

From: [PUC Consumer Comments](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:00:07 AM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Ackert Lynda
Submission Time: Oct 21 2021 9:46AM
Email: lynda.ackert@hotmail.com
Telephone: 815-302-6157
Address: 2277 N Eagle Creek Lane
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Eagle Water

Case ID: EAG-W-18-01

Comment: "I strongly OPPOSE the sale of Eagle Water Company to Suez."

Name: Ackert Lynda
Submission Time: Oct 21 2021 9:47AM
Email: lynda.ackert@hotmail.com
Telephone: 815-302-6157
Address: 2277 N Eagle Creek Lane
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Eagle Water

Case ID: EAG-W-18-01

Comment: "I strongly OPPOSE the sale of Eagle Water Company to Suez."

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

From: [PUC Consumer Comments](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Thursday, October 21, 2021 4:00:05 PM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jason Ford
Submission Time: Oct 21 2021 3:06PM
Email: jasonaford@gmail.com
Telephone: 949-767-7900
Address: 1162 N Echohawk Way
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Jason Ford

Case ID: SUZ-W-18-02

Comment: "I am STRONGLY opposed to the takeover of Eagle Water by the foreign conglomerate and for-profit company known as Suez. It is the duty of the Idaho PUC to ensure that we, as citizens, have just and reasonable rates for our public utilities. An increase of our current rate by some 300% is NOT just and reasonable. On the face of this fact alone, the PUC should be very hesitant to approve such a buyout. Based on the testimonies of everyone that called in to the first telephonic conference, 100% of the residents opposed the changeover... 100% This should be very telling. The only speaker who wanted the sale to go through was the Suez rep! What is the commission's rubric to decide to approve/deny the sale? Is it 80% of residents expressing 'NO' ? Is it 51% ? You have the obligation to tell us, the people, what the specifics of your decision making process is going to be. Here are my specifics reasons why I oppose the sale:My service is great, fair and reasonable at this time. A 300% rate hike is alarming and unacceptable. Suez has an awful reputation.Suez adds chemicals to the tap water which are carcinogenic and toxic. I have to spend more money to REMOVE these chemicals. EWC provides safe and chemically-low altered water.There has not been a public offering for the business. Why not? There are very successful, wealthy and ingenious residents of Eagle. They should have an opportunity to buy EWC first. Idaho PUC has an obligation to protect our local aquifers, Suez plans to mix and combine with other aquifers within a year. This corrupts our local water's purity.The dealings with the middle-man, city of Eagle and the discreet sale to Suez is suspicious.The numbers are dubious. 4 million in repairs?! Listen to your constituency, we strongly oppose this deal. Get creative. Say no and let's work for a better solution. Most Sincerely, Jason Ford EWC Customer"

Name: Jason Ford
Submission Time: Oct 21 2021 3:02PM
Email: jasonaford@gmail.com
Telephone: 949-767-7900
Address: 1162 N Echohawk Way
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Jason Ford

Case ID: SUZ-W-18-02

Comment: "What is the commission's rubric to decide to approve/deny the sale? Is it 80% of residents expressing 'NO' ? Is it 51% ? You have the obligation to tell us, the residents, EXACTLY what the specifics of your decision making process is going to be. That way we know what is required to make this takeover fail. I look forward to your response, Cordially, Jason Ford "

Name: Jason Ford
Submission Time: Oct 21 2021 3:02PM
Email: jasonaford@gmail.com
Telephone: 949-767-7900
Address: 1162 N Echohawk Way
Eagle, ID 83616

Name of Utility Company: Jason Ford

Case ID: EAG-W-18-01

Comment: "What is the commission's rubric to decide to approve/deny the sale? Is it 80% of residents expressing 'NO' ? Is it 51% ? You have the obligation to tell us, the residents, EXACTLY what the specifics of your decision making process is going to be. That way we know what is required to make this takeover fail. I look forward to your response, Cordially, Jason Ford "

[\[Open in the PUC Intranet application\]](#)

From: [Jason Ford](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: SUZ-W-18-02 & EAG-W-18-01
Date: Thursday, October 21, 2021 3:07:00 PM

Regarding cases: SUZ-W-18-02 & EAG-W-18-01

I am **STRONGLY** opposed to the takeover of Eagle Water by the foreign conglomerate and for-profit company known as Suez.

It is the duty of the Idaho PUC to **ensure** that we, as citizens, have **just and reasonable rates** for our public utilities. An increase of our current rate by some 300% is **NOT** just and reasonable. On the face of this fact alone, the PUC should be very hesitant to approve such a buyout.

Based on the testimonies of everyone that called in to the first telephonic conference, 100% of the residents opposed the changeover... 100% This should be very telling. The only speaker who wanted the sale to go through was the Suez rep!

What is the commission's rubric to decide to approve/deny the sale? Is it 80% of residents expressing 'NO'? Is it 51%? You have the obligation to tell us, the people, what the specifics of your decision making process is going to be.

Here are my specific reasons why I oppose the sale:

1. My service is great, fair and reasonable at this time. A 300% rate hike is alarming and unacceptable.
2. Suez has an awful reputation.
3. Suez adds chemicals to the tap water which are carcinogenic and toxic. I have to spend more money to REMOVE these chemicals. EWC provides safe and chemically-low altered water.
4. There has not been a public offering for the business. Why not? There are very successful, wealthy and ingenious residents of Eagle. They should have an opportunity to buy EWC first.
5. Idaho PUC has an obligation to protect our local aquifers, Suez plans to mix and combine with other aquifers within a year. This corrupts our local water's purity.
6. The dealings with the middle-man, city of Eagle and the discreet sale to Suez is suspicious.
7. The numbers are dubious. 4 million in repairs?!
8. Listen to your constituency, we strongly oppose this deal. Get creative. Say no and let's work for a better solution.

Most Sincerely,

Jason Ford
EWC Customer