

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jamie Furniss  
Submission Time: Sep 11 2023 9:21PM  
Email: [jamiefurn@yahoo.com](mailto:jamiefurn@yahoo.com)  
Telephone: 208-251-6916  
Address: 25 W Sheepskin Rd  
Pocatello, ID 83202

Name of Utility Company: Idaho power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "I invested in solar panels less than a year ago. I feel that I should be grandfathered into the \$5 a month power bill, as I was not informed of upcoming changes that would effect my account. Thank you."

-----

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Aaron Price  
Submission Time: Sep 12 2023 11:37AM  
Email: [arpsjp@gmail.com](mailto:arpsjp@gmail.com)  
Telephone: 208-789-4757  
Address: W Tall Prairie Dr  
Meridian, ID 83642

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "To whom it may concern,  
The purpose of this comment is to share my position of opposition to case number IPC-E-23-14.

The current net metering structure in place is beneficial to both customer and Idaho Power. A win-win strategy such as this is critical during this time of global environmental crisis. More action is needed to encourage renewable energy generation, not less renewable energy. The proposed changes will be received as negative and ultimately disincentivize customers from making these much needed investments.

Per Idaho Power's 2022 annual report, 19.9% of the year's energy mix came from coal with an additional 12.6% coming from natural gas. With 32.5% of Idaho Power's total energy mix coming from fossil fuels it seems incredibly hypocritical and dripping of marketing-speak to use terms such as clean or green. The IPUC should direct Idaho Power to seek solutions, not restraints such as project caps to solve problems.

I urge the IPUC to commission an independent report. The conflict of interests can not be ignored of asking Idaho Power to generate a report which is then used as a reference to validate requests to cost increases.

I would ask the IPUC to have Idaho Power share how much costs will decrease for non-generating customers? Idaho Power's communication in an email with the subject "Proposed changes to the compensation structure for on-site generation (ID19545) states customers without solar are subsidizing self-generating customers. Were the requested changes go through, with no cost changes to non-solar customers, Idaho Powers profits would increase which as a government controlled monopoly, is not in the best interest of the public.

Thank you,  
Aaron Price

-----