The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jean Powell Submission Time: Sep 6 2023 5:50PM Email: jeanppwell@outlook.com Telephone: 907-715-4747 Address: 4417 Middlesboro Way Caldwell, ID 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "We just invested \$24,000 in solar. Return on investment was supposed to be 8 to 10 years. Based on this proposal we would die before we saw a ROI.

Net metering - based on the proposal, only Idaho Power and those who have no investment in solar energy would benefit.

Why date of 2019 for grandfathering? Unfair to change the rules without grandfathering current solar users. If idaho Power's proposal is granted, grandfathering should be the the the of implementation, not a previous year."

\_\_\_\_\_

Name: Doug Lamm Submission Time: Sep 6 2023 5:59PM Email: <u>dlamm@nicholsaccounting.com</u> Telephone: 208-880-4154 Address: 11454 Hill Road Payette, ID 83661

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Good evening - I am providing comment on the Idaho Power application seeking to make changes to the utility's on site and self-generation tariffs.

After 42 years of serving the public with my own firm, I have retired and now consult on a more leisurely pace for specific clients and projects that interest me. Not a bad gig!

One constant I encountered in my years as a CPA - working on many complex legal, tax, real estate, and yes, utility matters - If you allow the accountants and attorneys to mess with the details, we will make things much more complicated than needed, create uncertainty, and with that, mistrust by the users. This request from Idaho Power is totally in line with that constant I expressed.

Let's please follow the KISS method here - keep it so that the utility users - and those who have invested their own money in an effort to create alternative sources of energy - can understand the trade off and the return on their investment.

This idea of real time net billing is simply a methodology to shorten the measurement period of creation of alternative energy vs use of energy. The shorter the measurement period, the bigger the win for Idaho Power and the bigger the loss for the folks brave enough to do something on their own for energy generation and managed use.

If i create a Kwh of power, give me that same Kwh back to use. I would propose an annual Jan to Dec measurement that would apply to all, regardless of when our projects are completed. If we start our projects up late in a year, we probably lose initially but in the long run, we level out once we get to an annual cycle. I don't care what you charge for that Kwh on the market and i don't want paid for my Kwh i created. I want a simple one for one exchange. I personally hope you can sell the excess i create - that you pay for in Oregon but not in Idaho - and you can sell it for as much as possible to help out those of us who are shareholders of Idaho Power.

Thanks for listening - take it out of the hands of us number crunchers and legal types. Keep it a simple exchange of power for power on an annual basis and everyone will understand it better and trust you more.

Sincerely,

Doug Lamm, CPA"

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Anne Stringfellow-Brookman Submission Time: Sep 7 2023 1:00PM Email: <u>annesb2001@gmail.com</u> Telephone: 208-705-9711 Address: 20 Purdue Ave Pocatello, ID 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "1. A higher fixed rate is regressive. It economically punishes lower-income households who tend to have smaller homes and use less electricity.

2. Higher fixed rates will discourage lower/middle income households who are considering installing a solar system.

3. To discourage bias, the PUC should require ALL studies on solar issues to be done by an independent research group (and not Idaho Power itself). "

\_\_\_\_\_