

From: [Jim Mital \(jmital@turbonet.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jmital@turbonet.com)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] IPC-E-22-22 Public Hearing Request
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 2:39:47 AM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

As a longtime Idaho resident I am concerned about Idaho Power's study regarding rooftop solar power. It's critical that all Idahoans be given the opportunity to voice their concerns about this critical issue.

I am very concerned about Idaho Power's newly published cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. It underestimates the value of solar by intentionally excluding measurable environmental and related benefits. This impacts customer rates and threatens fair compensation for Idaho families, businesses, farms, schools, and other local entities that benefit from locally-owned solar.

Please hold in-person public hearings in multiple locations across Idaho Power's service territory (and offer virtual video options and a weekend option) to ensure as many people as possible can participate in this critical proceeding and share their concerns directly with the Commission.

After gaining an understanding of public concerns and the technical flaws in the study, I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study. Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair, credible, comprehensive, and complete analysis.

Sincerely,

Jim Mital
1155 Idlers Rest Road
Moscow, ID 83843
jmital@turbonet.com
(208) 310-0663

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 7:00:09 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Nathaniel Wilder
Submission Time: Aug 17 2022 8:44PM
Email: nathanielswilder@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-761-8190
Address: 5684 E gatewsy Dr
Boise, ID 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I do not support IPC's VODER srudy conclusion since: The study underestimates several avoided costs of customer-owned solar including avoided generation capacity and avoided transmission and distribution costs The study fails to account for the avoided environmental costs of installing more customer generated solar. I also do not support IPC attmrpting to lower pay for energy generators that use solar power and put more electricity into the grid. Smells like corruption and anti-free market monopolistic action."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 11:00:06 AM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Karen McLaffertry
Submission Time: Aug 18 2022 10:17AM
Email: kdiskandles@msn.com
Telephone: 208-870-7538
Address: 3280 S Como Ave
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: ICP-E-22-22

Comment: "I purchased solar in June 2022 after learning everything I could about how it worked. I was really interested and purchased my solar through Boise Solar because they are insured and carry the the protections that I needed. I was also told that after my solar was installed that I would be trading Idaho Power kwh with solar kwh so that all that I wasn't using was stored with Idaho Power for the times like winter when I wouldn't be making as much solar kwh without the sun shining. Since I purchased my solar with the information that was available at the time and Idaho Power isn't starting this until 2023 I believe that everyone who purchased solar with the understanding that we would be trading kwh for kwh should be grandfathered into the structure as it is now and those who purchase solar starting in January of 2023 would be under the new structure. I know of a retired co-worker that got a letter stating that he was grandfathered in because he has had solar for a few years. The fact that the current structure could change might have influenced my decision. I'm retired with an income of less than 21,000 a year and was looking out for me in a long term investment. Thank you, Karen "

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 12:00:09 PM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Gary Hanson
Submission Time: Aug 18 2022 11:10AM
Email: gmhanson51@gmail.com
Telephone: 907-612-0014
Address: 1299 W Hitchcock St
Meridian, ID 83646

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I have a residential solar display and I enjoy the legacy agreement with Idaho Power. However, I understand that Idaho Power feels that those like me should compensate them for the infrastructure they have provided to distribute the power I export and import every minute of every day. To a certain extent I can see their point, as it is much like paying a gas tax to use the road. I would suggest that Idaho Power continue compensating residential power provides at the legacy rate (1KW produced = 1KW imported). However, maybe they can do a study on how much each residence costs them annually and come up with a single annual users fee. I would hope this fee would be less than \$100 per year per household. I believe this would serve to encourage new rooftop solar installations. I also believe that changing the compensation ratio of KW provided verses KW received is detrimental to encouraging new rooftop solar installations. In my case, I am considering adding to my solar array, but the new panels would have to be metered separately at the new rate. This seems unwieldy and complicated. A reasonable annual fee would be a much better solution. Win-win!!"

From: [Dr. Dave Makings](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] IPC-E 22-22
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 4:58:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dr. Dave and Kathy Makings

P.O. Box 25
Kimberly, ID 83341
Cell Number 208 420 4289
Drmakings67@gmail.com

We are Idaho Power customers living near Kimberly. We recently installed a roof top solar system.

We, like MANY others, are very concerned about Idaho Power's recently published cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. It underestimates the value of solar by intentionally excluding measurable system benefits. This threatens fair compensation for Idaho families, businesses, farms, schools, and other entities that benefit from distributed solar generation.

I am concerned that current and future solar owners will be unfairly compensated and this will discourage the installation of customer generation. I believe the public needs to have a voice in the PUC's consideration of Idaho Power's rooftop solar study.

As voting residents of Idaho we STRONGLY request that PUC hold in-person public hearings in multiple locations across Idaho Power's service territory. In addition, that PUC offer virtual video options and a weekend options to make this critical proceeding accessible to as many people as possible so they can share their concerns directly with the Commission.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dr. Dave Makings and Kathy Makings

Dr. Dave Makings

Professor Emeritus College of Southern Idaho

M Star LLC
PO Box 25
Kimberly, ID 83341

From: [Jane Lemison \(i17saneja17e@q.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] IPC-E-22-22 Public Hearing Request
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 5:10:13 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I am very concerned about Idaho Power's newly published cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. It underestimates the value of solar by intentionally excluding measurable environmental and related benefits. This impacts customer rates and threatens fair compensation for Idaho families, businesses, farms, schools, and other local entities that benefit from locally-owned solar.

Please hold in-person public hearings in multiple locations across Idaho Power's service territory (and offer virtual video options and a weekend option) to ensure as many people as possible can participate in this critical proceeding and share their concerns directly with the Commission.

After gaining an understanding of public concerns and the technical flaws in the study, I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study. Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair, credible, comprehensive, and complete analysis.

Sincerely,

Jane Lemison
28150 W Trail Way
Caldwell, ID 83607
i17saneja17e@q.com
(208) 459-9590

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

From: wpf@ruralnetwork.net
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Case#ipc-E-22-22
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2022 9:10:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

I am an Idaho Power Customer who lives in rural Washington County. I request the PUC to not accept the report IPC has filed with PUC to seek PUC approval to lower what it pays for solar electricity from customers and other providers. The report is biased and incomplete. It also does include data from IPC on its customers who have signed up with IPC to have all or part of their electricity from "green" power, and to properly allocate what part is from solar, wind, or water. IPC cannot justify paying less for solar power if it only presents data on the supply side and does not include studies on the future demand for solar power and the impact of a significant increase in population in its service area. It also fails to consider the impact of new Federal legislation that encourages investment in solar panels with a tax credit.