Diane Holt

From:	bybarker@gmail.com
Sent:	Friday, December 14, 2018 8:43 AM
То:	Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Erik Jorgensen; Matthew Evans
Subject:	Case Comment Form: Byron Barker

Name: Byron Barker Case Number: Email: bybarker@gmail.com Telephone: 2085707750 Address: 2106 E STATE ST STE B Eagle ID, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Eagle Water Sale To Suez Comment: Commenting on Eagle Water Company proposed sale to Suez.

For the record, I am completely against the sale to Suez.

I have been a customer of Eagle Water for almost 20 years. I do not know the owners nor have any connection with the company at any level except paying my bill monthly water bill, I never have I felt that the service was less than expected. We have always received notification yearly on water tests and compliance, mandated by the State or the EPA. I don't buy into the media spin that Suez is propagating about how substandard the system is and the \$13.4 million that they will need to reinvest is to replace meters and so forth, this almost subliminally tries to convey that Eagle Water Company is akin to Flint, Michigan, through their costs to repair the system. Every meter that I have had set on the new homes I build have been brand-new meters, Suez would lead you to believe that somehow they are setting 50-year-old corroded lead based meters and that they would have to revamp all of Eagle waters system, they would also lead you to believe that all the pipes are bad. However the new subdivisions that I'm building in seem to have brand-new pipe in the ground. This is just a ploy to justify their price increase. I know Shocking!

I understand government agencies like things easy, In their quest to make things easy for themselves they want one company to provide service for water, electricity, trash, or any other things that they manage. This all sounds good in theory, the government agency get to make their lives easy while the end-user continues to pay more and more because of lack of competition.

Once you allow big conglomerates to monopolize a market you have effectively aided in the elimination of competition. Once Suez is the only game in town what stops them from raising the price, year after year or at the expiration of each contract? How is it that the Eagle Water Company has gone decades without raising their water prices and provided the same service that we will get with Suez. How is it that the Eagle Water Company is a small business who has provided more than adequate paying jobs to the citizens of Idaho it employees, resulting in good paying jobs from management positions right down to the guys who put the pipes and meters in the ground, thus keeping jobs and profits to be reinvested back into Idaho. How is it that a massive company like Suez with its centralized management, centralized payment centers and a corporate structure that routes all profit away from Idaho somehow is not be able to compete with tiny little Eagle Water Company? When you effectively allow a Trojan horse to come in and monopolize the water system in our city what happens when you become dissatisfied with their service or their prices get out of control? This is already their intent notifying everyone that their prices are going up with a big-time percentage increase. This is a textbook example of what the Chinese business model is - Buy up all the small businesses that compete against you or make them look like they are not doing an adequate job and run them out of business, own 100% of the market share, ensuring that no startup company or small business has the capital to invest and compete with you. This model ensures that they will never have competition and that the Idaho Public Utilities Commission will never have a option to deal with anyone but them, effectively holding the Idaho Public Utilities Commission hostage.

When multiple small businesses compete in the public utility arena or any other arena for that sake all the laws of economics are satisfied, profits stay in and are most likely spent in the state supporting other small businesses, thus stimulating growth and reinvestment in the state of Idaho. If one small business is not doing a good job another small business can bid on that job providing either better service and pricing , ensuring that prices can't be rigged or fixed.

I am completely opposed to the monopolizing and dismantling the backbone of Idaho, which is the small business. I encourage you to oppose the sale of Eagle water company to suez to keep jobs, fairness and competition in the marketplace.

Sincerely,

Byron Barker

Unique Identifier: 184.99.116.199