From: Charlie Spindler <cfspindler@gmail.com>

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: Suez Investment Plan

Date: Monday, October 19, 2020 11:40:10 AM

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I received a postcard today from Suez informing me that they have requested an increase in my bill of 22.3% which would increase their company revenue by \$10.2 million per year. I would very much like to be involved in public comments on this increase.

Suez says that they need it to improve and expand their utilities for distributing drinking water in Boise, Idaho. May I ask what they have been doing with the profits they have been making on water distribution for the last several decades, or why they have taken these profits without adequately maintaining the existing utility. After they get this added ten million dollars per year, even if they do use it to increase the size of the water system, Suez will then charge us, at this increased rate, for the added water it produces. Don't investors usually get dividends for investing money instead of being charged for the privilege.

This is absurd. Suez Water has been handed a monopoly on drinking water distribution in Boise, Idaho, and if they can't adequately manage it, they need to surrender it so you can give it to someone else.

Charles Spindler 6639 W Hummel Drive Boise, ID 83709 (208)353-6767 From: PUC Consumer Comments

To: <u>Jan Noriyuki</u>

Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb **Date:** Monday, October 19, 2020 3:00:04 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: John Gibson

Submission Time: Oct 19 2020 2:04PM

Email: nvrswt@msn.com Telephone: 208-362-4823 Address: 5501 S Tinker Street

Boise, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Suez

Case ID: SUZ-W-20-02

Comment: "Suez's request for a rate increase of 22.3 % is an abhorrent gesture during these trying times in our Country. A lot of individuals are still either unemployed or are trying to catch up trying to pay bills which accrued during the time they were unemployed. Also, individuals like myself who are on a fixed income would not be able to pay these type of water bills, especially if they have health insurance, medical bills and prescription payments that should be their primary focus at this time. Regards, John Gibson"

[Open in the PUC Intranet application]