

✓ Man Ask
Sent 6/19/07

✓ To AV

✓ To Commus.
;H

Jean Jewell

From: eladman@wildblue.net
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2007 11:49 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Dale Podolan follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-23
Name: Dale Podolan
Address: 8372 Brookside Lane
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83714
Home Telephone: 208-939-9126
Contact E-Mail: eladman@wildblue.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

This is in regards to the Petition for Reconsideration of Commission Final Order No. 30322. This agreement will place a great burden on existing ratepayers. It is high time that developments of this type start paying for their own costs! The Commission correctly recognized the problems with this agreement and properly rejected it. I cannot understand Avimor's comments that this unjustly turns Avimor's advance into a contribution which will benefit other ratepayers at its expense. How is an increase in my rates for their development a benefit to me?? The numbers and documentation simply do not support Avimor's assertions and their request for reconsideration should be denied. Do not subject existing ratepayers to more costs and risks to pay for these developments.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipucl/ipuc.html>
IP address is 15.235.153.104

✓ Gen. Acct
sent 4/19/07

✓ To AV.

✓ To Comms.
S H

Jean Jewell

From: mikereineck@mac.com
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2007 3:25 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Michael Reineck follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-23
Name: Michael Reineck
Address: 4760 E. Arrow Junction

City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83716
Home Telephone: 208 343 4726
Contact E-Mail: mikereineck@mac.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

After reading the appeal of final order # 30322 June 14 by the attorney for Avimor, I challenge most of the attorney's statements: 1) The financial burden on current Idaho Power customers will not be reduced but more likely increase. With over 30,000 homes in various planning phases in the Treasure Valley, the market risk to Avimor is extreme. Avimor would need to sell close to 450 home a year in a saturated market. Current customers should not bear this risk. 2) I don't see the basis for Avimor attorney's claim that the Commission's Order unfairly turns Avimor's advance into a contribution. The Commission is protecting the public. 3) Charges of discrimination are not developed. It seems to me that the Commission is not granting special, lower cost benefits to Avimor residents that will funded by the rest of us. 4) New information that is only preliminary?

What kind of joke is this? Keep a case open based on this type of claim which is apparently unsubstantiated? If this precedent is allowed, the PUC will have a difficult time closing out most rulings. Mike Reineck Ada County Resident and Idaho Power Customer

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 71.33.4.91
