From: Dana Weintraub (mrdanaweintraub@tutanota.com) Sent You a Personal Message

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment

Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 4:45:24 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power?s choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Dana Weintraub 17124 SW Marty Ln Beaverton, OR 97003 mrdanaweintraub@tutanota.com (503) 591-9470

From: Betty Slifer
To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Comments on #IPC-E-22-22

Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 5:43:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Betty Slifer 151sbsmystery@gmail.com

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments regarding case #IPC-E-22-22, Idaho Power Company's application to complete the study review phase of the comprehensive study of costs and benefits of on-site customer generation.

In its independent analysis, Crossborder Energy identifies a number of costs and benefits that are quantifiable, measurable, and affect rates. After including these important variables and applying sound analysis, they concluded the export credit rate should be much greater than what Idaho Power concluded in its VODER study.

I encourage you to ask Idaho Power Company to redo the VODER study to include all factors that affect the price of customer generated solar power.

From: Alan Rea (alrealiuyi@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment

Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 8:38:14 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

Rooftop solar makes sense beyond just the economics of today. We owe it to future generations and our future selves to invest in renewable energy like rooftop solar. Idaho Power's attempt to cook the books to make rooftop solar unattractive for homeowners to invest in is exactly the wrong approach and should not be allowed.

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power?s choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Alan Rea 3571 S Coleridge PL Boise, ID 83706 alrealiuyi@gmail.com (208) 395-0343

From: <u>kelley steen</u>
To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: Comments on #IPC-E-22-22

Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2022 11:07:43 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

kelley steen kelyjames@gmail.com

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments regarding case #IPC-E-22-22, Idaho Power Company's application to complete the study review phase of the comprehensive study of costs and benefits of on-site customer generation.

In its independent analysis, Crossborder Energy identifies a number of costs and benefits that are quantifiable, measurable, and affect rates. After including these important variables and applying sound analysis, they concluded the export credit rate should be much greater than what Idaho Power concluded in its VODER study.

I encourage you to ask Idaho Power Company to redo the VODER study to include all factors that affect the price of customer generated solar power.

From: Katie Baker
To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Comments on #IPC-E-22-22

Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 5:48:05 AM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Katie Baker dkbaker79@msn.com

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments regarding case #IPC-E-22-22, Idaho Power Company's application to complete the study review phase of the comprehensive study of costs and benefits of on-site customer generation.

In its independent analysis, Crossborder Energy identifies a number of costs and benefits that are quantifiable, measurable, and affect rates. After including these important variables and applying sound analysis, they concluded the export credit rate should be much greater than what Idaho Power concluded in its VODER study.

I encourage you to ask Idaho Power Company to redo the VODER study to include all factors that affect the price of customer generated solar power.

From: Aimee Christensen (aimee@christensenglobal.com) Sent You a Personal Message

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment

Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 9:16:14 AM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

This is about fairness and honesty. Those of us who invest in solar on our homes, businesses and land deserve fair treatment for the value of our investments to the grid. Idaho Power must be a team player in this transformation as innovation brings opportunity to idaho and beyond. If done fairly, together we can build the energy system of a better Idaho, healthier, more prosperous communities, and a state that has the ability to attract manufacturers seeking renewable energy for their operations. Idaho must not miss out on the solar opportunity. It must partner with individuals and businesses and local governments and bring the wealth of the sun to Idaho, for our state economy, for our air and water, for jobs, and for energy security. Let?s fix this and give clarity to the future so that together we can build a clean, secure, and low cost energy system for all.

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power?s choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Aimee Christensen PO Box 4089 Ketchum, ID 83340 aimee@christensenglobal.com (208) 721-8619

From: <u>Dani Rooney (danirooney9@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message</u>

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment

Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 9:38:09 AM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

I am an Idaho Power customer living in Boise. I am a new homeowner trying to make economically and environmentally smart decision regarding my home and energy use.

I am very concerned about Idaho Power's newly published cost-benefit study on rooftop solar. It underestimates the value of solar by intentionally excluding measurable environmental and related benefits that impact customer rates. This threatens fair compensation for Idaho families, businesses, farms, schools, and other local entities that benefit from locally-owned solar.

Please reject Idaho Power?s study. Use a study that utilizes up-to-date data and includes ratepayer benefits such as avoided carbon emissions costs and avoided fuel price risk. I have seen the Crossborder study findings compared with Idaho Power's study and have been so disheartened at how unfairly Idaho Power has underestimated solar compensation rates. Please take the Crossborder study into consideration.

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power?s choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Dani Rooney 4404 Holmes Boise, ID 83706 danirooney9@gmail.com (208) 297-8655

From: Nicholas Waters
To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Comments on #IPC-E-22-22

Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 12:04:17 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Nicholas Waters nicholas.h2os@gmail.com

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

When approached from a long term view, Idaho Power should be setting net metering rates that are economically tenable for both homeowners and themselves. In order to mitigate climate change as a society we need to be providing alternative energy at scale. As such, Idaho Power should consider a net metering rate that would encourage - if not maximize - homeowner solar. The stakes are too high for a utility to try and hold a monopoly on power generation in an attempt to increase profits. Democratize energy production and let the environmental and economic benefits be shared by as many as can. Sincerely, Nicholas

From: Ashley Rowe (Rowean84@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: IPC-E-22-22 Public Comment

Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 12:51:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

Clean energy, energy innovation, and affordable energy matters to all of us. We can't keep allowing energy companies; that profit from limiting access, limiting innovation, and therefore increasing environmental damage; to control the narrative and the outcome. This needs to be informed for and by the citizens and those that wish to solve the problem not profit from continuing it.

Please look carefully at the independent study conducted by Crossborder Energy, which points out several shortcomings in Idaho Power's own study on the costs and benefits of customer-owned rooftop solar. Idaho Power will use this study to justify trying to reduce compensation rates to solar owners. To arrive at fair rates, we first need a fair study.

Crossborder's study states, "We conclude that Idaho Power?s choice of assumptions and calculation methods significantly undervalue the five components that the utility quantified. We present our own calculations of an ECR with these five elements. In addition, the VODER Study fails to quantify important benefits of distributed solar that the Commission directed the utility to analyze in Order No. 35284 -- benefits that are known and measurable, will impact rates, and will benefit Idaho ratepayers and citizens.?

Idahoans deserve solar rates based on a more fair and complete analysis. I urge you to reject Idaho Power's study and look to Crossborder's study as a more accurate measure of the value (to ALL ratepayers) of customer-owned solar power.

Sincerely,

Ashley Rowe 1309 W. Chamberlin St. Boise, ID 83706 Rowean84@gmail.com (208) 859-9098

From: PUCWeb Notification

To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb Date: Thursday, October 27, 2022 2:00:06 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Susan Giannettino

Submission Time: Oct 27 2022 1:43PM

Email: sgiannettino@gmail.com

Telephone: 208-484-4319

Address: Box 4914 Hailey, ID 83333

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-22-22

Comment: "I have solar power that feeds the ID Power grid. We installed on our new house about 5 years ago because it was the socially responsible thing to do. It cost a lot and while we save money every year on our electric bill, we have a very tight house and newer, efficient appliances and it will be years before we recoup our investment. Fair and equitable are words ID Power likes to use. What is fair and equitable and responsible is encouraging customers to pursue solar and other clean energy options, subsidizing them even, because the broader costs of unclean energy will traumatize all of us. We already see the effects; heat, wildfire, smoke, drought affecting health and the economy... the fair and equitable action by ID Power is to acknowledge that solar has value beyond just its kilowatt contribution. The independent study makes many additional excellent points regarding the "real value" of the solar generation. ID Power is a PUBLIC utility. It is supposed to work for the public good. So, I oppose the ID Power position. "
