

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Pete Friedman
Submission Time: Sep 9 2023 4:16PM
Email: pfaicp@yahoo.com
Telephone: 208-869-4969
Address: 4088 W. Quail Ridge Dr
Boise, ID 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Dear Commissioner,

We are writing to reiterate our objection to subject application as outlined in our comments from May 16,2023. It seems that the more information that comes out about the proposal, the murkier the issue becomes. It is certainly not being put forward in an understandable manner or even with sample scenarios for non legacy residential generators.

To quote a couple of famous gentlemen "there are lies, damned lies and statistics".

Sincerely,

Pete and Betsy Friedman "

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: James Obland
Submission Time: Sep 11 2023 11:47AM
Email: idahocitymayor@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-514-6468
Address: 407 Elk Creek Road
Idaho City, ID 83631

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Do not allow Idaho Power to reduce the power credits from solar generation. Since the cost of power to the customer is being increased, so should the compensation for the power generated by solar generators. I do not want to be paid money for my generation. I want to continue to get KW credit against my usage for my excess generation. Since all solar is generated during peak hours, solar generators should share in the increased charges levied by Idaho Power."

From: brenda Yoder <brenyode@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 11, 2023 1:26 PM
To: Jan Noriyuki
Subject: PUC case IPC-E-23-14

PUC letter

September 9, 2023

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity for our community to be heard.

We dearly need Idaho Power to support, promote, and maximize economic feasible use of rooftop solar.

We urgently need to replace our carbon polluting energy production. With the extreme climate changes we need clean renewable energy now.

There are 3x more jobs now in solar than in coal. We need to find incentives to keep this trend going.

I would recommend that Idaho Power consider grandfathering in all current solar owners until they get a plan figured out. At that time, when it is all spelled out, potential solar owners can make an educated estimate if solar is feasible for them. Adding solar panels to your home is expensive. I am concerned that the new Idaho Power rules could discourage others from considering solar on their homes. Is this really the direction we want to head? I think there should be incentives for all, especially all new homes being built to incorporate solar panels into all dwelling and businesses.

Solar owners deserve fair compensation for the clean local energy they produce for the grid. This also decreases line lose in Idaho Powers grid, decreasing the need for new transmission lines.

Thank you for taking the time for these considerations. We have the opportunity to stand out and be one of the states leading this urgent call for renewable energy. I hope you can see the critical need for you to make right decisions.

Respectfully

Brenda Yoder

Sent from my iPhone

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Tim Yoder
Submission Time: Sep 11 2023 3:33PM
Email: timmyoutside@live.com
Telephone: 208-340-4441
Address: 2700 N 30th St
Boise, ID 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Dear Idaho Public Utility Commissioners, I'm writing to express my concerns with Idaho Power's proposed changes to compensation for on-site power generation customers. The proposed changes will drastically reduce any cost benefits of customer power generation, thereby discouraging any new installation. This comes at a time when we need more, not less, zero carbon-emitting power generation, as demand in this region will only increase.

I'm a bit concerned that Idaho Power was allowed to do its own study on this issue. Why was a third-party, unbiased organization not employed to conduct this study? This appears like a certain conflict of interest.

I do not see where this study considers that I have paid for my own generation system and pay for its maintenance. I also pay for and maintain the infrastructure to support my generation system. All this at no cost to Idaho Power. With enough customer generated power, Idaho Power can significantly scale back on increasing infrastructure, investing in new power generation and the environmental degradation those ventures entail. These cost savings should be passed to consumers and power generators.

This proposal by ID Power is certainly the antithesis of the Company's own self-proclaimed goal of clean, green energy production because it will most certainly discourage any new roof top solar production.

I hope the IPUC will conduct open hearings with opportunities for all who would like to comment on this study. These hearings should include virtual as well as in person comments on this issue.

And, at the very least, all those with generation systems in place or in the process of being built at the time of IPUC's decision need to be grandfathered into the current rate of net metering.

Thank you for your consideration,

Tim Yoder

"