

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Wednesday, July 27, 2022 7:00:07 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Edward Espinosa
Submission Time: Jul 26 2022 8:38PM
Email: samishhill@comcast.net
Telephone: 360-325-2511
Address: 12355 W Rice Rd
Star, ID 83669

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "Dear Commissioners: I have a several questions to ask. 1) Who will be the responsible party for maintaining the solar panels and associated equipment? 2) Is there a known planned maintenance schedule to follow for solar panel systems? 3) If so, who bears the cost of this maintenance? 4) What is the useful life of PV cell and what is it's annual degradation rate? 5) How are the solar panels disposed of at end of life? 6) Are there any environmental concerns regarding hazardous material generated from spent panels? 7) Does the warranty period vary by vendor? 8)How long is a typical warranty period? 9) Who is responsible for corrective maintenance? 10) Is there a known VOM cost and what is it? 11) Is system performance monitored remotely? Thank you for your time Ed"

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Wednesday, July 27, 2022 10:00:06 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Craig Stevens
Submission Time: Jul 27 2022 9:04AM
Email: bsucraig@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-713-2071
Address: 19234 Warbler Way
Caldwell, ID 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "As it relates to the case of Idaho Power requesting the IPUC allow them to decrease the rate at which Idaho Power reimburses solar generating customers. While I understand the request from Idaho Power I am not in support of this request. The systems in place are a trade where in I generate 1 kW they give me 1kW in exchange. I can see where a business would not understand the program as they are looking at it as profit and loss metric. And in this case if you look at the cost benefit of that they net zero just like I do. This is acceptable. Especially when you consider that during the peak times of the year I am generating the most amount of power my system can generate and in the low consumption times of the year I am generating less and need the grid to supplement but over all Idaho Power will receive more power than I consume in the year. There are many reasons for and against the current process. I would encourage the IPUC to endorse a non biased third party assessment and reject the report from Idaho Power as it is clearly self serving and has expressed bias in the results. Thank you, Craig Stevens"

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)