

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:00:07 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Anne Herndon
Submission Time: Nov 23 2021 8:56PM
Email: aherndon9@aim.com
Telephone: 208-377-8767
Address: 6110 Bay Street
Boise, ID 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-21-21

Comment: "I am an on-site solar generator because I believe in clean, renewal energy. I support the proposals of the Interveners in this case, and I urge the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to develop a study framework based on the recommendations of the Interveners. Clean renewable energy production such as on-site solar generation needs to be encouraged by the PUC and by Idaho Power. As a privately owned company, Idaho Power's first and foremost commitment is to deliver profit to its shareholders; any study design delivered by the Company will be inherently biased. It is the Commission's duty to ensure that Idaho Power treats the public citizens of Idaho with fairness and welcomes the production of clean renewable energy. The cost/benefit study needs to be conducted by a neutral third party and include methods for quantifying the environmental and health benefits of renewable energy. Please keep in mind that "the study must be written so it is understandable to an average customer, but its analysis must be able to withstand expert scrutiny." I appreciate that some of the terms used in the study framework presented by the PUC's Public Workshop on 26 October 2021 are defined, such as Avoided Energy Value, Avoided Capacity Value, Avoided Transmission and Distribution Costs, Avoided Line Losses, and Integration Costs. However, as a customer, I find these definitions difficult to understand. Who is avoiding these values and/or costs, the Company or the On-site Generators? I can't tell if the avoided stuff is good for the company or good for the customer. If I don't understand what Avoided Energy Value, Avoided Capacity Value, or Avoided Transmission and Distribution Costs are, then I certainly don't understand what Avoided Line Losses are, because the definition for Avoided Line Losses is "an adjustment that can potentially increase avoided capacity and energy cost due to avoiding transmission and distribution line losses..." What does all this mean??? I NEED EXAMPLES!!! Thank you. "

From: [Brett Perry](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Move toward a brighter future! Case Number: IPC-E-21-21.
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 7:56:02 AM

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission Commission Secretary,

I want the rooftop solar valuation study to be fair so I can participate in the program and help move Idaho towards a clean energy future!

I would hate to be disenfranchised for the major investment I have made into our solar future. Please do not downgrade the clean energy my family generates for Idaho's future.

We must work together to ensure local, clean, customer-owned power is an option for all Idahoans.

Sincerely,
Brett Perry

Regards,
Brett Perry
812 W Brumback St
Boise, ID 83702

From: [Timothy Riha](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Stand up for solar! Case Number: IPC-E-21-21.
Date: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 6:48:45 PM

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission Commission Secretary,

Solar is an important player in Idaho's clean energy future! I want the Idaho Power solar valuation study to identify how I can participate in the rooftop solar program. Local, clean energy benefits us all and plays an important role in working towards a clean energy future.

It needs to be a fair trade. If we generate power for you, we should be compensated fairly for that power generation.

Regards,
Timothy Riha
2459 Succor Creek Rd
Homedale, ID 83628

From: [John Huffman](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Stand up for solar! Case Number: IPC-E-21-21.
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:45:39 AM

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission Commission Secretary,

As a current residential solar generator, I request no change to the current net metering plan, or perhaps an additional payment to me, as I have incurred all the costs to produce my own power, without charge to Idaho Power, and Idaho Power is actually selling my generated power to other customers with no payment to me.

Regards,
John Huffman
6225 N Pierce Park Ln
Boise, ID 83714

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:00:05 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Kathy Dawes
Submission Time: Nov 24 2021 3:14PM
Email: kdawes208@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-882-0940
Address: 1018 East E St.
Moscow, ID 83843

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Case ID: IPC-E-21-21

Comment: "I am very concerned about the effects of climate change and totally support increased efforts to move away from fossil fuel energy sources and toward renewable energy like solar power. I value the promotion and expansion of customer-owned roof-top solar installations and feel there should be a strong incentive program for this. I also believe the study of the use of solar energy should be done fairly and thoroughly and should be done by neutral experts rather than by Idaho Power. The process should be transparent, comprehensive, and made accessible to the public. Individually-owned rooftop solar systems and other distributed energy systems should remain a viable economic and environmental solution for businesses, homeowners, and government agencies in Idaho. Thank you. "

From: [hadley robertson](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Move toward a brighter future! Case Number: IPC-E-21-21.
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:21:25 PM

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission Commission Secretary,

I want the rooftop solar valuation study to be fair so I can participate in the program and help move Idaho towards a clean energy future! We must work together to ensure local, clean, customer-owned power is an option for all Idahoans.

Thank you for taking public comment(s) into consideration and taking the long-look at energy for the future.

Regards,
hadley robertson
18835 Symms Rd
Caldwell, ID 83607

From: [ANN CARLSON](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Case Number: IPC-E-21-21
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 10:09:33 PM

I have residential roof-top solar; and am writing to support fair and equitable reimbursement or credit for the power I generate that is returned to the grid. It should be on par with what is paid by Idaho Power for energy purchased from other sources. I, along with other residential solar users, have saved Idaho Power hundreds of thousands of dollars in solar infrastructure capacity; and, during peak demand, I am significantly reducing demand and at times supplying energy to the grid. Residential solar needs to be acknowledged for the economic benefit it provides to power companies and the broader community through increased energy capacity and reduced dependence on fossil fuel sources. If climate change threatens the availability of hydropower in our state, alternative energy sources will be even more important.

Thank you.

Ann Carlson
2847 Law Ave
Boise. 83706
annmt1949@aol.com