

RECEIVED Friday, January 19, 2024 3:49:07 PM IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

1407 W. North Temple, Suite 330 Salt Lake City, UT 84116

CASE NO. PAC-E-24-01

January 19, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Commission Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission 11331 W. Chinden Blvd Building 8 Suite 201A Boise, ID 83714

RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR APPROVAL OF A CAPACITY DEFICIENCY PERIOD TO BE USED FOR AVOIDED COST CALCULATIONS

Attention: Commission Secretary

Please find for filing Rocky Mountain Power's Application in the above-referenced matter along with confidential workpapers.

Informal inquiries may be directed to Mark Alder, Idaho Regulatory Manager at (801) 220-2313.

Very truly yours,

Joelle Steward

Senior Vice President, Regulation and Customer & Community Solutions

Joe Dallas (ISB# 10330) 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232 Telephone No. (360) 560-1937 joseph.dallas@pacificorp.com

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR APPROVAL OF A CAPACITY DEFICIENCY PERIOD TO BE USED FOR AVOIDED COST CALCULATIONS CASE NO. PAC-E-24-01
APPLICATION

Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp ("the Company"), in accordance with Idaho Code §61-502, §61-503, RP 052, Order Nos. 32697 and 32802 in Case No. GNR-E-11-03 and Order Nos. 35834 and 35882 in Case No. PAC-E-22-14, hereby respectfully submits this application ("Application") to the Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") for approval of the capacity deficiency period determination to be used in avoided cost calculations using the Surrogate Avoided Resource ("SAR") methodology applicable to small qualifying facilities ("QFs") eligible for standard pricing (known as "SAR-Based Contracts") and in the Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP") methodology applicable to larger QFs eligible for project-specific pricing (known as "IRP-Based Contracts"). This application is intended to establish the capacity deficiency period for both SAR-Based Contracts and IRP-Based Contracts. As more fully described below, this update identifies Rocky Mountain Power's capacity deficiency period in the

¹ See In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for Approval of a Capacity Deficiency Period to be Used for Avoided Cost Calculations, Case No. PAC-E-22-14, Order No. 35834, p.5 "The Commission has previously ordered that public utility companies use the capacity deficiency period to determine capacity payments for IRP- and SAR-based contracts to ensure QF's are only compensated for costs they avoid in the Company's system. See Order Nos. 33377, 33159, 33898, and 33933. The Commission reiterates the need for the Company to do so in this case through a compliance filing".

summer of 2024 and explains how the deficiency period was identified. In support of its Application, Rocky Mountain Power states as follows:

1. Rocky Mountain Power is authorized to do and is doing business in the state of Idaho. The Company provides retail electric service to approximately 88,780 customers in the state and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. Rocky Mountain Power is a public utility in the state of Idaho pursuant to Idaho Code § 61-129.

COMMUNICATIONS AND SERVICE OF PLEADINGS

2. Communications regarding this Application should be addressed to:

Mark Alder 1407 West North Temple, Suite 330 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 Telephone: (801) 220-2313

Email: mark.alder@pacificorp.com

Ron Scheirer 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 600 Portland, Oregon 97232 Telephone: (503) 813-6484

Email: ron.scheirer@pacificorp.com

In addition, the Company respectfully requests that all data requests regarding this matter be addressed to one or more of the following:

By e-mail (preferred) datarequest@pacificorp.com

By regular mail Data Request Response Center

PacifiCorp

825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000

Portland, OR 97232

BACKGROUND

3. Commission Order No. 32697 directed the utilities to initiate a case outside of their IRP filing to establish the capacity deficiency period to be used in the utility's SAR methodology:

"We find it reasonable and fair to subject each utility's determination of capacity deficiency to further scrutiny. Therefore, when a utility submits its Integrated Resource Plan to the Commission, a case shall be initiated to determine the capacity deficiency to be utilized in the SAR Methodology. The capacity deficiency determined through the IRP planning process will be the starting point, and will be presumed to be correct subject to the outcome of the proceeding."²

4. In Order No. 32697, the Commission acknowledged that "some determinations made within the IRP process have an impact on calculations under the SAR and IRP methodologies. Specifically, the IRP process determines when the utility will experience a need for new capacity." The Commission ordered that payments to QFs should recognize the utility's capacity needs, stating:

"In calculating a QF's ability to contribute to a utility's need for capacity, we find it reasonable for the utilities to only begin payments for capacity at such time that the utility becomes capacity deficient. If a utility is capacity surplus, then capacity is not being avoided by the purchase of QF power. By including a capacity payment only when the utility becomes capacity deficient, the utilities are paying rates that are a more accurate reflection of true avoided cost for the QF power."

- 5. In Order No. 35415, the Commission stated that "all future L&R Balances included in the capacity deficiency date update for avoided costs must contain the most up-to-date information available at the time of filing." 5
- 6. In Order No. 34918, the Commission indicated that early retirement of coal-fired thermal resources should not be reflected in the load and resource balance, "Unless and until this Commission evaluates and approves an early retirement date..."

² In the Matter of the Commission's Review of PURPA QF Contract Provisions Including the Surrogate Avoided Resource (SAR) and Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Methodologies for Calculating Avoided Cost Rates, Case No. GNR-U-11-03, Order No. 32697, p. 23.

³ Order No. 32697, p.23.

⁴ Order No. 32697, p.21.

⁵ In the matter of Idaho Power Company's Application for Approval of the Capacity Deficiency to be Utilized for Avoided Cost Calculations, Case No. IPC-E-21-09, Order No. 35415, p.10.

⁶ In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for Approval of a Capacity Deficiency Period to be Used for Avoided Cost Calculations, Case No. PAC-E-20-13, Order No. 34918, p.5-6.

7. In Order No. 35834 the Commission ordered a compliance filing based on the Company's application and Staff comments. Order No. 35882 waived the compliance items ("Compliance Items") conditional upon the Company incorporating the Compliance Items into the Company's application for its 2023 capacity deficiency case. The table on page 9 of this Application delineates how the Company has met each Compliance Item.

REQUEST TO ESTABLISH AVOIDED COST DEFICIENCY PERIOD

- 5. On March 31, 2023, Rocky Mountain Power filed its 2023 IRP with the Commission. The 2023 IRP includes the results of the Company's Capacity Loads and Resources without Resource Additions for the summer season in Table 6.11 on pages 165-166 and for the winter season in Table 6.12 on pages 167-168. The capacity balance is generally highest for summer peak loads, with the summer peak occurring annually in July, as the Company is expected to be deficient in the summer prior to becoming deficient in the winter. The capacity balance is developed by determining firm resource capacity available, including the Company's firm access to imports from the wholesale market ("Front Office Transactions" or "FOTs"), less the system obligation and a 13 percent planning reserve margin.
- 6. In light of the risks associated with the evolving resource mix across the west, the 2023 IRP included a Front Office Transaction limit of 500 megawatts in the summer, and 1,000 megawatts in the Winter, as shown in Table 5.8 on page 114. To ensure reliable system operations, the transmission system operator of each balancing authority area ("BAA") within the Western Interconnect is required to maintain contingency reserves equal to three percent of its load and three percent of its generation, as discussed within the 2023 IRP in Appendix F (Flexible Reserve

⁷ In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for Approval of a Capacity Deficiency Period to be Used for Avoided Cost Calculations, Case No. PAC-E-22-14, Order No. 35834, p.12 and Order No. 35882, p 2.

Study). When the Company purchases power from counterparties whose generation resources are outside of its BAAs, which is typical, the three percent contingency reserve obligation associated with generation remains in the source BAA, and the counterparty remains responsible for it as one of the ancillary services provided by the transmission system operator for the BAA in which their generator resides. Because the Company avoids the contingency reserve obligation associated with such purchases, which would otherwise be required if it generated the associated power itself, the effective capacity value of market purchases is three percent higher than the equivalent quantity of generation capacity.

- 7. The 2023 IRP shows that the Company's load and existing resource balance requires market purchases in excess of the Front Office Transaction limit and throughout the planning horizon; however, several inputs must be modified to account for changes since the 2023 IRP was prepared as well as the treatment of certain resources identified in Commission orders.
- 8. The following adjustments were made to account for committed and uncommitted resource impacts, relative to the representation in the 2023 IRP, specifically:
 - Removing uncommitted early coal retirements, consistent with Order 34918.
 - Adding contracts signed since the 2023 IRP Update was prepared, and removing contracts that have terminated or expired.
 - Adding contracted resources that have not yet come online, which were not included in the 2023 IRP tables presenting the load and resource balance without additions.
 - Adjusting QF renewal assumptions: In the 2023 IRP, QFs in all states were assumed
 to have a 79% probability of renewal at the end of their current contract term, and
 at the end of the current contract the modeled capacity and energy of each QF

continues at 79% of its prior level. For the avoided cost deficiency period determination, the load and resource balance has been adjusted so that all Idaho QFs renew at 100% of their current contracted levels, while QFs in all other states expire at the end of their current contracts.

- Adding demand response programs that have received Commission approval, including projected growth in those programs over time.
- Adding FOTs that have already been contracted.
- 9. After accounting for the adjustments described above, the first capacity deficiency of 1,327 megawatts occurs in the summer of 2024, as shown in Table No. 1. The first winter capacity deficiency of 890 megawatts also occurs in 2024 as shown in Table No. 2. Summer capacity deficiencies continue to be somewhat larger than winter capacity deficiencies throughout the IRP study horizon.

Table No. 1 Updated Summer Peak Loads and Resources

	Сра									
System	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033
Total Resources	10,496	8,382	8,381	8,265	7,780	7,068	7,229	7,287	6,853	6,158
Obligation	10,919	11,163	11,110	11,285	11,609	11,733	11,727	11,830	11,816	11,857
Planning Reserves (13%)	1,419	1,451	1,444	1,467	1,509	1,525	1,524	1,538	1,536	1,541
Obligation + Reserves	12,338	12,614	12,554	12,752	13,119	13,259	13,251	13,368	13,352	13,398
System Position	(1,842)	(4,232)	(4,173)	(4,488)	(5,339)	(6,191)	(6,022)	(6,080)	(6,499)	(7,241)
FOT Limit with Reserves	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515
Sufficiency/(Deficiency)	(1,327)	(3,717)	(3,658)	(3,973)	(4,824)	(5,676)	(5,507)	(5,565)	(5,984)	(6,726)
		, , , , ,								
System	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	
Total Resources	6,185	6,097	6,917	5,715	4,351	4,372	3,772	3,852	4,008	
Obligation	11,904	12,003	12,101	12,087	12,180	12,259	12,426	12,571	12,840	
Planning Reserves (13%)	1,547	1,560	1,573	1,571	1,583	1,594	1,615	1,634	1,669	
Obligation + Reserves	13,451	13,564	13,674	13,658	13,763	13,852	14,042	14,205	14,509	
System Position	(7,266)	(7,467)	(6,756)	(7,943)	(9,412)	(9,481)	(10,270)	(10,353)	(10,501)	
System I osition	(7,200)	(7,407)	(0,730)	(7,545)	(3,412)	(3,401)	(10,270)	(10,333)	(10,301)	ļ
FOT Limit with Reserves	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	1
Sufficiency/(Deficiency)	(6,751)	(6,952)	(6,241)	(7,428)	(8,897)	(8,966)	(9,755)	(9,838)	(9,986)	
Sufficiency/(Deficiency)	(0,731)	(0,932)	(0,241)	(7,420)	(0,037)	(8,300)	(3,733)	(3,030)	(3,300)	J
			T.	LL NI	2					
	TT J			able No		I D				
	-	lated W	inter P	eak Lo	ads and					
System	2024	2025	inter P 2026	eak Lo 2027	ads and 2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033
Total Resources	2024 8,555	2025 8,896	7inter P 2026 8,755	eak Lo 2027 9,352	ads and 2028 8,648	2029 7,725	2030 7,484	8,520	8,209	7,460
Total Resources Obligation	2024 8,555 9,271	2025 8,896 9,486	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754	2028 8,648 10,026	2029 7,725 10,059	2030 7,484 10,076	8,520 10,115	8,209 10,117	7,460 10,213
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%)	2024 8,555	2025 8,896	7inter P 2026 8,755	eak Lo 2027 9,352	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303	2029 7,725	2030 7,484	8,520	8,209	7,460 10,213 1,328
Total Resources Obligation	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476	8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719	8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754	2028 8,648 10,026	2029 7,725 10,059	2030 7,484 10,076	8,520 10,115	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%)	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205	8,896 9,486 1,233	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234	2027 9,352 9,754 1,268	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303	7,725 10,059 1,308	7,484 10,076 1,310	8,520 10,115 1,315	8,209 10,117 1,315	7,460 10,213 1,328
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476	8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719	8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728	9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329	7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366	7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476	8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719	8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728	9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329	7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366	7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920)	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824)	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974)	9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669)	ads and 2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682)	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641)	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902)	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909)	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223)	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920)	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824)	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974)	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669)	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682)	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641)	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902)	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909)	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223)	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920)	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824)	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974)	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669)	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682)	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641)	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902)	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909)	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223)	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency)	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890)	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794)	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944)	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639)	ads and 2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652)	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611)	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872)	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879)	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193)	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency) System	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890)	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794)	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944) 2036	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639)	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652)	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611)	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872)	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879)	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193)	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency) System Total Resources	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890) 2034 7,179	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794) 2035 6,696	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944) 2036 6,863	eak Lo 2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639) 2037 6,441	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652) 2038 5,037	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611) 2039 4,833	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872) 2040 4,403	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879) 2041 4,237	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193) 2042 4,421	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency) System Total Resources Obligation	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890) 2034 7,179 10,272	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794) 2035 6,696 10,354	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944) 2036 6,863 10,365	2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639) 2037 6,441 10,427	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652) 2038 5,037 10,544	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611) 2039 4,833 10,687	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872) 2040 4,403 10,814	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879) 2041 4,237 10,945	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193) 2042 4,421 11,130	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency) System Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%)	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890) 2034 7,179 10,272 1,335	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794) 2035 6,696 10,354 1,346	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944) 2036 6,863 10,365 1,348	2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639) 2037 6,441 10,427 1,355 11,782	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652) 2038 5,037 10,544 1,371	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611) 2039 4,833 10,687 1,389	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872) 2040 4,403 10,814 1,406	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879) 2041 4,237 10,945 1,423	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193) 2042 4,421 11,130 1,447 12,576	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)
Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves System Position FOT Limit with Reserves Sufficiency/(Deficiency) System Total Resources Obligation Planning Reserves (13%) Obligation + Reserves	2024 8,555 9,271 1,205 10,476 (1,920) 1,030 (890) 2034 7,179 10,272 1,335 11,607	2025 8,896 9,486 1,233 10,719 (1,824) 1,030 (794) 2035 6,696 10,354 1,346 11,700	7inter P 2026 8,755 9,494 1,234 10,728 (1,974) 1,030 (944) 2036 6,863 10,365 1,348 11,713	2027 9,352 9,754 1,268 11,022 (1,669) 1,030 (639) 2037 6,441 10,427 1,355	2028 8,648 10,026 1,303 11,329 (2,682) 1,030 (1,652) 2038 5,037 10,544 1,371 11,915	2029 7,725 10,059 1,308 11,366 (3,641) 1,030 (2,611) 2039 4,833 10,687 1,389 12,076	2030 7,484 10,076 1,310 11,385 (3,902) 1,030 (2,872) 2040 4,403 10,814 1,406 12,220	8,520 10,115 1,315 11,429 (2,909) 1,030 (1,879) 2041 4,237 10,945 1,423 12,367	8,209 10,117 1,315 11,432 (3,223) 1,030 (2,193) 2042 4,421 11,130 1,447	7,460 10,213 1,328 11,540 (4,080)

10. Based on these updates, the Company requests that the Commission find the summer of 2024 as the first capacity deficiency period when capacity payments should be made to QFs under the SAR and IRP avoided cost methodologies.

1,030

(4,311)

1,030

(5,848)

1,030

(6,213)

1,030

(6,787)

1,030

(7,101)

1,030

(7,125)

1,030

FOT Limit with Reserves

Sufficiency/(Deficiency)

1,030

(3,398) (3,974)

1,030

(3,820)

11. Rocky Mountain Power submits this Application to establish the capacity deficiency period as set forth in Commission Orders No. 32697 and No. 32802, and requests that the Commission approve the capacity deficiency period to be used in its SAR and IRP-method calculations.

COMPLIANCE ITEMS

12. The Company has addressed the Compliance Items in Order No. 35814. The following is a summary of the Compliance Items included with this filing:

Compliance Item	Company Compliance
The capacity deficiency period should be used to determine when capacity payments begin for both IRP-based and SAR-based contracts/Determination of the First Capacity Deficit Date through the L&R.	This application requests that the capacity deficiency period be set for both IRP-based and SAR-based contracts.
The Company should provide the L&R using the 20-year IRP planning horizon, instead of the 9-year timeframe submitted with the Company's filing/Peak Load Forecast and Future Obligations for Incremental Resources.	The Company has provided the L&R using the 20-year IRP planning horizon.
Provide the L&R reflecting both summer and winter peak. Use the 2021 IRP method, instead of the 2021 IRP Update method, to determine capacity contributions of all resources/Capacity Contribution Determination	The Company has provided the L&R reflecting both summer and winter peaks. The same methodology has been used for resources included in the 2023 IRP and for resources added after the 2023 IRP.
Assume renewal of PURPA projects located in the state of Idaho, unless the Company has information from specific QFs to the contrary.	The application does assume the renewal of PURPA contracts located in the state of Idaho.
Update the L&R to include all contracts executed by the date of the Commission order that are eligible for rate recovery	The application includes all contracts executed through December 31, 2023, shortly before the application was prepared.
Include the additional 3% contingency reserves above the FOTs limit only if it increases the amount of available FOTs that the Company can rely on to meet its load obligations.	The additional 3% capacity value for FOTs is applied in the L&R as discussed in this application.
The Company shall include growth in existing DR programs that is clearly labeled so that the L&R in the Compliance filing can be verified.	Within the L&R calculation, each DR program modeled in the 2023 IRP is identified as Commission-approved or future. A calculation of the growth in the approved DR programs can be found in the Company's

Compliance Item	Company Compliance				
	confidential workpapers.				
The Commission approves the DR programs the	Only approved DR programs have been				
Company can use to meet its load requirements.	included in the Company's L&R				
Accordingly, the Commission finds that the					
Company must only include approved DR					
programs in the Company's L&R. The Commission					
orders the Company to address this issue in a					
compliance filing.					

MODIFIED PROCEDURE

13. Rocky Mountain Power believes that a hearing is not necessary to consider the issues presented herein and respectfully requests that this Application be processed under Modified Procedure; i.e., by written submissions rather than by hearing. RP 201 et seq. If, however, the Commission determines that a technical hearing is required, the Company stands ready to prepare and present its testimony in such hearing.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

14. This filing, specifically the Confidential Workpapers, contain confidential information including trade secret and other Company confidential information exempt from public review under Idaho Code §§ 74-104–109 and Idaho Public Utilities Commission's Rule of Procedure 67.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Rocky Mountain Power respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order authorizing this Application be processed under Modified Procedure and approving the capacity deficiency period beginning July 2024, be used in the Company's avoided cost determinations under the SAR methodology, for both SAR-Based Contracts and IRP-Based Contracts, as shown in Table No. 1 above.

DATED this 19th day of January, 2024.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Joe Dallas

825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000

Portland, OR 97232

Telephone No. (360) 560-1937 joseph.dallas@pacificorp.com