

**From:** [Linda Maguire \(oyezican@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:oyezican@hotmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:27:39 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Coal is not the cheapest fuel to provide us power. Not if you consider the cost to people who live on this planet. It's the filthiest fuel available and makes every flood, hurricane and forest fire more deadly. It raises the danger to every living thing that must breathe. Please require that our power companies move to clean fuels as they plan for the future.

Dear Idaho Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Linda Maguire  
2695 Mesa Avenue  
Emmett, ID 83617  
oyezican@hotmail.com  
(208) 398-8002

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Judith Reilly \(5220jar@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:5220jar@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:37:23 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

This plan is unacceptable. We need to have something that truly represents a transition from coal in a much shorter time.

Dear Idaho Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Judith Reilly  
13556 Paoletti St  
Caldwell, ID 83607  
5220jar@gmail.com  
(970) 946-5244

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Robbie Leatham \(robbieleatham@yahoo.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:robbieleatham@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:38:45 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Dear Idaho Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Robbie Leatham  
1726 S. Broxon Street  
Boise, ID 83705  
robbieleatham@yahoo.com  
(986) 224-0675

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Audrey Rhodes \(audreyrhodes86@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:audreyrhodes86@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:41:07 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Dear Idaho Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Audrey Rhodes  
600 W Hubbard Ave. #29  
COEUR D ALENE, ID 83814  
audreyrhodes86@gmail.com  
(208) 818-4056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



**From:** [Harrison Hilbert \(hshilbert1@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:hshilbert1@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 9:41:24 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Burning coal makes it hard for humans and other living things to breath. Leave coal in the ground. Rocky Mountain Power needs to join the 21st Century and develop less polluting power sources.

Dear Idaho Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Harrison Hilbert  
P.O. Box 714  
Pocatello, ID 83204  
hshilbert1@gmail.com  
(208) 233-9510

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Caro Woodard \(carowoodard@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:Caro Woodard (carowoodard@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 10:21:20 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Caro Woodard  
219 S Latah St

Boise, ID 83705  
carowoodard@gmail.com  
(404) 242-0014

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Brent Robinson \(w@gmail.com\)](mailto:w@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 10:38:41 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Please use common sense. We are all in this together. Why work to increase climate warming??

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Brent Robinson  
380 VALLEY CLUB DR  
Hailey, ID 83333  
w@gmail.com  
(208) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Emily Holmes \(holmes.emilyc@gmail.com\)](mailto:holmes.emilyc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 10:42:20 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Dependence on fossil fuels harms Idaho and Idahoans in the long-term. Supporting the coal industry sets Idaho up for economic and social costs down the line - costs which can be avoided by transitioning to renewable and sustainable energy sources in our state.

This issue is deeply important to me because I am an Idaho native and proud resident of our beautiful state. I will not stand for the destruction of Idaho's air and water quality because of the pursuit of short-sighted energy production. We all deserve better than coal.

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Emily Holmes  
930 Kenneth St  
Moscow, ID 83843  
holmes.emilyc@gmail.com  
(406) 210-9759

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.



**From:** [Cathy Anderson \(rkcjanderson@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:rkcjanderson@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 10:58:28 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

It's time and the right thing to do.

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Idaho's future. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather that Idaho communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Idaho Commission's own electric IRP guidelines (Order 22299) state that an IRP should analyze "effects of known or potential changes to existing resources [and] contingencies for upgrading, optioning and acquiring resources at optimum times (considering cost, availability, lead-time, reliability, risk, etc.) as future events unfold." By this standard alone, the Idaho Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming's governor to keep Jim Bridger Coal Plant Unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Additionally, the Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Idaho needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Idaho for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Our state's other public utilities, Idaho Power and Avista, do a much better job at addressing these issues in their own 2021 IRPs, including planning to stop burning coal by 2028 and 2025, respectively, due to the declining economics of coal. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face these additional risks and costs.

Please protect the interests of Idaho's Rocky Mountain Power customers and Idahoans across the state who will be impacted by this large regional utility's inadequate planning.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Cathy Anderson  
14580 Midway Rd  
Nampa, ID 83651  
rkcjanderson@gmail.com  
(208) 466-9175

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Thomas Poole \(poolee7@msn.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:poolee7@msn.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 11:47:08 AM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

NO MORE COAL BURNING!!!!!!!!!!!!

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. As one of the region's largest utilities and largest polluters, the actions of this utility impact our entire state, including all Idaho customers this Commission represents. Rocky Mountain Power's Plan relies on continued coal use even in the face of declining coal economics and Clean Air Act violations at its coal plants. It also relies on last minute plans to build new gas pipelines and convert some coal plants to gas, even in the face of highly volatile future gas prices. Lastly, it relies on a last minute plan to build a new nuclear power facility using never-before-used technology with an unrealistic timeline and budget. This all represents a huge risk for Idaho's future, from our air and the impacts of climate change down to our pocketbooks.

Our state's other public utilities, Avista and Idaho Power, plan to stop burning coal by 2025 and 2028, respectively, and are doubling down on investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face additional risks and costs from their utility's reckless planning. Idahoans deserve a utility Plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality and thoughtful planning, not wishful false solutions. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Thomas Poole  
PO Box 3924  
Ketchum, ID 83340  
poolee7@msn.com  
(208) 720-9502

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Alida Bockino \(alidabockino@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:alidabockino@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 12:17:35 PM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I breathe!!

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. As one of the region's largest utilities and largest polluters, the actions of this utility impact our entire state, including all Idaho customers this Commission represents. Rocky Mountain Power's Plan relies on continued coal use even in the face of declining coal economics and Clean Air Act violations at its coal plants. It also relies on last minute plans to build new gas pipelines and convert some coal plants to gas, even in the face of highly volatile future gas prices. Lastly, it relies on a last minute plan to build a new nuclear power facility using never-before-used technology with an unrealistic timeline and budget. This all represents a huge risk for Idaho's future, from our air and the impacts of climate change down to our pocketbooks.

Our state's other public utilities, Avista and Idaho Power, plan to stop burning coal by 2025 and 2028, respectively, and are doubling down on investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face additional risks and costs from their utility's reckless planning. Idahoans deserve a utility Plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality and thoughtful planning, not wishful false solutions. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Alida Bockino  
1104 Pine Crest Rd  
Moscow, ID 83843  
[alidabockino@gmail.com](mailto:alidabockino@gmail.com)  
(208) 882-3378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Britney Brougham \(britneybuu@gmail.com\)](mailto:britneybuu@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 1:25:14 PM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

As a resident of Idaho, our state faces several challenges from climate change. The worst drought in decades paired with a fast growing population (this past February was the driest on record since the 1800s). Ever worsening wildfires which compact and are fueled by the aforementioned drought. The IPCC report just issued this day spells out plainly that we are headed directly off a cliff, and we will not be able to adapt to survive the landing. I recognize the dire consequence of humanities collective inaction, and thankfully don't have children. At just 30 years old I know that the chances I live a long life similar to the first 30 years is incredibly unlikely if we continue on this path. Please think of the future, those younger generations, and make wise choices to safeguard whatever we can on this planet. Reject the burning of coal, reject this plan. Do the right thing.

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. As one of the region's largest utilities and largest polluters, the actions of this utility impact our entire state, including all Idaho customers this Commission represents. Rocky Mountain Power's Plan relies on continued coal use even in the face of declining coal economics and Clean Air Act violations at its coal plants. It also relies on last minute plans to build new gas pipelines and convert some coal plants to gas, even in the face of highly volatile future gas prices. Lastly, it relies on a last minute plan to build a new nuclear power facility using never-before-used technology with an unrealistic timeline and budget. This all represents a huge risk for Idaho's future, from our air and the impacts of climate change down to our pocketbooks.

Our state's other public utilities, Avista and Idaho Power, plan to stop burning coal by 2025 and 2028, respectively, and are doubling down on investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face additional risks and costs from their utility's reckless planning. Idahoans deserve a utility Plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality and thoughtful planning, not wishful false solutions. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Britney Brougham  
12001 Fiddler Dr  
Boise, ID 83713  
[britneybuu@gmail.com](mailto:britneybuu@gmail.com)  
(208) 949-0613

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [gisela zech \(gzech@gmx.com\)](mailto:gzech@gmx.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 4:01:01 PM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. As one of the region's largest utilities and largest polluters, the actions of this utility impact our entire state and all Idaho utility customers like me. Rocky Mountain Power's Plan relies on continued coal use even in the face of declining coal economics and Clean Air Act violations at its coal plants. It also relies on last minute plans to build new gas pipelines and convert some coal plants to gas, even in the face of highly volatile future gas prices. Lastly, it relies on a last minute plan to build a new nuclear power facility using never-before-used technology with an unrealistic timeline and budget. This all represents a huge risk for Idaho's future, from our air and the impacts of climate change down to our pocketbooks.

Our state's other public utilities, Avista and Idaho Power, plan to stop burning coal by 2025 and 2028, respectively, and are doubling down on investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face additional risks and costs from their utility's reckless planning. Idahoans deserve a utility Plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality and thoughtful planning, not wishful false solutions. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

gisela zech  
907 n balsam st  
boise, ID 83706  
[gzech@gmx.com](mailto:gzech@gmx.com)  
(208) 429-6778

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.

**From:** [Sonya Crum \(sonya.crum@primaryhealth.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sonya.crum@primaryhealth.com)  
**To:** [Jan Noriyuki](#)  
**Subject:** PAC-E-21-19 Rocky Mountain Power IRP  
**Date:** Monday, February 28, 2022 4:23:15 PM

---

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commission,

Please reject the Rocky Mountain Power's 20-year plan. I am a health care provider and see the effects of our air quality on patients everyday. We cannot afford more time before we make changes to clean energy.

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. As one of the region's largest utilities and largest polluters, the actions of this utility impact our entire state and all Idaho utility customers like me. Rocky Mountain Power's Plan relies on continued coal use even in the face of declining coal economics and Clean Air Act violations at its coal plants. It also relies on last minute plans to build new gas pipelines and convert some coal plants to gas, even in the face of highly volatile future gas prices. Lastly, it relies on a last minute plan to build a new nuclear power facility using never-before-used technology with an unrealistic timeline and budget. This all represents a huge risk for Idaho's future, from our air and the impacts of climate change down to our pocketbooks.

Our state's other public utilities, Avista and Idaho Power, plan to stop burning coal by 2025 and 2028, respectively, and are doubling down on investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. It's unfair for Idaho customers whose only electric utility option is Rocky Mountain Power to face additional risks and costs from their utility's reckless planning. Idahoans deserve a utility Plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality and thoughtful planning, not wishful false solutions. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Sonya Crum  
4747 W Sage Glenn CT  
Garden City, ID 83714  
[sonya.crum@primaryhealth.com](mailto:sonya.crum@primaryhealth.com)  
(208) 830-5797

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.