The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Larry Taylor

Submission Time: Jun 6 2023 4:27PM Email: lctaylor1@mindspring.com

Telephone: 208-880-7987 Address: 2204 S. Michigan Ave.

Caldwell, ID 83605

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Powe

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Please add me to your email list I want to know outcome and reasoning on any decision dealing with Idaho Powers request dealing with case IPC-E-23-14 I have written a comment here but I want to say that everything Idaho Power has put out about this is very confusing.

We installed solar in our home in 2022 because we felt was good for the environment and, in the long term, a good financial investment. This decision was based on rules and costs established by Idaho Power. Since then, Idaho Power has is wanting to change the rules making it less rewarding to use solar power and making consumers more dependent on them. The most recent changes proposed to Idaho Public Utilities Commission (reducing the compensation for electrical power produced by solar customers)clearly benefit Idaho Power but are based on a study PERFORMED BY IDAHO POWER! This is clearly a conflict of interest. Before any proposed changes are made, a study should be completed by an independent third party.

Larry Taylor 2204 S. Michican Ave Calwell Idaho 208-880-7987"

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Lyndon Jenkins

Submission Time: Jun 7 2023 9:36AM Email: jenkinslyndon@gmail.com

Telephone: 208-863-4846

Address: 1038 West Loon Street

Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "After discussions with two of your staff, I now understand that I was not grandfathered into permanent kWh trade for kWh since I installed my solar roof after December of 2019. I understand that

my not being notified by the solar company of this fact is not your fault. The sales pitch never included this important information for me to make a decision on a solar investment. I'm sure somewhere in the paperwork it does state this fact. I spent over \$20,000 on this investment to assure that I had enough panels to power my house for the whole year. They now propose crediting me power I put onto the grid at wholesale and then charging me retail for power that I use off of the grid versus the kWh for kWh trade off. I am strongly opposed. One thing that is not being considered in this proposal is how I am being compensated for power I put onto the grid in excess of what I use over the year. Idaho Power is receiving free power onto the grid with out me getting any compensation whatsoever for this excess power without their having to invest any money into my 'little power plant'. Please consider maintaining the status quo of kWh for kWh.

Thank you,
Lyndon and Nancy Jenkins"

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Ray Toland

Submission Time: Jun 7 2023 12:54PM

Email: <u>triath2@hotmail.com</u> Telephone: 518-483-5745 Address: 10665 W Albany Ct

Boise, ID 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Comment on Idaho Power's proposal to restructure billing for solar/point of use power generation:

- 1) Net billing is the fair and sane way to structure billing; for several reasons:
- a) Point of use power generation, regardless of type, saves Idaho power the need for like amount of additional non-local power generation (power plants) b) point of use power generation is more efficient (lack of line loss from distant generation 40% or more) c) as commuter travel transitions to more electric vehicles, point of use power generation makes more sense than "importing" power from a generating plant far away; also less polluting.
- 2) Net metering balances the point of use power investor with the distant (Idaho Power) producer with a KW for KW equal exchange."
