

✓ Gen Ack  
sent 3/25/10

✓ To AV.

✓ To Commis.  
i H

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** dawnhauff@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 24, 2010 5:09 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Dawn Hauff follows:

-----  
Case Number: AVU-E-10-01/AVU-G-10-01  
Name: Dawn Hauff  
Address: 28 Hunt Gulch  
City: Kingston  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83839  
Daytime Telephone: 208-682-2704  
Contact E-Mail: [dawnhauff@verizon.net](mailto:dawnhauff@verizon.net)  
Name of Utility Company: Avista  
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your comment briefly:

Avista is seeking a rate increase, 14% on electric and 6% on gas. It wasn't long ago that Avista had a price increase. At a time when many people are barely scraping by with their income, it is a poor choice to raise rates. Especially since Avista has enjoyed profits, and have used some of those profits to lobby the federal government for cap and trade and green energy. It is the Opinion of Governor Otter, and many in the state of Idaho, that Cap and Trade would devastate Idaho's agricultural economy, and at a tax increase that many citizens and companies would be unable to pay. Avista fails to realize that raising costs will only add a hardship to many families at this time. I urge you to disapprove of this cost increase. One of the ways Avista could save money and reduce their costs would be to stick to methods that work, such as cheap, clean, hydroelectricity. Scrap ideas of wind energy that many are finding aren't cheap, dependable, or clean. Stand by the citizens of Idaho, and keep utility costs down.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 70.110.46.153

-----

*✓ Alan. Ask.  
sent 3/25/10*

*✓ To Gene F.  
for response  
to ?'s*

*✓ To AV.*

*✓ To Commis.  
i H*

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** garander@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 24, 2010 12:33 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Gary Anderson follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPUC vs Avista -AVU-E-10-01/AVU-G-10-01  
Name: Gary Anderson  
Address: 11938 N Ruby Dr.  
City: Hayden  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83835  
Daytime Telephone:  
Contact E-Mail: [garander@gmail.com](mailto:garander@gmail.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Avista  
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:

Sirs: I read in the CDA Press this morning that Avista is applying for another rate increase in both Idaho Electric and Natural Gas rates for 2010. Avista earnings for 2009 were also in the paper at 87.1 M, with 22.1 of that coming in the last Quarter of 2009. We had a very mild winter and not to long ago, I think the first part of this year Avista was patting themselves on the back for being able to reduce gas rates. Avista has been putting a lot of Money into GREEN Technology, Hydrogen fueled fuel cells for one, Hydrogen is costly and takes a of dollars and elecicity to produce, and storage is still a large problem. With the coming of a very efficent fuel cell called BLOOM BOX. which is truely GREEN, coverts REGULAR AIR by way of membrane in the electricity, (already powering a few companies in CA.) and will be avaiable for the private consumer in 10 est. years at a cost of around \$ 3,000 in to days dollars. You can find this by using GOOGLE.

I have a couple questions: With Avista serving 122,000 Idaho customers for electricity and 74,000 using natural Gas, AND Avista charging each customer a 'Basic Charge' of \$4.60 for Electric and \$4.00 for Gas each and every month, A little math this works out to be 6,734,400 per year and 3,552,000 dollars. Question, is this \$10,286,400 figured in to Avista's 2009 earnings?? And Did the IPUC approve for them to charge these Basic Rates?? If yes then what date was this approved?? -- Charging the Basic rate without giving the cusumer any value for the Gas or elecricity used. That worksout to \$9.60/Month or 115.20 per year?? This sound more of a TAX level by Avista then a basic Charge. I do urge the IPCU to explore protecting the consumers of Idaho and protect the people from being exploited by out of State companies> I employ that the IPUC commission explore the new technologies supporting 'truly Green technologies', so that the residents of Idaho will have the choice and freedom to individually choose such technology and have a choice, with out being subject control of a Coporation. Thank You. G. Anderson

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 68.238.141.196

-----