

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Saturday, July 23, 2022 8:00:07 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Gordon Myers
Submission Time: Jul 23 2022 7:06AM
Email: gmyers6209@msn.com
Telephone: 208-871-8179
Address: 16830 Prairie Ln
Nampa, ID 83651

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I recently installed solar panels on my home with the encouragement of Idaho Power, and now I see that they have been working with the IPUC to substantially reduce the power buyback in place. The plan they are presenting is designed to make it virtually impossible for customers to gain any account credit, even though we're adding to the power grid. I expect the PUC to approve the plan, regardless of comments, since they were involved in developing the plan from the beginning. "

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Saturday, July 23, 2022 3:00:11 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Holly Martinez
Submission Time: Jul 23 2022 2:36PM
Email: canbenrml@yahoo.com
Telephone: 208-989-1539
Address: 2251 E Grayson St
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I think changing the way we are compensated for energy sent to idaho power as clean solar energy should be continued at kwh instead of cash basis it is the only way to know we are being fairly compensated by sharing the energy we paid for and are loaning to idaho power otherwise the compensation will not be equal to the amount given."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2022 11:00:07 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: John Huffman
Submission Time: Jul 24 2022 10:43AM
Email: jdhspecial@gmail.com
Telephone: 480-540-5740
Address: 6225 N Pierce Park Lane
Boise, ID 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I continue to be grossly dismayed by the direction of the "study" to evaluate customer generation credits. Even more so as my system was installed after the "grandfathered" period. All customer generation needs to be a one-for-one credit, not less than, and not a dollar amount credit. The cost of my solar install defrays Idaho Power generation costs, makes power available for other customers in an area where there is much growth, and helps the environment. Idaho Powers' "support" of solar is barely lip service as the proposal to cut credit by 60% proves. Any Idaho Power employee , or government or utility commission worker involved with this study that supports this reduction should be ashamed of participating in this deceit. "

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Monday, July 25, 2022 3:00:07 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Don Harlan
Submission Time: Jul 25 2022 2:54PM
Email: donharlan@msn.com
Telephone: 208-590-6525
Address: 1450 N. 6th East
Mountain Home, ID 83647

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-21-21

Comment: "Idaho Public Utilities Commission I had solar installed on my roof in 2021 and am pretty happy with the current system. My excess power goes to Idaho power during the peak air conditioning season, and they send power back in the winter months. This system will become more important as our state's population grows and people transition to electric vehicles. The proposed changes to net metering will make my investment worthless. Not only will it make it less likely that I will make back my investment through power consumption, but it will also make the home investment worth much less. I ask that you not allow Idaho power to change the current structure as it would disincentivize customer solar in Idaho. I get that Idaho power wants to cover 'fixed' costs, but this system costs over \$60k to install; that is a 'fixed' cost that I committed to this project. The current system offers a pretty good deal for Idaho Power; no upfront or maintenance costs and they receive power at their peak season and then return the power they borrowed during their off-peak season. Idaho power costs for transmission lines are covered by the power produced by customer panels during peak season and sold to other customers at a higher tier. Regarding value, 1 kilowatt in the summer is worth much more than 1 kilowatt in the winter, and 1 kilowatt at 2pm is worth much more than 1 kilowatt at 2am. Best regards, Don"

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:00:07 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Larry Sundberg
Submission Time: Jul 25 2022 4:12PM
Email: larrywsund@protonmail.com
Telephone: 503-930-2068
Address: 10150 Vistair Pl
Payette, ID 83661

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "Comment: I am a homeowner with 12.75 KW roof solar installation that has been in use for almost a year. My interest in having this system installed was to decrease the need for more power plants while helping out my bill. I invested a lot of money in the hopes of having a ROI that would pay off in a reasonable time. This new plan of 2-4 cents per KWH is very unfair to the homeowners who invested in good faith. Please do not change the rules in the middle of the game. Solar panels are a poor way of producing power except as roof top installation. These acres of panels on the ground cost too much to maintain and future replacement. At least when a homeowner has the responsibility of snow removal and cleaning it doesn't come out of Idaho power's budget. If you decide to make changes, make them from here on out. Making the changes proposed will certainly slow down the investments by new homeowners. Sincerely, Larry W. Sundberg."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2022 7:00:09 AM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jeff Lingwall
Submission Time: Jul 23 2022 6:06PM
Email: jeff.lingwall@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-501-4119
Address: 4968 N. Ice Springs Way
Boise, ID 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "The June 2022 VODER report contemplates changes to solar power net metering which would destroy our investment in solar power. We priced a solar system to essentially replace our utility bill, except for the monthly connection fee. Under the contemplated net billing (hourly) and net billing (real-time) in Figure 6.1 of the report, our bill would increase dramatically. The statement that "however, the customer will still realize an average monthly reduction of over \$57 per month compared to having no solar installed" on page 76 here is cold comfort--users who made the significant up-front investment in solar would now face, over the life of a 20 year system, $\$31.37 * 12 * 20 = \$7,528.8$ in increased energy costs above and beyond those included in the cost-benefit analysis performed upon installing the system. There should be strong and sustained consumer resistance to this significant rate hike for existing customers, as it destroys their investment in solar. Idaho Power supposedly has a "100% clean energy goal." It's hard to equate that goal with destroying existing customer's investments in solar! If such a change is made, it should apply to future solar customers who may price what is effectively a 6,000% rate hike (from a \$5 monthly connection to \$31.37 a month) into their calculations, not to existing solar installs. "

Name: Michael O'Brien
Submission Time: Jul 23 2022 7:53PM
Email: boisemobrien@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-505-4476
Address: 1905 N. Dalton Ave.
Boise, ID 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "We installed rooftop solar in April 2021, and have built a small positive credit with Idaho Power, with the intention of converting, where possible, from gas appliances to electric, reducing the credit to zero. The current case, as I understand it, considers whether this

excess production should be credited in KWH or cash. This seems to me to miss the point, which should be to provide incentive for rooftop solar producers to use the power when they create it. Use it when the sun shines. This would reduce IP's need for base generation. We have a heat pump, and when possible, use it only during the day. Other appliances, like water heaters, can be programmed to do the same. I encourage you to look past the cash or KWH question and think about creating an incentive system to minimize stress on the grid."
