case number #IPC-E-18-5, I would like to say not to net-hourly billing and yes to 1-to-1 net metering until the mandated study is completed.

I would also like the committee to consider that any power that is produced by a solar residential resident be available for that customer to use the excess power produced be able to be able to be used on other owned residences the owner may have in the state of Idaho, or be able to be donated to people who are in need of assistance.

David Blanchet  
12747 N 11<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Boise, ID  
83714

208-602-8612

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Tim Davis <tim\_c\_davis@me.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 2:52 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** No to net hourly billing

Please vote no to net hourly billing and yes to 1 to 1.

Sent from my iPhone

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 3:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jeff Matlock  
Email: jmatlock10@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-859-1665  
Address: 5175 s farmhouse pl  
Boise, ID 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "no to net-hourly billing and yes to 1-to-1 net metering until the mandated study is completed. "

-----

Name: David Blanchet  
Email: dmblanchet@msn.com  
Telephone: 208-602-8612  
Address: 12747 N 11th Ave  
Boise, ID 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Concerning the Idaho Power solar changes being considered for residential customers, I would like to say no to net-hourly billing and yes to 1 to 1 net metering until the mandated study is completed."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Lee Klosterman <elkofboise@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 11:47 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Idaho Power Net Metering

We are not in favor of hourly net metering. It should stay at monthly. All solar customers should get 1 to 1 net metering. Please keep these as they are now.

Lee  
208.412.4980

--

Sent from myMail for Android



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Mark & Tamara Masarik <mtmasarik@q.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 11:38 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you. Mark and Tamara Masarik

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** rome4923@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 11:22 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Reference case Number IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you

*Jerome Conley*

rome4923@aol.com

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Jerry James <jjames415@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:19 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** Reference case Number IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

Sincerely,

Jerry James  
1912 N 15th St  
Boise, ID  
83702

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Ellen <milandra@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 5:14 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Cc:** Ellen  
**Subject:** IPC -E 18-15

Reference case Number **IPC-E-18-15**

"Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

Ellen Bush

Garden Valley, ID

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** bruce green <brucegreen56@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 9:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Cc:** Dina Green; bruce green  
**Subject:** Net hour solar metering

First, thank you for hearing the public feedback and rejecting proposed settlement in case IPC-E-18-15!

Please allow future customers to continue receiving the equitable and fair 1 for 1 credit net-metering policy. Please deny Idaho Power's net hourly billing petition toward solar customers as it is discriminatory, unnecessary and unjustified.

I also ask, that as cost benefit studies and public workshops are completed, additional consideration is given to "grandfathering in" current residential solar installations to the current 1 for 1 monthly metering policy, not by current resident or owner, but rather by property/address. In addition to the monthly return on investment for residential solar customers, that was expected when the initial install was done, there needs to be consideration for the increase in homeowner's property value with the installation of solar. Changing the metering policy upon the sale of a property/address will considerably diminish the value, and in turn, selling price of the home, if the new owner can't retain the same benefits, both financial and environmental, of this expensive upgrade to a home. The other option would be a one time "buyout" of homeowners' solar generating systems, to offset the loss in property value, if we can no longer receive the anticipated return on our investments in the future, due to an adverse decision.

Thank you for considering all important aspects of this matter. Your thorough diligence is greatly appreciated!

Bruce Green  
303-931-5950

Sent from my iPad

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Mary Gilbert <marymgilbert56@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 4:59 PM  
**To:** Diane Holt  
**Subject:** IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Thank you.

Mary Gilbert

Current solar loving customer of Idaho power

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Julie Hunt <julesrochelle24@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 11:26 AM  
**To:** Diane Holt; Diane Holt  
**Subject:** IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by ***rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15***. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,  
Julie Hunt

All case documents can be found at this link:

[Case Details - IPUC](#)

