

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 7:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Ashley Davis  
Email: drashleyadavis@davisfamilymedicine.net  
Telephone: 208-866-3667  
Address: 1606 N 20th  
Boise, ID 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "My husband and I installed solar panels 4 years ago at a very steep cost to ourselves, because we believe it is the best way to do our part to help the environment and the salmon. We spent \$27,000, and will not break even for many, many years. We will break even eventually under the agreement that we would get credit for the power we generate above our use. We applaud IPUC for rejecting Idaho power's attempt to scale back the credits they allocate to users like us. WE are outraged that Idaho Power is doing this, which will cause future potential customers to reject solar. We as citizens need to become less dependent on energy that harms the environment. thanks for listening. Ashley Davis, MD"

-----  
Name: Haley Thomason  
Email: haley.thomason@rate.com  
Telephone: 208-841-8345  
Address: 1511 S Blanca Ave  
Boise, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Thank you for listening and rejecting Idaho Powers plan to change net metering. I support protecting customers who wish to go solar. Please stand strong and upholding your December decision. Solar customers need regulatory certainty in order to make informed decisions about their investment. Idaho families and businesses currently considering solar have no way to know how they will be compensated for excess energy, making a solar investment financially risky. Solar is a growing industry bringing billions in local investment and hundreds of thousands of jobs. Without clear policies Idaho will miss out on its fair share of these benefits and fall farther behind in the clean energy revolution. Fair compensation for the electricity that solar owners produce is ultimately about freedom. Its about the freedom to create your own electricity to provide for your family and to choose where your energy comes from. Unfair compensation programs do not benefit Idaho or its citizens. "

-----

Name: JERALD TAYLOR  
Email: I.like.cheesy.stuff@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-921-1637  
Address: 4462 N. Kitsap Wy  
Boise, ID 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Commissioners Thank you for listening to the comments that were made leading up to your December decision to reject Idaho Power's proposal to change its solar net metering program. Viable solar power is vital to Idaho's future economy and ecology. Retaining the existing program is important to encourage growth in renewable energy. It is important that Idaho Power maintains its commitment to current solar power users and not knee-cap one of the important reasons they invested in solar in the first place. "

-----

[Open in the PUC Intranet application]

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 27, 2020 1:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Alex McKinley  
Email: alex@pacificu.edu  
Telephone: 208-901-5167  
Address: 1407 E. Jefferson  
Boise, ID 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I request that once again the PUC reject Idaho Power's petition to change the current netmetering program for residential and small business customers. Learning that they are petitioning to have the 12.20.19 ruling overturned is very frustrating and disappointing. It shows that IPC still has no interest in participating in a fair and balanced cost-benefit analysis to determine a reasonable rate structure for distributed generation. Current netmetering customers were not notified of this appeal and most likely are unaware that they are doing anything but moving forward to comply with the ruling in the case. This is just one more example of the very disingenuous manner in which Idaho Power has acted throughout this entire process. As Idaho Power continues to act in bad faith, I believe the PUC should mandate grandfathering of all new onsite generation until a fair and rigorous study of the costs and benefits is completed either by a third party or with independent oversight. Thank you"

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

Allan and Anna Schneider  
3820 N Willowbar Ln.  
Garden City, Idaho 83714

RECEIVED

2020 JAN 27 AM 10:22

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
PO Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720

Commissioners:

I am writing you regarding Docket Number IPC—E—18—15. I understand that Idaho Power once again wishes to change how it credits customers who produce electricity via home-owned solar panels. I cannot speak to the merits of this case as a whole, but I must speak to the idea of this continued attack by Idaho Power on current solar panel owners. We made a deal with Idaho Power: kilowatt hour for kilowatt hour, calculated *monthly*. It's not fair to force on us a new program, mid-stream, that reduces the value of our investment substantially. It can only be fair that we be grandfathered from enduring their chronic attempts to initiate *hourly* net metering. We should instead be allowed to continue with the monthly metering plan we had agreed to before making our investment in clean energy.

Anna and I made a large investment in good faith knowing that it would take us 15 years to just break even. Our intention, based on a good faith agreement with Idaho Power, was that this would be a beneficial investment in our retirement. After the 15 years, we would have virtually no electric bill. We agreed with Idaho Power: kilowatt hour for kilowatt hour, calculated monthly. Thankfully, after an outpouring of public dissent, the PUC unanimously rejected Idaho Power's bid to change the rules post facto. Absurdly trying to circumvent this overwhelming public opinion, Idaho Power is again attempting to do what is only in their financial interest. Thousands of your fellow Idahoans testified against the proposed changes, besides corporate lawyers, which Idahoans testified in favor? It's not the Idaho way to change the rules *after* we've made the investment.

Based on Idaho Power's own guidelines we took the risk, we made the investment, *Idaho Power* did neither. Why is it that *they* alone may determine changes? We early adopters made careful calculations before we invested in clean energy. It's unfair to change the math after the deal is done. Reneging on a good faith deal is not the Idaho way. It's not who we are. Please grandfather current solar owners' good faith arrangements.

Respectfully,

Allan and Anna Schneider

*Allan Schneider*  
*Anna Schneider*

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 27, 2020 7:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Aimee Christensen  
Email: aimeec@stanfordalumni.org  
Telephone: 208-721-8619  
Address: 212-3 Bitterroot Road  
Sun Valley, ID 83353

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "First, I want to thank you for rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15I, protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 26, 2020 4:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: SCOTT GARNER  
Email: GARNERSINMH@HOTMAIL.COM  
Telephone: 208-590-0591  
Address: 1885 NORTH 5TH EAST  
MOUNTAIN HOME, ID 83647

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Good afternoon. Once again I find myself writing because Idaho Power did not like the results of your last decision. It must be nice to just refile with no more justification than the last time they filed. I invested thousands of dollars in a system just to break even. Now Idaho Power wants to change to hourly instead of net monthly. Idaho Power gets to try to change the rules. Again. Net hourly is unfair, unnecessary and discriminatory. Also new solar customers should be included IT01 net metering policy until the future study is completed and new policy takes effect. Thank you for your time and attention."

-----

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** ezriderii@aol.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 26, 2020 3:38 PM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Fwd: Case # IPC-E-18-15

---

From: ezriderii@aol.com  
To: secretary@puc.idaho.gov  
Cc: diane.hanian@puc.idaho.gov  
Sent: 1/26/2020 3:33:49 PM Mountain Standard Time  
Subject: Case # IPC-E-18-15

Case # IPC-E-18-15

"Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

Sincerely, Bruce & Anita

Jackson  
Nampa, ID 83687

7850 S Saddle Bag Way

**Diane Holt**

---

**From:** Dan Derby <dandpderby@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 26, 2020 11:22 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** IPC-E-18-15

Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

We want to thank you for protecting our interests and investment in Solar Power, as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15.

We urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid.

Idaho is growing. Idaho Power can serve the growing population easier with the help of customers utilizing solar power. Which also helps our environment.

Thank you.

Dan and Pam



## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Luke Prange <luke.prange@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 24, 2020 7:45 PM  
**To:** Diane Hanian; Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** case number IPC-E-18-15

Thank you for ruling in favor of a sustainable future on Dec. 20 in case number IPC-E-18-15. And please protect the 1 to 1 credit net-metering policy for all customers.

I am apposed to net hourly billing . Net monthly billing has worked for me.

Luke Prange  
Salmon Idaho

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Don Ludwig <dandbludwig@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 1:27 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Idaho Power's request to change billing for Solar customers

"Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners,

I want to thank you for protecting my interests as a customer of Idaho Power by rejecting the settlement in case IPC-E-18-15. I urge you to accept the petition for reconsideration filed by Vote Solar, and reject the Idaho Power Company petition for reconsideration filed on January 10th, 2020. Until the full study and cost-benefit analysis, including the public workshops you have ordered, are complete, all solar customers should be grandfathered into the current net metering policy. I believe the one-to-one compensation for excess generation from solar is fair, and future customers deserve the right to invest in the grid. Thank you."

Sincerely,

Don Ludwig 208-930-9089

Solar power customer at 1821 W Tracy Ct. Meridian Idaho

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 8:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Lance Fritze  
Email: ldfritze@msn.com  
Telephone: 208-446-3536  
Address: 195 E San Pedro Street  
Meridian, ID 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Commissioners, Thank you for your decision in case IPC E-18-15. I am now asking that you support Vote Solar proposal to not allow Idaho Power change the net metering from monthly to hourly. There is no justification for this proposed change. Idaho Power still has not done the study that has been required of them to justify any proposed changes. Seems how Idaho Power is failing to recognize the need to do this study, I am also asking that new solar residents be allowed to connect to the grid at the 1 to 1 net metering until the study has been completed and accepted by the Commission. It would appear by their actions that is the only thing Idaho Power understands. Please do not allow any degradation of net metering until Idaho Power has completed the required study and extend the date for grandfathering in solar customers until such time that Idaho Power has completed the study. Thank you for your time, Lance Fritze"

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 10:00 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Rex Hanson  
Email: hansonrex@gmail.com  
Telephone: 208-371-6191  
Address: 602 Marie Ct  
Caldwell, ID 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "I would like to thank the Commission for rejecting Idaho Power's request to change the net metering program that allowed my family to purchase solar panels to cover our residential electricity needs. I strongly believe that this program needs to continue to allow Idaho to move away from carbon based energy and allow decommissioning of our hydroelectric dams to save our environment and salmon populations. Please reject any further efforts from Idaho Power or others to weaken the benefits of net metering. We need a stable policy to encourage others to take part in this energy revolution. "

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** Sandra Fay Lang <sandrafay752@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 10:38 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Idaho Power Motion

Diane:

In regards to Idaho Power's Motion to move to Net Hourly Billing for future Solar customers, I am in agreement to keep it at Net Monthly Billing.

I do approve of Vote Solar motion below.

Vote Solar has filed a motion to reconsider as well, asking to include all new solar customers in the existing 1-to-1 net-metering program until new rules go into effect. This makes sense to allow continuation of the current policy until a new policy is actually determined, after the mandated study and requisite timeframe, not before. Until the requested cost-benefit analysis/study of net-metering and all related public workshops are complete, the current program should be guaranteed for all customers who invest in the future of Idaho by improving our grid and protecting our beautiful outdoors with solar. There is no reasonable argument to change a policy without having a new policy approved.

Please take this consideration.

Sincerely,

Sandra Fay Lang  
2019 Solar Customer  
64 N Luke Loop  
Nampa, ID 83651

Sent from my iPhone

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** PUC Consumer Comments <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 12:00 PM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: TJ Sayles  
Email: teejaysayles@gmail.com  
Telephone: 206-478-6956  
Address: 4809 Morris Hill Rd  
BOISE , ID 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-18-15

Comment: "My family had a solar array installed in 2017, and the ability to net meter at retail rates was a huge influence in the decision. We have remodeled our house to be all electric, with a goal of Net Zero energy for the year. Idaho Power is doing a disservice to the thousands of Idahoans that want to reduce their energy consumption and carbon footprint. Idaho Power says they want to be clean, yet their actions say they really are more interested in preserving their monopoly on power. As a business owner, if Idaho Power could at least make a case for these rates with actual data, And could show how net-metering hurts them financially, I would like to see it. Until then, I feel they have made a deal with me and my family, and now are going back on their word. "

-----  
[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

## Diane Holt

---

**From:** gretchen basen <dawnpatrol153@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 26, 2020 10:28 AM  
**To:** Diane Hanian  
**Cc:** Diane Hanian  
**Subject:** Please uphold prior rejection of Idaho Power's proposed change to solar net metering program

Dear Sir or Madam,

The Commission previously listened to Idaho families and businesses and did the right thing by rejecting Idaho Power's proposed settlement to change its net-metering program. Now, the Commission must uphold and clarify their decision.

Solar companies and customers need consistent and fair policy in order to make informed decisions about their solar investment.

Solar is a growing industry, bringing billions in local investment and hundreds of thousands of jobs. Changing policy and reducing incentives jeopardize this valuable industry and its ability to provide a sustainable energy source alternative to environmentally damaging fossil fuel sources. Without consistent and fair solar incentivising policies, Idaho will miss out on its fair share of these benefits and fall farther behind in the clean energy revolution. Re: IPC-E-18-1, Please uphold and permanently reject Idaho Power's proposed settlement to change its net-metering program. Thank you.

Gretchen Basen ,  
Hailey Idaho