

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Andrew Johnson
Submission Time: Oct 18 2023 5:46PM
Email: ajj@andrewjohnson.law
Telephone: 208-250-6468
Address: 22395 Hoskins Rd
Caldwell, ID 83607

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Case ID: IPC-E-23-14

Comment: "Please postpone voting on IPC-E-23-14 until we know whether IPC-E-23-11 has or has not been approved.

If IPC-E-23-11 is approved, please further postpone voting on IPC-E-23-14 until information is updated to match the new billing methods.

I attended the informational sessions for both IPC-E-23-14 and IPC-E-23-11. I also read the information that was provided in advance for each. The two address different areas of billing, however, the approval of both causes in interesting effect on certain customers. I previously requested that information be provided to the public that shows how customers would be affected if both were approved, specifically how it affects Schedule 6 customers.

During the information session for IPC-E-23-14, 99% of the information presented was based on current facts, as if IPC-E-23-11 did not exist and would not get approved. A brief comment on one of the PowerPoint slides showed that Schedule 6 customers would essentially have their electricity bills "double" if both were passed. No additional information was provided, nor were the presenters willing to discuss the interaction of IPC-E-23-14 and IPC-E-23-11 any further.

If IPC-E-23-11 is approved, then I believe that any voting on IPC-E-23-14 would be done so without the full understanding by the public or the Commission as to the true affects of IPC-E-23-14, because all prior information provided to the public and Commission was based on data that did not take into account the affects of billing changes that result from IPC-E-23-11.

Since the two are set to be voted on and implemented at relatively the same time, and IPC-E-23-14 affects a smaller number of customers than IPC-E-23-11, I would like to recommend that voting on IPC-E-23-14 be postponed until the public knows whether IPC-E-23-11 has or has not been approved.

Further, if IPC-E-23-11 is approved, I propose that: (1) all data and pertinent information regarding IPC-E-23-14 be updated to reflect the changes brought by IPC-E-23-11; (2) such information be provided to the public and the Commission; and (3) that time for comment and voting be extended in order to provide the public and Commission with enough time to review the new data and other information.

Please postpone voting on IPC-E-23-14 until we know whether IPC-E-23-11 has or has not been approved.

If IPC-E-23-11 is approved, please further postpone voting on IPC-E-23-14 until information is updated to match the new billing methods.

RE: Case No. IPC-E-23-14

From: Benny Seiders <bennyslandscaping83619@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2023 8:50 AM

To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Hearing

"Hi, my name is Benny Seiders, and I'm an Idaho Power customer. I'm concerned about the very limited public access to the upcoming hearings on Idaho Power's rooftop solar changes. The hearings need to be after general working hours, be long enough to allow everyone to speak, be located in at least two more cities, and include a virtual testimony option for all the people who can't attend in-person. I work the hours you have listed in Boise and live an hour away, one way. My entire county is excluded from this, and Idaho Power has a huge power plant here! I have rooftop solar, I believe in it, and I find myself frustrated that this process does not include much of an opportunity for people like me and my neighbors to speak out. Please help us participate in our democracy, particularly on proposals coming from a monopoly utility."

From: Charlee Andree <charlee.andree@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2023 9:44 AM

To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: Regarding IPC-E-23-14

To whom it may concern,

My name is Charlee Andree and I'm an Idaho Power customer and Boise resident. I'm concerned about the very limited public access to the upcoming hearings on Idaho Power's rooftop solar changes. The hearings need to be after general working hours, be long enough to allow everyone to speak, be located in at least two more cities, and include a virtual testimony option for all the people who can't attend in-person.

I personally am balancing full time school and a part-time job which makes activism about issues I care about incredibly difficult. This is an issue that matters deeply to me and my community.

Please help people participate in our democracy, particularly on proposals coming from a monopoly utility.

Sincerely,
Charlee

Charlee Andree (she/her/ella)

Boise State University - Interdisciplinary Education

charlee.andree@gmail.com / 208-810-9369 / LinkedIn @cnandree

"To live in this world, you must be able to do three things: to love what is mortal; to hold it against your bones knowing your own life depends on it; and, when the time comes to let it go, to let it go."
- Mary Oliver

From: Rajal Cohen <rajal.cohen@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2023 12:12 PM

To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: IPC-E-23-14

Hi, I'm an Idaho Power customer. I'm concerned about the very limited public access to the upcoming hearings on Idaho Power's rooftop solar changes. The hearings need to be after general working hours, be long enough to allow everyone to speak, be located in at least two more cities, and include a virtual testimony option for all the people who can't attend in-person. Please help people participate in our democracy, particularly on proposals coming from a monopoly utility.

Thanks for listening,
Rajal

-----Original Message-----

From: Cindi Anderson <cindi@cindi-anderson.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2023 12:58 PM

To: Jan Noriyuki

Subject: IPC-E-23-14

I have been waiting for the public hearing on Idaho Power rooftop solar, and now it is only happening in person in two cities, with no virtual option? This is unacceptable! Everyone in Idaho needs the opportunity to participate in this important hearing.

Thanks
Cindi Anderson
Ketchum, ID
