

From: [Alida Bockino \(alidabockino@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:alidabockino@gmail.com)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2022 7:56:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power's choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?"

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Alida Bockino
1104 Pine Crest Rd
Moscow, ID 83843
alidabockino@gmail.com
(208) 882-3378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 8:00:07 AM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Eleanor Jeffrey
Submission Time: Oct 6 2022 7:24AM
Email: buncystew@msn.com
Telephone: 208-726-3066
Address: 205 Coldsprings dr
Ketchum , ID 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I would like to support Crosborders Energy report and methods for determining a fair price for roof top solar. I feel there is great value for our future by encouraging more roof top solar. Pricing the energy value as low as Idaho Power suggests will really slow the installations and I think we will all loose in that scenario. Please reject Idaho Power's report and find a fair compensation rate for rooftop solar producers. Thanks, Eleanor Jeffrey"

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 2:00:07 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Daniel Hawley
Submission Time: Oct 6 2022 1:48PM
Email: svskier@cox.net
Telephone: 208-726-4962
Address: Box 49
Ketchum, ID 83340

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "Regarding the VODER Study, Idaho Power's choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components (avoided energy costs, avoided generation capacity, T&D deferral, avoided line losses, and integration costs) that the utility quantified. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284, that are known and measurable, and that will impact rates, and that will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens. These include the benefits of a long-term physical hedge against volatile natural gas prices and of avoiding the rate impacts of carbon emissions."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 3:00:06 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Patricia and Robert Williams
Submission Time: Oct 6 2022 2:20PM
Email: pbwilliams@heritagewifi.com
Telephone: 208-447-6437
Address: 10883 SCISM ROAD
NAMPA, ID 83686

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "We installed solar panels when we built our home in 2016 because we wanted to do our part to help save our environment, as well as reduce utility costs. It was a huge investment upfront for us, but worth it. We believe all levels of government, businesses, and individual citizens should do their part to help save our world. The decisions should not be made based solely on profits. Our environment is changing; it is undeniable and we all should be encouraging, not discouraging people to take action. Idaho Power should not be allowed to "science shop" to try and line their pocket books. Idaho Power should be trying to help promote solar investments and help more families make that investment to decrease our demand on power."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 4:00:06 PM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Deborah Katz
Submission Time: Oct 6 2022 3:31PM
Email: dkatzidaho@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-850-2600
Address: 22681 River Road
Caldwell, ID 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I've been disappointed in the past to hear from friends, who own solar panels, that your company has worked to lower rates they are paid, which negatively impacts their investment in the panels and when they thought they would recover the cost. I gave you the benefit of the doubt that the issue is likely complicated, that solar panels may not be easy to incorporate into your grid, and that you have a bottom line to meet. Shortly thereafter, you ask customers to invest in your solar panel farm and didn't even offer any financial benefit to the investors that I could see. REALLY? You discourage customers from buying them and then build your own solar panel farm and want those same customers to cover the cost? Now, I'm reading that the study you arranged may have been purposely one-sided. I haven't taken the time to carefully research all of these issues, but from the surface, some doesn't smell right. I would expect a public utility, in an area of unbelievable growth and rising climate temperatures, to encourage customers to purchase solar panels and to invest, with the potential of some financial benefit to their own power bill, in solar farms and to support studies that all parties agree are well done."
