

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 9:00:12 AM

The following comments were submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Michael Probst
Submission Time: Oct 24 2022 8:36AM
Email: mprobst2002@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-551-8683
Address: 1211 North Bippy Lane
Eagle, Id 83616

Name of Utility Company: veolia

Case ID: VEO-W-22-02

Comment: "I find it unacceptable that Veolia Water is requesting yet another (massive) rate increase for a necessary utility. It is unacceptable that our water utility is neither public nor locally owned, a company with a revenue of more than \$28 billion dollars in 2021 should be using profits to maintain and support additional growth rather than asking existing users to support these operation expenses and then passing along the profit to their stockholders. This is a company which increased its stockholder dividend by 43% in 2021 and predicts ORGANIC GROWTH OF EBITDA BETWEEN +4% AND +6% in 2022. It is not acceptable for a utility we cannot survive without in the US to be owned by a for profit multinational corporation, much less for us to be creating and yearly increasing profits for other nations from such a needed resource for our citizens."

From: [PUCWeb Notification](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 12:00:06 PM

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Mike Stagner
Submission Time: Oct 24 2022 11:11AM
Email: mdstagner@gmail.com
Telephone: 208-631-8752
Address: 4636 S Latigo Way
Boise, ID 83709

Name of Utility Company: Veolia

Case ID: VEO-W-22-02

Comment: "A rate adjustment higher than the current inflation? This is a little out of line. Asking for a return of 24.1 percent investment on the infrastructure is like paying interest on a high-interest credit card. This need to be reviewed. Mike Stagner "

From: [Ron Mayhew Gm](#)
To: [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Veolia proposed rate increase - recommend rejection
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 3:34:40 PM

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

I am opposed to Viola's request for a 24.1% (per card just received) rate increase.

It is actually offensive for the company to hit up existing rate payers for their expansion that subsidizes other customers and provides them with future profits on investments paid by existing customers.

I recommend that such an outrageous request be flatly rejected. In normally business ventures, we ask for funds before spending them, I'm unsure why water should be different.

Note: The information on the mailer (QR Code) doesn't help me understand why such an increase is necessary. It just shows the many projects they have underway.

Best regards,

Ron Mayhew
Eagle