

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION )  
OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY FOR )  
AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT POWER ) CASE NO. IPC-E-21-10  
COST ADJUSTMENT ("PCA") RATES )  
FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE FROM JUNE )  
1, 2021, THROUGH MAY 31, 2022. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

NICOLE A. BLACKWELL

1 Q. Please state your name, business address, and  
2 present position with Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or  
3 "Company").

4 A. My name is Nicole A. Blackwell. My business  
5 address is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise, Idaho 83702. I  
6 am employed by Idaho Power as a Regulatory Consultant in  
7 the Regulatory Affairs Department.

8 Q. Please describe your educational background.

9 A. In May of 2010, I received Bachelor of Science  
10 degrees in Finance and Economics from the University of  
11 Idaho. I have also attended "The Basics: Practical  
12 Regulatory Training for the Electric Industry," an electric  
13 utility ratemaking course offered through New Mexico State  
14 University's Center for Public Utilities, "Electric Utility  
15 Fundamentals & Insights," an electric utility course  
16 offered through the Western Energy Institute, and Edison  
17 Electric Institute's "Electric Rates Advanced Course."

18 Q. Please describe your work experience with  
19 Idaho Power.

20 A. In January 2016, I was hired as a Regulatory  
21 Analyst in Idaho Power's Regulatory Affairs Department, and  
22 in 2021 I was promoted to my current position of Regulatory  
23 Consultant. As a Regulatory Consultant, I provide support  
24 for the Company's regulatory activities, including  
25 compliance reporting, financial analysis, and the

1 development of revenue forecasts for regulatory filings.

2 Q. What is the Company requesting in this case?

3 A. The Company is requesting approval of its  
4 2021-2022 Power Cost Adjustment ("PCA") rates to become  
5 effective June 1, 2021. If approved, the 2021-2022 PCA  
6 will result in an increase in total billed revenue of  
7 approximately \$39.1 million, or 3.36 percent.

8 Q. How is your testimony organized?

9 A. My testimony consists of four sections. In the  
10 first section, I provide an overview of the PCA. In the  
11 second section, I detail the 2021-2022 PCA amount in  
12 comparison to last year's PCA amount, identify and discuss  
13 the main factors contributing to this change, and present  
14 the quantification of the 2021-2022 PCA rates to become  
15 effective June 1, 2021. In the third section, I will  
16 discuss the additional PCA component related to revenue  
17 sharing. In the final section, I detail the net customer  
18 impact of the 2021-2022 PCA rates if approved as filed.

19 **I. PCA OVERVIEW**

20 Q. What is the purpose of the PCA and how does  
21 the mechanism function?

22 A. The PCA is a rate mechanism that quantifies  
23 and tracks annual differences between actual Net Power  
24 Supply Expenses ("NPSE") and the normalized or "base level"  
25 of NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates, resulting in

1 a credit or surcharge that is updated annually on June 1.  
2 The PCA mechanism uses a 12-month test period of April  
3 through March ("PCA Year") and includes a forecast  
4 component and a True-up component ("True-up"). The  
5 forecast component represents the difference between the  
6 Company's NPSE forecast from the March Operating Plan and  
7 base level NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates. The  
8 True-up component includes a backward-looking tracking of  
9 differences between the prior PCA year's forecast and  
10 actual NPSE incurred by the Company. The True-up contains  
11 a second component that tracks the collection of the prior  
12 year's True-up amount, referred to as the "True-up of the  
13 True-up."

14 With the exception of Public Utility Regulatory  
15 Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") expenses and demand response  
16 incentive payments, the PCA allows the Company to pass  
17 through to customers 95 percent of the annual differences  
18 in actual NPSE as compared with base level NPSE, whether  
19 positive or negative. With respect to PURPA expenses and  
20 demand response incentive payments, as actual annual  
21 expenses deviate from base level NPSE, the Company is  
22 allowed to pass 100 percent of the difference for recovery  
23 or credit through the PCA. The PCA is also the rate  
24 mechanism used by the Company to provide customer benefits  
25 resulting from the revenue sharing mechanism approved by

1 the Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") in  
2 Order No. 34071.

3 Q. Does the revenue collected from customers  
4 through the annual PCA rate contribute toward the Company's  
5 net income?

6 A. No. The PCA mechanism provides for the annual  
7 collection or refund of net power supply cost differences  
8 between actual costs incurred by the Company and the base  
9 level NPSE component of base rates. Aside from the 95  
10 percent to 5 percent sharing component I just described,  
11 the PCA provides for a one-for-one collection or refund of  
12 actual net power supply expenses incurred, or to be  
13 incurred, to provide safe, reliable electric service to  
14 customers.

15 Q. What are the components of the PCA base level  
16 NPSE?

17 A. The PCA base level NPSE includes the following  
18 FERC accounts: Account 501, Fuel (coal); Account 536,  
19 Water for Power; Account 547, Fuel (gas); Account 555,  
20 Purchased Power; Account 565, Transmission of Electricity  
21 by Others; and Account 447, Sales for Resale (typically  
22 referred to as surplus sales).

23 The PCA base level expense component for FERC  
24 Account 555 includes costs of both PURPA and non-PURPA  
25 (market) purchases. Per Order No. 32426, the Company

1 adjusts FERC Account 555 to also include demand response  
2 incentive payments that the Company provides to customers  
3 who participate in any of its three demand response  
4 programs.

5 **II. 2021-2022 PCA**

6 Q. What is the total PCA collection that would  
7 result under the 2021-2022 PCA rates proposed by the  
8 Company in this case?

9 A. The 2021-2022 PCA rates would result in total  
10 PCA collection of \$109.3 million. This represents an  
11 increase in total billed revenue of \$39.1 million for the  
12 upcoming year, an increase of 3.36 percent.

13 Q. Have you prepared a table that details the  
14 \$39.1 million revenue impact by component?

15 A. Yes. Table 1 presents a separation of the  
16 \$39.1 million increase into each component included in the  
17 Company's proposed rates.

18

1

<b>Table 1 Revenue Impact by Component</b>				
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>Rate Component</b>	<b>2020-2021 PCA<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2021-2022 PCA<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Difference</b>
1	PCA Forecast	\$ 113,084,635	\$ 126,944,108	\$ 13,859,473
2	PCA True-up	\$(42,892,181)	\$ (17,641,954)	\$ 25,250,227
3	<b>PCA Total</b>	<b>\$ 70,192,455</b>	<b>\$ 109,302,154</b>	<b>\$ 39,109,700</b>

2

3 Q. What are the main factors driving the revenue  
4 change requested in this case?

5 A. The increase in this year's PCA is primarily  
6 attributed to a smaller credit to customers through the  
7 true-up component. This year's PCA true-up reflects a  
8 credit to customers of approximately \$17.6 million, which  
9 is \$25.3 million, or 59 percent, less than last year's PCA  
10 true-up credit of \$42.9 million. This year's lower true-up  
11 credit balance demonstrates that actual power supply costs  
12 for the 2020-2021 PCA Year were more in line with forecast  
13 power supply costs included in last year's PCA forecast  
14 than the forecast-to-actuals variance from the 2019-2020  
15 PCA Year. As a result, the true-up credit is smaller than  
16 last year and is driving an increase in the PCA.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because Table 1 contains the expected billed revenue impact to customers, the "2020-2021 PCA" column reflects approved 2020-2021 PCA rates applied to the June 2021 through May 2022 sales forecast, and will not tie to the specific dollar amounts approved in the 2020 PCA filing.

<sup>2</sup> The "2021-2022 PCA" column reflects the Company's proposed rates applied to the June 2021 through May 2022 forecast, and may not tie exactly to the figures listed in the Company's testimony due to the rounding of rates to six digits.

1           The increase in this year's PCA forecast component  
2 is attributed to lower expected hydro generation and higher  
3 market energy prices, which are resulting in increased  
4 reliance on thermal generation and decreased market power  
5 purchases. Additionally, this year's PCA forecast reflects  
6 higher PURPA expense. These drivers will be discussed in  
7 detail later in testimony.

8       **A.    PCA Forecast.**

9           Q.    How is the PCA forecast amount determined?

10          A.    As described previously, the PCA forecast  
11 component represents the difference between the Company's  
12 forecast of NPSE for the upcoming April - March test year  
13 and base level NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates.

14          Q.    What is the Company's determination of the  
15 system-level difference between currently approved base  
16 level NPSE<sup>3</sup> and the forecast of NPSE for the 2021-2022 PCA  
17 Year?

18          A.    The system-level forecast of NPSE for the  
19 2021-2022 PCA Year is \$442,357,407, which is \$136,672,538  
20 higher than the currently approved base level NPSE of  
21 \$305,684,869. Table 2 presents the system-level  
22 differences between currently approved base level NPSE and  
23 the forecast of NPSE for the 2021-2022 PCA Year by FERC

---

<sup>3</sup> *In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Establish a New Base Level of Net Power Supply Expense, Case No. IPC-E-13-20, Order No. 33000 (March 21, 2014).*



1 account.

<b>Table 2</b>		<b>2021-2022 PCA FORECAST (Total System)</b>			
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>FERC Account</b>	<b>Base NPSE</b>	<b>Forecast</b>	<b>Difference</b>	
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>				
1	Account 501, Coal	\$ 108,503,180	\$ 118,562,796	\$ 10,059,616	
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ 2,380,597	\$ 0	\$ (2,380,597)	
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 33,367,563	\$ 57,235,044	\$ 23,867,481	
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ 62,606,593	\$ 74,800,530	\$ 12,193,937	
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ 5,455,955	\$ 4,853,909	\$ (602,046)	
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ (51,735,153)	\$ (25,842,225)	\$ 25,892,928	
		\$ 160,578,735	\$ 229,610,054	\$ 69,031,319	
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>				
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 133,853,869	\$ 205,133,741	\$ 71,279,872	
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$ 11,252,265	\$ 7,613,612	\$ (3,638,653)	
9	Total	\$ 305,684,869	\$ 442,357,407	\$ 136,672,538	

2

3 Q. What is the basis for the forecast of NPSE for  
4 the 2021-2022 PCA Year?

5 A. The forecast of NPSE for the 2021-2022 PCA  
6 Year is based on the Company's March 25, 2021, Operating  
7 Plan.

8 Q. How is the NPSE forecast developed for the  
9 Company's Operating Plan?

10 A. The Operating Plan is prepared monthly and  
11 represents a forecast of the Company's monthly NPSE for the  
12 following 18-month period; however, for the PCA, the  
13 Company includes only the 12 months that correspond to the  
14 PCA Year. The Operating Plan is developed by simulating  
15 the dispatch of the Company's generation resources for each  
16 month, segmented by heavy load and light load hours. The  
17 dispatch considers a current forecast of forward market

1 energy prices, available hydro generation, coal and natural  
2 gas prices, and any existing hedge transactions. The  
3 system load forecast is then analyzed against the resulting  
4 monthly heavy load and light load dispatch to determine a  
5 monthly load and resource balance. Any identified resource  
6 deficiency is assumed to be filled with market energy  
7 purchases or natural gas to fuel the Langley Gulch power  
8 plant ("Langley Gulch"), based on economics and available  
9 generating capacity at Langley Gulch. Economically  
10 dispatched generation above the system load forecast  
11 represents surplus energy sales. The forecast of monthly  
12 NPSE and generation for the 2021-2022 PCA Year, as  
13 determined in the Company's March 25, 2021, Operating Plan,  
14 is provided in Exhibit No. 1.

15 Q. How does the Company's forecast of system-  
16 level NPSE for the 2021-2022 PCA compare to the system-  
17 level forecast included in last year's PCA?

18 A. Table 3 compares this year's 2021-2022 PCA  
19 forecast of NPSE to last year's PCA forecast by FERC  
20 account. As detailed in this table, the PCA forecast on a  
21 total system basis for the 2021-2022 PCA Year is  
22 \$442,357,407, which is \$15,452,686 higher than last year's  
23 forecast amount of \$426,904,721.

<b>Table 3</b>				
<b>PCA Forecast Comparison Expenses (Total System)</b>				
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>FERC Account</b>	<b>2020-2021 Forecast</b>	<b>2021-2022 Forecast</b>	<b>Difference</b>
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
1	Account 501, Coal	\$ 102,534,012	\$ 118,562,796	\$ 16,028,783
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 0	\$ (1,500,000)
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 42,599,268	\$ 57,235,044	\$ 14,635,776
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ 89,849,920	\$ 74,800,530	\$ (15,049,389)
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ 5,058,450	\$ 4,853,909	\$ (204,541)
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ (16,076,860)	\$ (25,842,225)	\$ (9,765,365)
		\$ 225,464,790	\$ 229,610,054	\$ 4,145,264
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>			
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 193,826,319	\$ 205,133,741	\$ 11,307,422
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$ 7,613,612	\$ 7,613,612	\$ -
		\$ 201,439,931	\$ 212,747,353	\$ 11,307,422
9	Total PCA Forecast	\$ 426,904,721	\$ 442,357,407	\$ 15,452,686

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q. What general conclusions can be drawn from the information contained in Table 3?

A. When viewed by category, the 95 percent sharing accounts have increased approximately \$4.1 million from last year's forecast, while the 100 percent sharing accounts have increased approximately \$11.3 million over last year's forecast.

Q. What factors are contributing to the major differences presented in Table 3?

A. Forecast expenses included in the 95 percent sharing accounts are expected to increase by 2 percent as compared to last year, from \$225,464,790 to \$229,610,054. Due to a reduction in forecast hydro generation and higher forecast market energy prices, the Company expects to rely

1 more on thermal generation to serve load and is expected to  
2 decrease market power purchases.

3 Q. Please elaborate on the changes in the 95  
4 percent sharing accounts for this year's forecast as  
5 compared with last year's forecast.

6 A. In addition to lower forecast hydro  
7 generation, which will be discussed in detail later, higher  
8 forecast market energy prices are contributing to increased  
9 generation at the Company's thermal plants. For the 2021-  
10 2022 PCA Year, forward market prices range from a low of  
11 10.35 per MWh to a high of \$78.80 per MWh compared to a low  
12 of \$4.85 per MWh and a high of \$45.85 per MWh for last  
13 year's PCA. As a result of higher market energy prices,  
14 thermal generation becomes more economic, wherein the  
15 average per-unit costs of natural gas and coal-fired  
16 generation are \$24.45 per MWh and \$32.94 per MWh,  
17 respectively. Accordingly, natural gas expense is expected  
18 to increase 34 percent as compared to last year's forecast,  
19 from \$42,599,268 to \$57,235,044, and coal fuel expense is  
20 expected to increase 16 percent, from \$102,534,012 to  
21 \$118,562,796.

22 The increase in forecast market energy prices is  
23 also causing a \$15,049,389 decrease in non-PURPA purchased  
24 power, a 17 percent decrease from last year's forecast.  
25 Non-PURPA purchased power expense includes market power

1 purchases, as well as power purchase agreements ("PPAs").  
2 The decrease in forecast non-PURPA purchased power is  
3 primarily related to market power purchases, which are  
4 expected to decrease from \$41,404,266 in last year's PCA  
5 forecast to \$24,654,472 in this year's PCA forecast, a 40  
6 percent decrease.

7           The reduction in forecast hydro generation is also  
8 resulting in lower surplus sales volumes. However, as a  
9 result of higher market energy prices, surplus sales  
10 revenue is expected to increase 61 percent compared to last  
11 year, from \$16,076,860 to \$25,842,225. For the 2021-2022  
12 PCA Year, the average forecast market sales price is \$34.25  
13 per MWh compared with \$15.14 last year, a 126 percent  
14 increase.

15           Finally, this year's PCA forecast does not include  
16 water lease expense whereas last year's PCA forecast  
17 included \$1.5 million in water lease expense. The Company  
18 does not anticipate procuring a water lease for this PCA  
19 Year due to weaker snowpack conditions in the Upper Snake  
20 basin and the decreased availability of water.

21           Q.     What factors are contributing to the change in  
22 the 100 percent sharing accounts?

23           A.     Forecast expenses included in the 100 percent  
24 sharing accounts are expected to increase by 6 percent as  
25 compared to last year, from \$201,439,931 to \$212,747,353.

1 With regard to the 100 percent sharing accounts, forecast  
 2 PURPA costs increased by \$11.3 million as compared to last  
 3 year's forecast, while forecast demand response incentive  
 4 payments did not change.

5 Q. Is the increase in forecast PURPA costs  
 6 related to increased generation output from PURPA projects?

7 A. In part. Table 4 details changes between last  
 8 year's PCA forecast and this year's PCA forecast with  
 9 respect to forecasted generation in MWh. As shown in Table  
 10 4, PURPA generation is anticipated to increase by 51,350  
 11 MWh, or less than 2 percent. The 6 percent increase in  
 12 PURPA expense is largely the result of price escalation in  
 13 PURPA contracts, whereby the average cost is \$67.75 per  
 14 MWh.

<b>Table 4 PCA Forecast Comparison Generation (Total System-MWh)</b>				
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>FERC Account</b>	<b>2020-2021 Forecast</b>	<b>2021-2022 Forecast</b>	<b>Difference</b>
1	Hydro	7,341,717	6,690,890	(650,827)
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
2	Account 501, Coal	2,972,154	3,599,219	627,064
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	1,973,546	2,340,994	367,448
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	2,095,454	1,478,696	(616,758)
	95% Sharing Accounts	14,382,871	14,109,799	(273,072)
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>			
5	Account 555, PURPA	2,976,554	3,027,905	51,350
	100% Accounts	2,976,554	3,027,905	51,350
6	Total Generation	17,359,425	17,137,704	(221,721)
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
7	Account 447, Surplus Sales	1,062,077	754,975	(307,102)
8	Total Load	16,297,348	16,382,729	85,381

15

1           Q.     What other general conclusions can be drawn  
2 from the information in Table 4?

3           A.     Compared to last year's forecast, hydro  
4 generation is expected to decrease 650,827 MWh, or 9  
5 percent. The decrease in hydro generation is driving a  
6 decrease in surplus sales volumes of 307,102 MWh, or 29  
7 percent. As discussed previously, the decrease in forecast  
8 hydro generation is also resulting in an increase in  
9 thermal generation. Coal-fired generation is projected to  
10 increase 627,064 MWh compared to last year, or 21 percent,  
11 while natural gas generation is expected to increase  
12 367,448 MWh, or 19 percent, compared to last year.  
13 Additionally, non-PURPA purchased power is expected to  
14 decrease by 616,758 MWh, or 29 percent. As discussed  
15 earlier, higher forward market prices are contributing to  
16 increased economic dispatch of the Company's thermal plants  
17 for load service and reducing market power purchases.

18          Q.     What is causing the decrease in expected hydro  
19 generation of 650,827 MWh?

20          A.     The decrease in expected hydro generation is  
21 mainly due to lower projected inflows into Brownlee  
22 reservoir. The March Operating Plan used in this year's  
23 PCA forecast projects April through July inflows into  
24 Brownlee of 4.2 million acre-feet ("MAF") as compared to  
25 4.6 MAF used to determine last year's PCA forecast, a

1 decrease of 9 percent. Expected inflows into Brownlee were  
2 higher for last year's PCA forecast as a result of better  
3 snowpack conditions, which provide for sustained runoff and  
4 increased hydro generation during the spring and summer  
5 months.

6           Additionally, this year's PCA forecast reflects  
7 weaker reservoir storage conditions, as compared to last  
8 year's forecast. The March Operating Plan used in this  
9 year's PCA demonstrates that available storage in the 11  
10 reservoirs above Brownlee is 113 percent of normal and at  
11 75 percent of capacity, compared to last year's 2020 March  
12 Operating Plan, in which storage was 125 percent of normal  
13 and at 82 percent of capacity. Together weaker snowpack  
14 conditions and carryover as compared to the prior year are  
15 resulting in the 9 percent reduction in forecast hydro  
16 generation for the 2021-2022 PCA Year.

17           Q.       How are the forecasted NPSE differences  
18 presented in Table 2 used to determine the 2021-2022 PCA  
19 forecast component to be collected from Idaho customers?

20           A.       The 2021-2022 PCA forecast component reflects  
21 the Idaho jurisdictional share of the forecasted NPSE  
22 differences presented in Table 2, adjusted for the PCA  
23 sharing provisions. The Idaho jurisdictional share of the  
24 forecast NPSE differences is determined by applying a ratio  
25 of forecast firm Idaho jurisdictional sales to forecast



1 firm system-level sales to the system-level NPSE  
2 differences.

3 Q. What is the Company's forecast of system-level  
4 firm sales and Idaho jurisdictional firm sales for the  
5 2021-2022 PCA Year?

6 A. For the 2021-2022 PCA Year, Idaho Power has  
7 forecast system-level firm sales to be 15,131,418 MWh and  
8 Idaho jurisdictional firm sales to be 14,436,951 MWh, or  
9 95.41 percent of the system level.

10 Q. What is the Company's determination of the  
11 2021-2022 PCA forecast component to be collected from Idaho  
12 customers?

13 A. The 2021-2022 PCA forecast component to be  
14 collected from Idaho customers is \$126,939,705. Table 5  
15 presents the determination of the 2021-2022 PCA forecast  
16 component by individual PCA expense and revenue category.

1

<b>Table 5</b>		<b>2021-2022 PCA FORECAST</b>			
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>FERC Account</b>	<b>Difference from Base</b>		<b>Difference After Sharing Idaho Allocation</b>	
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>	(From Table 1)			
1	Account 501, Coal	\$ 10,059,616	\$ 9,556,635	\$	9,118,026
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ (2,380,597)	\$ (2,261,567)	\$	(2,157,771)
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 23,867,481	\$ 22,674,107	\$	21,633,463
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ 12,193,937	\$ 11,584,240	\$	11,052,574
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ (602,046)	\$ (571,944)	\$	(545,694)
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ 25,892,928	\$ 24,598,282	\$	23,469,327
		\$ 69,031,319	\$ 65,579,753	\$	62,569,925
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>				
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 71,279,872	\$ 71,279,872	\$	68,008,433
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$ (3,638,653)	\$ (3,638,653)	\$	(3,638,653)
9	Total	\$ 136,672,538	\$ 133,220,972	\$	126,939,705

2

3 **B. True-up and True-up of the True-up.**

4 Q. What is this year's quantification of the  
5 True-up?

6 A. The True-up portion of the PCA is detailed in  
7 the deferral expense report, attached hereto as Exhibit No.  
8 2. This report compares actual NPSE amounts to actual  
9 power cost collections monthly, with the differences  
10 accumulated as a deferral balance. The balance at the end  
11 of March 2021, with interest applied, was negative  
12 \$22,156,786, as shown on row 104 of Exhibit No. 2. The  
13 approximate negative \$22.1 million represents a refund due  
14 to customers in this year's PCA True-up.

15 Q. To what factors do you attribute the  
16 accumulation of the approximate negative \$22.1 million  
17 deferral balance?

1           A.     The approximate negative \$22.1 million  
2 deferral balance was largely driven by unpredictable  
3 changes in market energy prices and the resulting variation  
4 between forecast prices and actual prices. Because actual  
5 market energy prices were higher than expected, it resulted  
6 in higher than forecast surplus sales revenue and coal fuel  
7 expense. The increase in market energy prices also  
8 resulted in higher than forecast market power purchase  
9 expense. Actual natural gas prices were also higher than  
10 forecast driving an increase in natural gas fuel expense.  
11 Although actual market energy prices and natural gas prices  
12 were higher than forecast, the Company's reliance on market  
13 power purchases and natural gas generation did not decrease  
14 as they were needed to serve load due to lower than  
15 expected hydro generation.

16           Q.     Please elaborate on the changes in actual  
17 versus forecast generation and expense for the 2020-2021  
18 PCA Year.

19           A.     Last year's PCA forecast included an average  
20 market sales price of \$15.14 per MWh. The actual average  
21 market sales price was \$34.45 per MWh, a 128 percent  
22 increase. As a result of the difference in forecast and  
23 actual market sales prices, actual surplus sales volumes  
24 were 77 percent higher than forecast and surplus sales

1 revenue totaled \$64,583,553, which was 302 percent higher  
2 than forecast surplus sales revenue of \$16,076,860.

3 Coal-fired generation totaled 3,794,008 MWh, which  
4 was 28 percent higher than forecast, and actual coal fuel  
5 expense was \$18.3 million, or 18 percent, higher than  
6 forecast. Coal-fired generation was higher than forecast  
7 due to the increase in market energy prices, making it more  
8 economic for load service and surplus sales.

9 The increase in market energy prices contributed to  
10 higher than forecast purchased power expense. The actual  
11 average market purchase price for the 2020-2021 PCA year  
12 was \$30.45 per MWh, a 12 percent increase from the average  
13 forecast price of \$27.14 per MWh. Market power purchases  
14 totaled 1,583,605 MWh, which was 4 percent higher than  
15 forecast. As a result, market purchased power expense was  
16 \$48,225,168 compared to \$41,404,266 included in the  
17 forecast, reflecting a 16 percent increase.

18 Natural gas generation totaled 2,112,933 MWh for the  
19 2020-2021 PCA Year, which was 139,387 MWh, or 7 percent,  
20 higher than forecast. Due to natural gas prices being  
21 higher than expected, actual natural gas expense totaled  
22 \$54,873,821, which was 29 percent higher than forecast.

23 Although actual market energy prices and natural gas  
24 prices were higher than forecast, the Company's reliance on  
25 these resources increased, 4 percent and 7 percent,

1 respectively, as they were needed to meet load due to the  
2 reduction in hydro generation. Actual hydro generation for  
3 the 2020-2021 PCA year was 6,786,206 MWh, which was 555,511  
4 MWh, or 8 percent, less than forecast.

5           Finally, actual water for power expense was \$480,000  
6 compared to forecast expense of \$1.5 million. Due to  
7 weaker-than-expected hydrologic conditions in the Upper  
8 Snake Basin last year and the resulting decrease in water  
9 availability, the Company was not able to procure as much  
10 leased water as expected.

11           Q.     Please explain the water lease the Company  
12 entered into in 2020.

13           A.     In 2020, Idaho Power entered into an agreement  
14 to purchase water from the Water District 1 supplemental  
15 rental pool. The agreement totaled 20,000 acre-feet at a  
16 price of \$24 per acre foot for a total cost of \$480,000, as  
17 shown on line 26 of Exhibit No. 2. The water was delivered  
18 above American Falls and flowed through Idaho Power's  
19 system, passing Milner Dam between September 15, 2020, and  
20 September 25, 2020.

21           Q.     How did the water lease impact hydro  
22 generation?

23           A.     Based on the actual daily water flow, the  
24 Company estimated that hydro generation from the water

1 lease totaled 20,756 MWh, resulting in a price of  
2 approximately \$23.13 per MWh.

3 Q. Did the water lease expense and associated  
4 increase in hydro generation benefit customers?

5 A. Yes. Idaho Power was able to reduce market  
6 purchases during this time by using the leased water and  
7 running additional water through the Hells Canyon Complex.  
8 The purchase of leased water at \$23.13 per MWh compared  
9 favorably with the average price paid for market purchases  
10 during the month, which was approximately \$61.55 per MWh.

11 This additional hydro generation also contributed to  
12 Idaho Power's ability to sell into high-priced hours to the  
13 benefit of customers. The average price for market sales  
14 during the month was \$55.98 per MWh, compared to the cost  
15 of the leased water at \$23.13 per MWh, resulting in net  
16 revenue from surplus sales.

17 Q. Were there any items included in this year's  
18 True-up in addition to actual NPSE incurred during the  
19 April 2020 through March 2021 period?

20 A. Yes. Per Commission Order No. 34100, Idaho  
21 Power included its actual costs of Western Energy Imbalance  
22 Market ("EIM") participation for April 2020 through March  
23 2021 in the True-up. Benefits associated with EIM  
24 participation are embedded in actual NPSE experienced over  
25 that same period.

1           Q.     Please summarize the conditions of Order No.  
2 34100 as they pertain to EIM cost recovery through the 2021  
3 PCA.

4           A.     Per the terms of the settlement stipulation  
5 ("EIM Stipulation") approved by Order No. 34100, Idaho  
6 Power agreed to include an EIM-related monthly revenue  
7 requirement in its monthly PCA deferral calculation based  
8 on actual EIM participation costs commencing April 1, 2018.  
9 The Company also agreed to apply a soft cap to EIM-related  
10 revenue requirement included in the PCA deferral equal to  
11 annual EIM benefits as reported by the California  
12 Independent System Operator ("CAISO") for the corresponding  
13 period.

14          Q.     Is the EIM-related revenue requirement  
15 included in the April 2020 through March 2021 PCA deferral  
16 under the soft cap of annual CAISO-reported benefits for  
17 that same period?

18          A.     Yes. For the April 2020 through March 2021  
19 period, the EIM-related revenue requirement totaled \$3.2  
20 million, while CAISO reported EIM benefits for Idaho Power  
21 of approximately \$21 million from April through December  
22 (CAISO's first quarter 2021 report has not yet been  
23 published). Therefore, the Company's EIM-related revenue  
24 requirement is less than the soft cap agreed to in the EIM  
25 Stipulation.

1 Q. Does Idaho Power believe the EIM has provided  
2 net benefits to customers since joining in April 2018?

3 A. Yes. While Idaho Power believes the CAISO  
4 benefit calculation overstates estimated benefits to Idaho  
5 Power's system, the Company believes customers have  
6 realized significant net benefits since the Company's entry  
7 into the EIM in April 2018. As discussed in the Company's  
8 May 24, 2019, Report of EIM Benefits and Costs of  
9 Participation, filed in Case No. IPC-E-16-19, Idaho Power  
10 has developed a more precise methodology for determining  
11 EIM benefits that uses inputs specific to the Company.  
12 Based on this methodology, the Company believes benefits  
13 achieved between April 2020 and December 2020 are  
14 approximately \$14 million (benefits for the first quarter  
15 of 2021 are not yet available). This level of EIM benefits  
16 compared to the Idaho-jurisdictional EIM costs of \$3.2  
17 million, demonstrates a net benefit to the Company and,  
18 ultimately, its customers.

19 Q. What is this year's True-up of the True-up?

20 A. This year's True-up of the True-up balance is  
21 \$4,519,614, as shown on row 124 of Exhibit No. 2.

22 Q. What is the combined effect of the True-up and  
23 the True-up of the True-up in this year's PCA?

24 A. The sum of the negative \$22.1 million  
25 associated with the True-up and the \$4.5 million associated



1 with the True-up of the True-up represents an approximate  
2 \$17.6 million credit to customers.

3 Q. How does this year's combined True-up and the  
4 True-up of the True-up compare to last year's amount?

5 A. The combined True-up and the True-up of the  
6 True-up for the last PCA Year was negative \$42,648,447, as  
7 compared with this year's amount of negative \$17,637,172.  
8 While this year's true-up reflects a credit to customers,  
9 it is approximately 59 percent less than the credit  
10 customers are currently receiving through last year's true-  
11 up, and ultimately reflects an increase in billed revenue  
12 of \$25,011,275.

13 **C. PCA Rate Determination.**

14 Q. How is the rate for the forecast portion of  
15 the PCA for April 2021 through March 2022 determined?

16 A. The rate for the forecast portion of the PCA  
17 is equal to the sum of (1) 95 percent of the difference  
18 between the non-PURPA expenses quantified in the Operating  
19 Plan and those quantified in the Company's last approved  
20 update of NPSE, divided by the Company's forecast of system  
21 firm sales for June 1, 2021, through May 31, 2022 ("System-  
22 level Sales Forecast"); and (2) 100 percent of the  
23 difference between PURPA-related expenses quantified in the  
24 Operating Plan and those quantified in the Company's last  
25 approved update of NPSE, divided by the Company's System-

1 level Sales Forecast; and (3) 100 percent of the difference  
2 between the Idaho jurisdictional demand response incentive  
3 payments quantified in the Operating Plan and those  
4 quantified in the Company's last approved update of NPSE,  
5 divided by the forecast of Idaho jurisdictional firm sales  
6 for June 1, 2021, through May 31, 2022.

7 Q. What is the rate for the forecast portion of  
8 the PCA for April 2021 through March 2022?

9 A. The rate for non-PURPA expenses is 0.4334  
10 cents per kilowatt-hour ("kWh"), which is calculated by  
11 multiplying \$69,031,319 from Table 2 by 95 percent and then  
12 dividing it by the System-level Sales Forecast of  
13 15,131,418 MWh ( $(\$69,031,319 * 0.95) / 15,131,418 =$   
14  $\$4.334/\text{MWh} = 0.4334 \text{ cents/kWh}$ ). The rate for PURPA  
15 expenses is 0.4711 cents per kWh, which is calculated by  
16 dividing \$71,279,872 from Table 2 by the 15,131,418 MWh  
17 ( $\$71,279,872 / 15,131,418 \text{ MWh} = \$4.711/\text{MWh} = 0.4711$   
18  $\text{cents/kWh}$ ). The rate for demand response incentive  
19 payments is a negative 0.0252 cents per kWh, which is  
20 calculated by dividing the negative \$3,638,653 from Table 2  
21 by the forecast of Idaho jurisdictional firm sales of  
22 14,436,951 MWh ( $-\$3,638,653 / 14,436,951 \text{ MWh} = -\$0.252/\text{MWh}$   
23  $= -0.0252 \text{ cents/kWh}$ ). The forecast portion of the PCA rate  
24 is 0.8793 cents per kWh, which is calculated by adding the  
25 non-PURPA expense of 0.4334 cents per kWh to the PURPA

1 expense of 0.4711 cents per kWh to the demand response  
2 incentive payment of negative 0.0252 cents per kWh (0.4334  
3 + 0.4711 + -0.0252 = 0.8793 cents/kWh).

4 Q. How did you compute this year's True-up rate?

5 A. As shown in Exhibit No. 2, this year's True-up  
6 component of the PCA is approximately negative \$22.1  
7 million, which, when divided by the Company's forecast of  
8 Idaho jurisdictional sales of 14,436,951 MWh, results in a  
9 rate of negative 0.1535 cents per kWh ( $-\$22,156,786 /$   
10  $14,436,951 = -\$1.535/\text{MWh} = -0.1535 \text{ cents/kWh}$ ).

11 The True-up of the True-up rate is calculated by  
12 dividing \$4,519,614 (also from Exhibit No. 2) by the  
13 forecast of Idaho jurisdictional sales of 14,436,951 MWh,  
14 which results in a rate of 0.0313 cents per kWh ( $\$4,519,614$   
15  $/ 14,436,951 = \$0.313/\text{MWh} = 0.0313 \text{ cents/kWh}$ ).

16 Q. What is the resulting PCA rate when you  
17 combine all the PCA components described previously?

18 A. The uniform PCA rate comprises (1) the 0.8793  
19 cents per kWh for the 2021-2022 projected power cost of  
20 serving firm loads under the current PCA methodology and 95  
21 percent sharing, (2) the negative 0.1535 cents per kWh for  
22 the 2020-2021 True-up portion of the PCA, and (3) the  
23 0.0313 cents per kWh for the True-up of the True-up. The  
24 sum of these three components is a 0.7571 cents per kWh  
25 charge for all rate classes.



1 become effective at the time of the subsequent year's PCA.  
2 If the Company's Idaho jurisdictional ROE exceeds 10.5  
3 percent, all amounts in excess of 10.5 percent will be  
4 shared 55 percent with Idaho customers as a rate reduction  
5 to become effective with the subsequent year's PCA, 25  
6 percent will be shared with Idaho customers in the form of  
7 an offset to amounts in the Company's pension balancing  
8 account, and 20 percent will be apportioned to the Company.

9           With regard to the amortization of Accumulated  
10 Deferred Investment Tax Credits ("ADITC"), the 2018  
11 Stipulation allows the Company to accelerate the  
12 amortization of ADITC, in an amount up to \$45 million, to  
13 achieve a maximum 9.4 percent Idaho jurisdictional ROE if  
14 the Company's year-end actual results fall below that  
15 amount for any year beginning January 1, 2020. Idaho Power  
16 may use up to \$25 million of additional amortization of  
17 ADITC per year, provided the total, cumulative amount of  
18 ADITC does not exceed \$45 million. Per the 2018  
19 Stipulation, once the Company has fully amortized the \$45  
20 million of ADITC, revenue sharing will cease; however,  
21 Idaho Power may at any time request to replenish the total  
22 amount of ADITC it is permitted to amortize, and if  
23 approved by the Commission, revenue sharing would continue.

24           Q. Did the revenue sharing mechanism result in  
25 any action following the 2009-2019 fiscal years?

1           A.       Yes. The Company's earnings in each year from  
2 2011 through 2015, as well as 2018, resulted in revenue  
3 sharing with customers totaling \$126.2 million, either as a  
4 direct rate offset in the PCA or as an offset to amounts  
5 that would have otherwise been collected in rates. The  
6 Company's earnings in 2016, 2017 and 2019 were below the  
7 revenue sharing threshold. These amounts are detailed in  
8 Table 6.

<b>Table 6</b>		<b>2009-2018 Revenue Sharing</b>			
<b>Line No.</b>	<b>Revenue Sharing Component</b>	<b>2009-2011</b>	<b>2012-2014</b>	<b>2015-2019</b>	
1	Available ADITC For Use	\$45 Million	\$45 Million	\$45 Million	
2	ROE Threshold	9.5%	9.5%	10.0%	
3	50-50 Sharing Threshold	10.5%	10.0%	N/A	
4	75-25 Sharing Threshold	N/A	10.5%	10.0%	
5	Customer Benefits (\$ Millions):				
6	Reduction to Rates	\$27.1	\$22.8	\$8.2	<b>Total</b>
7	Offset to Pension Balancing Account	\$20.3	\$47.8	\$0.0	<b>2009-2019</b>
8	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$47.4</b>	<b>\$70.6</b>	<b>\$8.2</b>	<b>\$126.2</b>

9  
10           Q.       Did the Company's year-end 2020 financial  
11 results warrant any action related to the existing sharing  
12 agreement per the terms of the 2018 Stipulation?

13           A.       No. The Company's year-end 2020 financial  
14 results yielded an actual Idaho jurisdictional ROE of 9.98  
15 percent, falling below the 10 percent ROE threshold for  
16 revenue sharing, and thus resulting in no revenue sharing  
17 with customers.

18           Q.       Did the Company use the same methodology to  
19 determine the Idaho jurisdictional 2020 year-end ROE that  
20 was used in prior PCA filings?



1           Q.     Should the Commission approve the Company's  
2 computation of the PCA rates?

3           A.     Yes. The Commission should approve the  
4 Company's computation of the PCA rates. The calculation of  
5 the PCA rates follows the methodology that was approved in  
6 Order Nos. 30715, 33307, and 34071. If approved, the 2021-  
7 2022 PCA will result in an increase in total billed revenue  
8 of approximately \$39.1 million, or 3.36 percent.

9           Q.     Does this conclude your testimony?

10          A.     Yes, it does.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

**DECLARATION OF NICOLE A. BLACKWELL**

I, Nicole A. Blackwell, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Idaho:

1. My name is Nicole A. Blackwell. I am employed by Idaho Power Company as a Regulatory Consultant in the Regulatory Affairs Department.

2. On behalf of Idaho Power, I present this pre-filed direct testimony and Exhibit Nos. 1-3 in this matter.

3. To the best of my knowledge, my pre-filed direct testimony and exhibits are true and accurate.

I hereby declare that the above statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that I understand it is made for use as evidence before the Idaho Public Utilities Commission and is subject to penalty for perjury.

SIGNED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021, at Boise, Idaho.

Signed:   
Nicole A. Blackwell

**BEFORE THE  
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CASE NO. IPC-E-21-10**

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY**

**BLACKWELL, DI  
TESTIMONY**

**EXHIBIT NO. 1  
(EXCEL SPREADSHEET ALSO ATTACHED  
TO EMAIL)**

IDAHO POWER PCA FORECAST  
APRIL 1, 2021 - MARCH 31, 2022

Line No.	FERC Account	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Annual
<b>95% Sharing Accounts</b>														
1	Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)	713,505	816,681	761,701	581,160	494,818	456,491	406,256	380,752	448,361	528,076	437,377	665,713	6,690,890
2	Account 536, Water for Power Total Expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Account 501, Coal</b>														
3	Jim Bridger Energy (MWh)	50,400	71,424	69,120	312,011	501,901	389,439	173,380	269,101	501,901	414,504	299,654	142,380	3,195,213
4	Total Expense	\$ 1,342,676	\$ 2,022,214	\$ 1,950,286	\$ 10,043,202	\$ 16,805,286	\$ 12,805,704	\$ 5,356,606	\$ 8,806,825	\$ 17,107,157	\$ 13,354,008	\$ 9,021,163	\$ 9,829,966	\$ 102,245,112
<b>North Valmy</b>														
5	Energy (MWh)	-	-	49,680	66,397	66,397	64,051	-	-	92,350	65,131	-	-	404,006
6	Total Expense	\$ 247,687	\$ 247,687	\$ 1,935,508	\$ 2,460,711	\$ 2,421,424	\$ 2,344,592	\$ 247,687	\$ 247,687	\$ 2,371,111	\$ 2,385,834	\$ 253,879	\$ 253,879	\$ 16,317,684
<b>Account 547, Other Fuel</b>														
7	Langley Gulch Energy (MWh)	197,824	109,600	112,320	198,800	199,216	196,720	203,896	203,969	214,992	215,136	191,328	207,625	2,251,426
8	Total Expense	\$ 3,843,748	\$ 2,187,790	\$ 2,223,314	\$ 3,715,165	\$ 3,832,792	\$ 4,223,812	\$ 4,266,962	\$ 4,831,294	\$ 6,368,244	\$ 6,006,588	\$ 5,025,690	\$ 4,625,230	\$ 51,150,628
<b>Danskin</b>														
9	Energy (MWh)	-	-	-	22,048	67,520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89,568
10	Total Expense	\$ 181,913	\$ 188,260	\$ 181,598	\$ 851,905	\$ 2,276,515	\$ 181,598	\$ 188,260	\$ 181,598	\$ 188,260	\$ 188,260	\$ 188,260	\$ 188,260	\$ 4,964,686
<b>Bennett Mountain</b>														
11	Energy (MWh)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Total Expense	\$ 89,599	\$ 92,725	\$ 89,444	\$ 92,725	\$ 92,725	\$ 89,444	\$ 92,725	\$ 89,444	\$ 92,725	\$ 92,725	\$ 92,725	\$ 92,725	\$ 1,099,730
<b>Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA</b>														
13	Energy (MWh)	39,183	58,989	243,180	342,868	121,071	80,434	132,981	130,267	60,173	120,557	80,245	68,750	1,478,696
14	Total Expense	\$ 2,881,106	\$ 2,798,934	\$ 6,266,136	\$ 12,005,590	\$ 7,082,616	\$ 5,213,146	\$ 7,370,599	\$ 8,315,727	\$ 6,056,859	\$ 7,309,450	\$ 5,655,829	\$ 3,844,538	\$ 74,800,530
<b>Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission</b>														
15	Total Expense	\$ 318,846	\$ 265,579	\$ 572,834	\$ 757,524	\$ 663,053	\$ 450,639	\$ 510,729	\$ 280,784	\$ 219,027	\$ 266,890	\$ 260,593	\$ 287,409	\$ 4,853,909
<b>Account 447, Surplus Sales</b>														
16	Energy (MWh)	187,850	72,261	-	5,904	-	131,187	-	-	79,578	114,729	19,760	143,707	754,975
17	Total Expense	\$ (4,140,532)	\$ (1,215,696)	\$ -	\$ (219,924)	\$ -	\$ (7,600,957)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (3,261,147)	\$ (4,808,352)	\$ (692,262)	\$ (3,903,365)	\$ (25,842,225)
<b>100% Sharing Accounts</b>														
<b>Account 555, PURPA</b>														
18	Energy (MWh)	299,462	296,064	319,938	304,494	280,945	242,682	237,294	196,412	182,465	204,945	219,000	242,204	3,027,905
19	Total Expense	\$ 14,661,769	\$ 14,224,541	\$ 20,883,906	\$ 23,809,251	\$ 22,268,433	\$ 16,706,904	\$ 16,368,606	\$ 16,568,219	\$ 15,708,826	\$ 15,034,883	\$ 15,855,610	\$ 12,972,593	\$ 205,133,741
<b>Account 555, Demand Response Incentives</b>														
20	Total Expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 280,500	\$ 2,937,960	\$ 3,068,678	\$ 1,292,814	\$ 33,860	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,613,612
21	<b>Total Net Power Supply Expense</b>	\$ 19,446,813	\$ 20,812,063	\$ 34,383,525	\$ 56,454,108	\$ 58,311,523	\$ 35,707,695	\$ 34,466,034	\$ 39,341,578	\$ 45,751,062	\$ 39,830,285	\$ 35,661,486	\$ 22,191,236	\$ 442,357,407
22	Total Generation (MWh)	1,300,374	1,354,758	1,555,939	1,827,778	1,731,867	1,429,817	1,153,808	1,180,501	1,500,241	1,548,348	1,227,603	1,326,672	17,137,704
23	Total Load (MWh)	1,112,524	1,282,497	1,555,939	1,821,874	1,731,867	1,298,630	1,153,808	1,180,501	1,420,663	1,433,619	1,207,843	1,182,966	16,382,729

**BEFORE THE  
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CASE NO. IPC-E-21-10**

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY**

**BLACKWELL, DI  
TESTIMONY**

**EXHIBIT NO. 2  
(EXCEL SPREADSHEET ALSO ATTACHED  
TO EMAIL)**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	
Power Cost Adjustment																	
April 2020 thru March 2021																	
3				April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Totals	
4																	
5	PCA Forecasted Revenues	Prior	New (Effective 6/1/20)														
6	Actual Idaho Jurisdictional Billing Month Sales			MWh	866,229	1,016,632	1,183,343	1,307,419	1,562,904	1,460,229	1,084,008	1,004,608	1,139,569	1,192,802	1,141,682	1,068,378	14,213,828
7	% of Prior Period Billings at Old Rate				100.00%	100.00%	99.06%	13.90%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
8	% of Current Period Billings at New Rate				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	86.10%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
9	Forecasted Billing Month Revenues	\$ 6,830	\$ 7,833		\$ 6,830,628.26	\$ 6,830,628.26	\$ 7,833,071.88	\$ 11,871,471.27	\$ 12,972,250.50	\$ 11,360,900.50	\$ 8,481,526.26	\$ 7,833,071.88	\$ 8,830,628.26	\$ 9,331,526.26	\$ 8,830,628.26	\$ 8,331,526.26	\$ 106,741,048.60
10	Sales Based Adjustment																
11	Actual Idaho Jurisdictional Billing Month Sales	Prior	New (Effective 6/1/20)	MWh	866,229	1,016,632	1,183,343	1,307,419	1,562,904	1,460,229	1,084,008	1,004,608	1,139,569	1,192,802	1,141,682	1,068,378	14,213,828
12	Normalized Idaho Jurisdictional Billing Month Sales	MWh	847,102	953,266	1,131,686	1,370,142	1,428,736	1,300,608	1,045,466	957,846	1,081,014	1,177,863	1,101,148	1,044,027	1,004,027	9,348,862	
13	Sales Change	MWh	9,227	65,366	1,187,257	27,277	164,138	148,561	38,111	40,742	65,986	16,230	40,433	54,361	714,907		
14	% of Prior Period Billings at Old Rate				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
15	% of Current Period Billings at New Rate				100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
16	Sales Adjustment Prior To Sharing	\$ 26.72			\$ 26,720.00	\$ 1,869,088.45	\$ 1,381,190.95	\$ 2,780,327.00	\$ 4,285,142.00	\$ 1,089,750.00	\$ 1,029,018.82	\$ 1,248,848.50	\$ 1,485,250.00	\$ 1,080,368.75	\$ 1,462,796.75	\$ 1,887,273.75	\$ 19,108,116.63
17	Sharing Percentage				95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	
18	Sales Based Adjustment																
19	Actual Non-QF				\$ 2,222,324.91	\$ 11,623,660.88	\$ 11,911,091.28	\$ 622,360.37	\$ 4,199,478.88	\$ 2,787,879.84	\$ 877,683.22	\$ 1,184,888.83	\$ 1,410,899.24	\$ 388,520.78	\$ 11,820,367.97	\$ 1,339,648.79	\$ 18,147,890.40
20	Fuel Expense-Coal				\$ 8,231,818.88	\$ 8,822,111.71	\$ 9,340,048.84	\$ 14,416,110.21	\$ 16,437,868.21	\$ 12,778,138.70	\$ 11,456,980.28	\$ 8,188,388.01	\$ 12,788,814.74	\$ 9,204,889.85	\$ 6,418,887.40	\$ 2,710,080.09	\$ 120,838,863.88
21	Fuel Expense-Gas				\$ 1,022,377.76	\$ 819,192.78	\$ 2,000,392.37	\$ 2,002,725.10	\$ 6,816,440.31	\$ 4,720,881.42	\$ 3,070,968.85	\$ 6,892,264.47	\$ 8,955,031.28	\$ 6,176,009.10	\$ 4,122,018.83	\$ 6,969,530.85	\$ 64,873,821.13
22	Non-Firm Purchases				\$ 3,279,850.15	\$ 3,319,852.04	\$ 4,482,126.40	\$ 6,811,446.19	\$ 12,508,143.87	\$ 13,421,864.81	\$ 6,068,071.37	\$ 7,303,298.29	\$ 8,899,396.01	\$ 8,810,302.88	\$ 8,848,363.66	\$ 3,291,761.38	\$ 81,761,838.13
23	Third Party Transmission				\$ 128,088.00	\$ 360,351.30	\$ 860,481.14	\$ 285,781.83	\$ 62,115.85	\$ 14,807.28	\$ 493,831.88	\$ 11,195,498.85	\$ 137,661.87	\$ 332,287.87	\$ 117,456.69	\$ 118,080.00	\$ 3,830,318.84
24	Burden Sales				\$ (4,273,776.84)	\$ (1,269,051.50)	\$ (3,646,387.87)	\$ (1,677,830.89)	\$ (8,858,876.73)	\$ (18,544,744.27)	\$ (4,828,776.85)	\$ (3,224,818.83)	\$ (8,124,633.85)	\$ (2,683,673.46)	\$ (9,701,053.29)	\$ (6,410,780.85)	\$ (64,633,652.64)
25	Water for Power (Leases)				\$ 9,486,166.31	\$ 12,102,763.30	\$ 13,836,666.98	\$ 24,842,150.29	\$ 27,783,837.81	\$ 16,770,768.01	\$ 16,846,056.48	\$ 19,320,048.77	\$ 26,327,780.14	\$ 21,339,708.86	\$ 12,806,792.96	\$ 8,142,871.42	\$ 207,207,876.12
26	Total Actual Non-QF				\$ 9,856,302.35	\$ 11,873,824.00	\$ 13,248,828.03	\$ 23,607,188.60	\$ 28,588,811.18	\$ 18,992,868.80	\$ 15,912,873.04	\$ 18,381,822.83	\$ 24,085,718.61	\$ 20,281,207.28	\$ 12,266,100.86	\$ 7,769,968.84	\$ 187,763,840.48
27	Idaho Allocation				\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	\$ 95.2%	
28	Net Idaho Jurisdictional Actual Non-QF				\$ 9,162,629.33	\$ 11,221,824.00	\$ 12,153,939.03	\$ 21,800,110.60	\$ 26,600,939.19	\$ 17,780,898.01	\$ 14,769,948.04	\$ 17,206,970.64	\$ 19,004,516.61	\$ 15,035,192.02	\$ 7,571,129.60	\$ 7,394,913.64	\$ 176,999,925.00
29	Base Non-QF																
30	Fuel Expense-Coal				\$ 7,626,242.00	\$ 7,487,843.00	\$ 8,019,163.00	\$ 11,386,264.00	\$ 12,186,412.00	\$ 10,706,848.00	\$ 7,781,442.00	\$ 7,302,324.00	\$ 8,466,016.00	\$ 6,653,773.00	\$ 8,912,804.00	\$ 6,068,076.00	\$ 108,603,180.00
31	Fuel Expense-Gas				\$ 2,314,206.00	\$ 2,302,846.00	\$ 2,773,626.00	\$ 3,747,333.00	\$ 3,920,312.00	\$ 2,302,867.00	\$ 2,246,668.00	\$ 2,800,138.00	\$ 2,638,036.00	\$ 2,740,976.00	\$ 2,480,369.00	\$ 3,347,863.00	
32	Non-Firm Purchases				\$ 4,342,083.00	\$ 4,300,388.00	\$ 5,204,073.00	\$ 6,689,318.00	\$ 7,031,912.00	\$ 4,228,806.00	\$ 4,488,919.00	\$ 4,213,662.00	\$ 4,878,689.00	\$ 5,112,449.00	\$ 5,142,814.00	\$ 4,877,873.00	\$ 62,506,603.00
33	Third Party Transmission				\$ 374,368.00	\$ 376,607.00	\$ 463,817.00	\$ 617,484.00	\$ 612,729.00	\$ 542,807.00	\$ 301,281.00	\$ 387,188.00	\$ 425,161.00	\$ 400,400.00	\$ 448,178.00	\$ 407,303.00	\$ 6,485,966.00
34	Burden Sales				\$ (3,688,100.00)	\$ (3,679,180.00)	\$ (4,320,480.00)	\$ (6,234,917.00)	\$ (8,103,289.00)	\$ (8,148,219.00)	\$ (3,742,363.00)	\$ (3,481,882.00)	\$ (4,033,418.00)	\$ (4,588,312.00)	\$ (3,749,784.00)	\$ (3,849,229.00)	\$ (61,738,163.00)
35	Water for Power (Leases)				\$ 186,108.00	\$ 164,918.00	\$ 187,883.00	\$ 249,796.00	\$ 287,362.00	\$ 236,886.00	\$ 192,722.00	\$ 162,716.00	\$ 186,606.00	\$ 208,613.00	\$ 199,058.00	\$ 117,679.00	\$ 2,380,887.00
36	Net 95% Items				\$ 11,136,948.00	\$ 11,081,289.00	\$ 13,347,849.00	\$ 16,680,566.00	\$ 18,033,730.00	\$ 16,878,736.00	\$ 11,616,106.00	\$ 10,807,036.00	\$ 12,812,863.00	\$ 14,130,058.00	\$ 13,100,742.00	\$ 11,984,700.00	\$ 180,878,736.00
37	Idaho Allocation				\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	\$ 95.0%	
38	Net Idaho Jurisdictional 95% Items				\$ 10,580,967.75	\$ 10,627,254.08	\$ 12,689,456.95	\$ 16,007,872.60	\$ 17,132,062.05	\$ 16,179,796.20	\$ 10,840,300.70	\$ 10,286,687.96	\$ 11,887,314.85	\$ 13,432,105.10	\$ 13,633,204.90	\$ 11,386,473.58	\$ 162,649,728.25
39	Change From Base																
40	Net Power Supply Costs Deferral				\$ 11,856,854.37	\$ 964,689.95	\$ 605,171.48	\$ 7,605,116.10	\$ 9,466,469.14	\$ 87,192.40	\$ 4,972,372.34	\$ 8,115,296.48	\$ 12,199,404.08	\$ 6,856,102.18	\$ 2,676,104.04	\$ 13,633,637.69	\$ 45,213,242.21
41	Sharing Percentage				95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	
42	Emission Allowances and REC Sales																
43	Emission Allowances Sales Credit				\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
44	Renewable Energy Credit Sales				\$ 273,324.68	\$ (388,183.81)	\$ 388.34	\$ (858,713.65)	\$ (86.87)	\$ (1,381.50)	\$ (383,418.59)	\$ 663.63	\$ (992,379.18)	\$ 287.73	\$ (1,149.64)	\$ (1,213,563.64)	\$ (8,292,840.77)
45	Total Emission Allowances and REC Sales				\$ 273,324.68	\$ (388,183.81)	\$ 388.34	\$ (858,713.65)	\$ (86.87)	\$ (1,381.50)	\$ (383,418.59)	\$ 663.63	\$ (992,379.18)	\$ 287.73	\$ (1,149.64)	\$ (1,213,563.64)	\$ (8,292,840.77)
46	Idaho Allocation				95.2%	95.2%	95.2%	96.9%	96.7%	96.7%	95.6%	96.1%	96.1%	96.7%	96.7%	95.0%	
47	Sharing Percentage				95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	
48	Net Emission Allowances and REC Sales				\$ (85,459.34)	\$ (318,788.56)	\$ 300.24	\$ (858,055.91)	\$ (424.23)	\$ 1,228.34	\$ (348,318.61)	\$ 606.48	\$ (897,518.79)	\$ 289.95	\$ (2,869.77)	\$ (2,869,120.68)	\$ (4,738,348.88)
49	Idaho Allocated EIM Participation Costs																
50	Return on EIM Capital Investment				\$ 51,974.83	\$ 6,918.16	\$ 40,891.98	\$ 48,805.21	\$ 47,748.74	\$ 46,692.27	\$ 46,636.80	\$ 44,676.33	\$ 43,674.15	\$ 42,617.49	\$ 41,400.83	\$ 40,404.18	\$ 664,172.49
51	Operating Expenses				\$ 299,482.84	\$ 207,693.68	\$ 227,248.71	\$ 213,229.63	\$ 218,649.20	\$ 243,047.36	\$ 227,690.14	\$ 189,918.83	\$ 199,047.80	\$ 208,368.43	\$ 215,044.08	\$ 2,831,863.84	
52	Total				\$ 261,467.68	\$ 278,849.78	\$ 277,110.40	\$ 262,033.85	\$ 287,397.84	\$ 289,738.82	\$ 273,286.84	\$ 243,787.78	\$ 247,736.72	\$ 242,165.29	\$ 249,856.23	\$ 3,185,726.21	
53	Sharing Percentage				95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	
54	EIM Participation Costs				\$ 248,284.90	\$ 284,604.41	\$ 263,254.88	\$ 249,803.25	\$ 264,020.04	\$ 275,050.44	\$ 233,618.44	\$ 231,677.87	\$ 236,348.84	\$ 230,697.92	\$ 237,266.30	\$ 277,730.52	\$ 3,028,480.00
55	Deferred Response Incentive Payments																
56	Actual				\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 151,489.84	\$ 2,333,349.98	\$ 3,066,206.80	\$ 1,030,886.24	\$ 66,727.77	\$ 169.46	\$ 7,381.11	\$ 0.00	\$ 18,812.87	\$ 2,304.30	\$ 6,664,660.62
57	Base				\$ 780,401.00	\$ 778,602.00	\$ 836,307.00	\$ 1,180,720.00	\$ 1,180,720.00	\$ 806,670.00	\$ 787,284.00	\$ 876,623.00	\$ 900,769.00	\$ 924,317.00	\$ 911,252.00	\$ 1,282,265.00	
58	Change From Base				\$ (780,401.00)												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1	Power Cost Adjustment																
2	April 2020 thru March 2021																
3					April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Totals
84	<b>True-Up Summary:</b>																
85	<b>Principal Balances</b>																
86	Beginning True-Up Balance	\$	0.00	(3,347,495.35)	(6,073,809.85)	(8,123,967.85)	229,430.59	981,654.48	(13,179,358.81)	(10,640,855.29)	(8,338,143.87)	(2,442,849.97)	(4,252,804.41)	(8,748,285.53)			0.00
87	Amount Deferred	\$	(3,347,495.35)	(1,685,314.54)	(1,115,168.09)	6,363,398.58	752,123.87	(13,180,912.87)	1,538,503.22	4,301,712.82	3,866,162.70	(1,809,854.44)	(4,485,481.12)	(13,323,917.84)	(22,073,183.37)		(22,073,183.37)
88	Ending Balance	\$	(3,347,495.35)	(5,033,809.85)	(5,123,967.85)	228,430.59	981,654.48	(12,179,358.81)	(9,102,352.07)	(6,337,143.07)	(4,471,687.17)	(2,442,849.97)	(4,252,804.41)	(8,748,285.53)	(22,073,183.37)		(22,073,183.37)
89	<b>Interest Balances</b>																
90	Accrual thru Prior Month	\$	0.00	0.00	(5,580.27)	(13,938.38)	(24,146.94)	(23,764.48)	(23,138.33)	(42,431.22)	(80,159.53)	(70,736.88)	(72,771.85)	(76,314.46)			(83,602.89)
91	Monthly Interest Rate (Annual 2% for 2020, 1% for 2021)		0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
92	Monthly Interest Incl Exp	\$	0.00	(5,580.27)	(8,368.02)	(10,208.95)	382.46	1,636.26	(20,303.80)	(17,738.31)	(10,667.36)	(2,034.68)	(3,542.50)	(7,288.14)			(83,602.89)
93	Interest Accrued to date	\$	0.00	(5,580.27)	(13,938.38)	(24,146.94)	(23,764.48)	(22,128.23)	(42,431.22)	(80,159.53)	(70,736.88)	(72,771.85)	(76,314.46)	(80,802.59)			(83,602.89)
94	Ending True-Up Balance	\$	(3,347,495.35)	(5,039,390.12)	(5,132,176.80)	205,283.65	957,788.24	(12,201,483.74)	(9,119,888.31)	(6,357,442.87)	(4,541,824.05)	(2,473,186.33)	(4,259,846.91)	(8,824,588.00)	(22,156,786.36)		(22,156,786.36)
107	<b>True-Up of the True-Up Summary:</b>																
108	Beginning Balance True-Up of True-Up	\$	(10,779,801.08)	(38,062,486.11)	(33,152,832.77)	(28,187,367.88)	(24,047,452.80)	(19,355,456.86)	(15,077,836.81)	(11,882,378.27)	(8,917,670.27)	(6,555,703.66)	(2,016,204.37)	1,373,885.89	(10,779,801.08)		
109	Adjustments																
110	Revenue Sharing	\$															
111	DSM Rider Forecasted Surplus Funds Order No.	\$															
112	15-20 PCH - Invt per PUC Order No. 34652	\$															(31,850,545.60)
113	True-Up of True-Up Balance	\$	(42,848,446.88)	(38,062,486.11)	(33,152,832.77)	(28,187,367.88)	(24,047,452.80)	(19,355,456.86)	(15,077,836.81)	(11,882,378.27)	(8,917,670.27)	(6,555,703.66)	(2,016,204.37)	1,373,885.89	(42,848,446.88)		
114	Monthly Interest Rate (Annual 2% for 2020, 1% for 2021)		0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
115	Monthly Interest	\$	(71,084.90)	(63,460.13)	(55,289.84)	(47,055.01)	(40,087.10)	(33,285.56)	(26,134.83)	(19,807.92)	(14,865.76)	(4,627.90)	(1,819.50)	1,144.46	(374,140.26)		
116	True-Up of True-Up Including Interest	\$	(42,719,541.84)	(38,125,916.24)	(33,208,198.71)	(28,244,372.90)	(24,087,540.00)	(19,387,722.41)	(15,103,974.64)	(11,902,186.19)	(8,932,536.03)	(6,560,331.46)	(2,017,883.87)	1,375,030.34	(43,022,586.90)		
117	Monthly Collection Applied To Balance	\$	4,467,078.53	4,972,882.47	5,010,830.73	4,194,620.96	4,732,083.14	4,309,782.90	3,720,696.17	2,984,515.92	3,378,832.42	3,544,127.08	3,381,789.78	3,144,583.97	47,840,201.24		
118	Ending True-Up of the True-Up Balance	\$	(38,062,486.11)	(33,152,832.77)	(28,187,367.88)	(24,047,452.80)	(19,355,456.86)	(15,077,836.81)	(11,882,378.27)	(8,917,670.27)	(6,555,703.66)	(2,016,204.37)	1,373,885.89	1,373,885.89	4,619,014.31		4,619,014.31
120	Negative amounts indicate benefit to the customer.																
129																	
130																	
131	Who Billed Sales	Mwh	955,229	1,016,832	1,183,343	1,307,419	1,692,804	1,460,229	1,084,006	1,004,606	1,130,590	1,192,002	1,141,582	1,068,378	14,213,829		
132	Dragon Billed Sales	Mwh	48,443	50,746	63,459	80,630	71,797	64,778	90,378	92,196	86,305	60,953	61,389	82,161	875,222		
133	Total	Mwh	1,004,672	1,067,578	1,246,802	1,488,049	1,764,601	1,525,007	1,174,384	1,096,802	1,216,885	1,252,955	1,202,971	1,150,539	14,889,051		
134	Who % Billed Sales		95.2%	95.2%	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%	95.7%	95.7%	95.6%	95.7%	95.7%	95.7%	95.2%	95.2%		
135	Dragon % Billed Sales		4.8%	4.8%	4.3%	4.2%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	4.4%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	4.8%	4.8%		

**BEFORE THE  
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
CASE NO. IPC-E-21-10**

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY**

**BLACKWELL, DI  
TESTIMONY**

**EXHIBIT NO. 3  
(EXCEL SPREADSHEET ALSO ATTACHED  
TO EMAIL)**

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ANALYSIS  
For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2020

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74

	Actual September 30, 2020			Actual December 31, 2020		
	SYSTEM	IDAHO	IDAHO %	SYSTEM	IDAHO	IDAHO %
*** SUMMARY OF RESULTS ***						
TOTAL COMBINED RATE BASE	3,539,638,329	3,392,241,258	95.8%	September Allocations/Ratios		
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF NET INCOME</b>						
<b>OPERATING REVENUES</b>						
RETAIL SALES REVENUES (Incl 449.1 Rev)	896,037,053	857,137,508	Direct Assign	1,168,968,043	1,116,558,967	Direct Assign
OTHER OPERATING REVENUES	132,873,864	127,000,415	95.6%	175,200,998	167,456,555	95.6%
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	1,028,910,917	984,137,923		1,344,169,041	1,284,015,522	
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES</b>						
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	620,658,601	589,999,584	95.1%	830,692,777	789,658,586	95.1%
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	121,742,624	116,646,466	95.8%	162,318,740	155,524,063	95.8%
AMORTIZATION OF LIMITED TERM PLANT	5,775,540	5,535,969	95.9%	7,727,513	7,406,973	95.9%
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	25,946,411	24,196,176	93.3%	33,047,693	30,818,436	93.3%
REGULATORY DEBITS/CREDITS	970,427	806,515	83.1%	1,332,529	1,107,455	83.1%
PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	5,327,100	5,245,567	98.5%	(6,233,127)	(6,137,727)	98.5%
INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADJUSTMENT	1,762,322	1,689,046	95.8%	2,820,899	2,703,608	95.8%
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	15,717,056	15,520,476	98.7%	26,204,174	25,876,427	98.7%
STATE INCOME TAXES	3,359,422	3,315,556	98.7%	6,286,258	6,204,174	98.7%
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	801,259,502	762,955,355		1,064,197,455	1,013,161,996	
OPERATING INCOME	227,651,415	221,182,568		279,971,586	270,853,526	
ADD: IERCO OPERATING INCOME	6,245,094	5,962,892	95.5%	8,402,214	8,021,381	95.5%
OPERATING INCOME BEFORE OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS	233,897,409	227,145,460		288,373,800	278,874,907	96.7%
ADD: AFUDC EQUITY				29,550,610	28,320,068	95.8% (L 10)
ADD: OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS				2,698,867	2,609,967	96.7% (L 33)
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST CHARGES				320,623,277	309,804,942	
LESS: INTEREST CHARGES				87,388,734	83,749,706	95.8% (L 10)
NET INCOME				233,234,543	226,055,237	
<b>ACTUAL YEAR-END RESULTS - BEFORE ITC ADJUSTMENT</b>						
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK				233,234,543	226,055,237	
COMMON EQUITY AT YEAR END				2,363,834,455	2,265,400,027	95.8% (L 10)
RETURN ON YEAR-END COMMON EQUITY				9.87%	9.98%	
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 9.50 ROE				224,564,273	212,947,603 (L44 * 9.4%)	
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 10 ROE				236,383,446	226,540,003 (L44 * 10%)	
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 10.50 ROE				248,202,618	237,867,003 (L44 * 10.5%)	
<b>ACTUAL YEAR-END RESULTS - AFTER ITC ADJUSTMENT:</b>						
INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADJUSTMENT					(14,467,587) (L48-L43) / (1-9.4%)	
ADJUSTED EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK					211,587,649	
ADJUSTED COMMON EQUITY AT YEAR-END					2,250,932,440	
ADJUSTED RETURN ON YEAR-END COMMON EQUITY					9.40%	

<b>IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) &lt;9.4%</b>		
ADDITIONAL ITC ADJUSTMENT (Annualized) If L 54 is negative, then 0; if positive, then smaller of L54 or \$25,000,000		0
<b>IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) &gt;10%</b>		
IDAHO EARNINGS GREATER THAN 10% ROE BUT LESS THAN 10.5%		0 (L43-L49)/(1-10%)
<b>IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) &gt;10.5%</b>		
INCREMENTAL IDAHO EARNINGS GREATER THAN 10.50% ROE		0 (L43-L50)/(1-10.5%)
<b>Per Order #34071:</b>		
ROE between 10%-10.5% -CUSTOMER SHARE - 80% (Reduction to rates)	After Tax	Tax Gross Up
ROE between 10%-10.5% -COMPANY SHARE - 20%	0	-
ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) - CUSTOMER SHARE - 55% (Reduction to rates)	0	-
ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) - CUSTOMER SHARE - 25% (Offset to Pension balance)	0	-
ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) -COMPANY SHARE - 20%	0	-
	0	