

2007 JUN -8 AM 9:42

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

**IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF ROCKY
MOUNTAIN POWER FOR
APPROVAL OF CHANGES TO ITS
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULES**

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

CASE NO. PAC-E-07-05

Direct Testimony of William R. Griffith

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

CASE NO. PAC-E-07-05

June 2007

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with the**
2 **Company (also referred to as Rocky Mountain Power).**

3 A. My name is William R. Griffith. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah
4 Street, Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Director,
5 Pricing, Cost of Service & Regulatory Operations in the Regulation Department.

6 **Qualifications**

7 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background.**

8 A. I have a B.A. degree with High Honors and distinction in Political Science and
9 Economics from San Diego State University and an M.A. in Political Science
10 from that same institution; I was subsequently employed on the faculty. I
11 attended the University of Oregon and completed all course work towards a Ph.D.
12 in Political Science. I joined the Company in the Rates & Regulation Department
13 in December 1983. In June 1989, I became Manager, Pricing in the Regulation
14 Department. In February 2001, I was promoted to my current position.

15 **Q. Have you appeared as a witness in previous regulatory proceedings?**

16 A. Yes. I have testified for the Company in regulatory proceedings in Idaho, Utah,
17 Oregon, Wyoming, Washington, and California.

18 **Q. What are your responsibilities in this proceeding?**

19 A. I am responsible for the Company's proposed rate spread and rate design changes
20 in this case.

21 **Proposed Rate Spread**

22 **Q. Please describe Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate spread in this case.**

23 A. The proposed rate spread has been guided by the Company's cost of service study

1 filed in this case. It indicates that two-thirds of the overall price increase is to be
2 collected from the two special contract customers. The Company proposes to
3 increase rates for these two customers equal to their full cost of service results.

4 For the non-contract rate schedules, the Company proposes to allocate the
5 remaining price change amount among the three groups which the cost of service
6 results indicate need price increases—residential, irrigation, and street and area
7 lighting customers. The Company proposes a uniform percentage increase for
8 residential and irrigation customers equal to 6.7 percent. For street and area
9 lighting customers, based on the cost of service results, the Company proposes a
10 larger increase—20.6 percent—equal to two times the overall increase.

11 For rate schedule classes for which cost of service results suggest the need
12 for price decreases, the Company proposes to make no change to present rate
13 levels. Schedules 6, 6A, 8, 9, 19, 23, 23A, and 35 fall into this category. For
14 each of these rate schedules, price decreases suggested by the cost of service
15 results are relatively small. During a time of rising costs, it is more appropriate to
16 maintain price stability for these rate schedules, and to minimize price impacts on
17 those rate schedule classes requiring price increases. This will assure that gradual
18 movement toward full cost of service responsibility is maintained for all rate
19 schedule classes. The Company proposes that these rates become effective
20 January 1, 2008.

21 **Q. Please describe the Company's specific proposal for the allocation of the**
22 **revenue requirement.**

23 **A. The overall proposed price increase is 10.3 percent. The Company proposes the**

1 following allocation of the base price increase for the major customer classes:

2	<u>Customer Class</u>	<u>Proposed Price Change</u>
3	Residential – Schedule 1	6.7%
4	Residential – Schedule 36	6.7%
5	General Service	
6	Schedule 23/23A	0%
7	Schedule 6/6A/35	0%
8	Schedule 8	0%
9	Schedule 9	0%
10	Schedule 19	0%
11	Irrigation	
12	Schedule 10	6.7%
13	Special Contracts	
14	Schedule 400	24.1%
15	Schedule 401	14.5%
16	Public Street Lighting	
17	Schedules 7/7A, 11, 12	20.6%
18	Schedule 12 – Traffic Signals	0%
19		

20 **Q. Please describe Exhibit No. 31.**

21 A. Exhibit No. 31 shows the estimated effect of the proposed price change by rate
22 schedule for the normalized historic test period. The table displays the present
23 schedule number, the average number of customers during the test year, and the
24 megawatt-hours of energy use in Columns (2) through (4). Revenues by tariff
25 schedule are divided into two columns – one for present revenues and one for
26 proposed revenues. Column (5) shows annualized revenues under present base
27 rates. Column (6) shows annualized revenues under proposed base rates.
28 Columns (7) and (8) show the dollar and percentage changes in base rates.
29 Column (9) shows present revenues expressed on an overall average cents per

1 kilowatt-hour basis and column (10) shows proposed revenues expressed on an
2 overall average cents per kilowatt-hour basis.

3 **Q. Please describe Exhibit Nos. 32 and 33.**

4 A. Exhibit No. 32 contains the Company's proposed revised tariffs in this case.
5 Exhibit No. 33 contains the proposed revised tariff sheets in legislative format.

6 **Q. Does the Company propose to continue current Schedule 94, the Rate
7 Mitigation Adjustment (RMA)?**

8 A. No. While revenues presently being collected under the RMA are included in the
9 Company's normalized present revenues reported in this case, the Company
10 proposes to eliminate the RMA for all rate schedules upon implementation of
11 proposed rates. The Company proposes to include the current RMA value in base
12 rates for all rate schedule classes.

13 **Q. Why is the Company proposing to eliminate the separate RMA?**

14 The RMA was originally implemented pursuant to IPUC Order No. 29034 on
15 June 8, 2002 and was designed to achieve two major goals: i) to moderate the
16 impact of the rate change on rate classes, and ii) to move the irrigation class
17 closer to cost of service. It was applied as a surcharge or surcredit to each tariff
18 schedule on a cents per kilowatt-hour basis and reflected as a separate line item
19 charge on customers' bills. The RMA implemented annual rate changes across a
20 three year period; the present RMA rates were implemented in June 2004 and
21 have been unchanged since then.

22 The Commission also determined that the Year 3 RMA rates now in effect
23 could be subject to termination upon the earlier of (1) the expiration of current

1 electric service Schedule 34 BPA Credit or (2) the adoption by the Commission of
2 a cost of service study for the Company and the subsequent implementation for all
3 customers of the approved cost of service study. Given the filing of a complete
4 cost of service study in this case, the Company proposes to simplify rates and to
5 terminate the RMA (Schedule 94) upon approval by the Commission of the cost
6 of service study in this case.

7 **Q. Including the effects of the Company's proposal, how have the Company's**
8 **proposed rates in Idaho changed over time?**

9 A. Since 1986, the Company's overall Idaho base rates collected from the rate
10 schedule classes (i.e., standard tariff customers excluding special contracts) have
11 increased only twice, and the overall base rates from these rate schedule classes
12 have actually decreased over that time. Including the effects of the full increase
13 proposed in this case, overall base rates for the major rate schedule customers in
14 Idaho will have increased only 2 percent since 1986. Over that same 21-year
15 period, the Consumer Price Index has increased by over 89 percent. If the
16 Company's proposed increase in this case is approved as filed, changes to overall
17 base rates will have declined on a real basis by over 46 percent since 1986--base
18 residential rates will have declined 43 percent; irrigation rates, 39 percent; and
19 general service rates, 59 percent. This clearly demonstrates the Company's
20 pattern of limiting rate increase requests due to rising costs.

21 **Residential Rate Design**

22 **Q. Please describe the Company's proposed residential rate design changes.**

23 A. For Schedule 1 residential customers, the Company proposes to implement the

1 price increase while keeping the present differential between summer and winter
2 energy charges. The Company also proposes to increase the minimum bill
3 amounts by as close to the overall percentage increase as possible. For Optional
4 Schedule 36 residential customers, the Company also proposes to keep the present
5 ratios between summer/winter energy charges and on-peak/off-peak energy
6 charges. The customer charge is proposed to increase 6.7 percent, from \$12.56 to
7 \$13.40 per month.

8 **Q. How will the proposed residential rate designs impact customers?**

9 A. Exhibit No. 34 contains Monthly Billing Comparisons for the Company's
10 proposed tariff revisions. For residential customers (Schedule 1 and 36), the
11 Monthly Billing Comparisons show that the Company's rate design proposals
12 produce uniform percentage impacts across usage levels.

13 **General Service & Irrigation Rate Design**

14 **Q. Please describe the Company's proposed rate design changes for Schedules 6,**
15 **6A, 8, 9, 23, and 23A.**

16 A. The Company proposes no changes to these schedules, other than to roll the
17 current RMA revenues into base rates.

18 **Q. Please describe the Company's proposed rate design changes for Schedule**
19 **10.**

20 A. The Company proposes to retain the current rate structure of Schedule 10. The
21 Company also proposes to implement the price change for Schedule 10 on a
22 uniform percentage basis to customer, demand and energy charges, and to
23 maintain the current relationships between the energy blocks and the current ratio

1 of on-season to off-season average revenues.

2 **Commercial and Industrial Space Heating**

3 **Q. What does the Company propose for Commercial and Industrial Space**
4 **Heating, Schedule 19?**

5 A. The Company is proposing no price change, but it is proposing to continue to
6 move Schedule 19 customers who bill cheaper on Schedule 23 or 6 to those
7 schedules. This approach is consistent with the Company's methodology in its
8 last general rate case (Case No. PAC-E-05-1), and is in furtherance of the
9 ultimate goal of eliminating Schedule 19 in the future.

10 **Q. Why is the Company proposing to eliminate Schedule 19 in the future?**

11 A. Schedule 19 is an end-use specific rate serving heating loads—the Company's
12 only end-use rate of its type in Idaho. We do not believe that narrowly-based
13 end-use rates are appropriate or fair to our customers. They result in price
14 differences solely based on end-use application even when customers' electric
15 loads are identical. In this case, 163 customers bill cheaper on Schedule 23 or
16 Schedule 6. These customers have been moved to their appropriate rate schedules
17 for this case. This results in 145 customers remaining on Schedule 19.

18 In future cases, the Company will continue to monitor Schedule 19
19 customers who bill cheaper on alternate general service rate schedules.

20 Ultimately, former Schedule 19 customers, rather than being served on an end-use
21 based rate, will pay prices equivalent to other similarly situated customers with
22 the same load and usage characteristics. The Company believes this will be a fair
23 and equitable solution for all customers.

1 **Q. Has the Company used this approach in other states where a rate schedule**
2 **was first closed to new service, and then later customers were moved to other**
3 **appropriate rate schedules?**

4 **A. Yes. The Company has used this two-step approach in eliminating end-use**
5 **specific rates in other states. In Utah, Wyoming and Washington end-use specific**
6 **heating rates were eliminated by the Company using this method.**

7 **Lighting**

8 **Q. How does the Company propose to implement the price change for lighting**
9 **customers?**

10 **A. The Company proposes to implement the 20.6 percent increase on an equal**
11 **percentage basis to all lighting charges.**

12 **Additionally, on June 4, 2007 the Company's application to revise the**
13 **Company's street lighting tariffs and to offer expanded lighting options (Case No.**
14 **PAC-E-07-06) was approved by the Commission. Among other things, this**
15 **application moved traffic signals from Schedule 12 to General Service Schedule**
16 **23. These customers have been included in Schedule 23 in the billing**
17 **determinants included as Exhibit No. 35.**

18 **Special Contract Customer Rate Design**

19 **Q. How does the Company propose to implement the price increase for Special**
20 **Contract Schedules 400 and 401?**

21 **A. Special contract customers are now designated "tariff standard" customers,**
22 **meaning their rates can be adjusted by the Commission using the same procedures**
23 **as all other customers, as explained in the testimony of Company witness Mark E.**

1 Tucker. For Schedule 400, the Company proposes relatively uniform increases to
2 the Customer Charge, the Firm Energy Charge, the Firm Demand Charge, and the
3 Interruptible Energy Charge to achieve the overall price change of 24.1 percent.
4 For Schedule 401, the Company proposes an equal percentage increase of 14.5
5 percent to the customer, demand and energy charges.

6 **Monthly Billing Comparisons**

7 **Q. Please explain Exhibit No. 34.**

8 A. As referenced earlier, Exhibit No. 34 details the customer impacts of the
9 Company's proposed pricing changes. For each rate schedule, it shows the dollar
10 and percentage change in monthly bills for various load and usage levels.

11 **Billing Determinants**

12 **Q. Please explain Exhibit No. 35.**

13 A. Exhibit No. 35 details the billing determinants used in preparing the pricing
14 proposals in this case. It shows billing quantities and prices at present rates and
15 proposed rates.

16 **Residential Time of Day Program**

17 **Q. In Case No. GNR-E-06-02, the Commission ordered the Company to**
18 **“address the status of its time-of-day program, provide justifications for the**
19 **existing rate differentials, and advise the Commission on any appropriate**
20 **changes to its rate structures for its customers or classes of customers.”**

21 **Please provide an update on the status of the program as ordered by the**
22 **Commission.**

23 A. The Company's Optional Residential Time of Day Schedule 36 is available to all

1 residential customers in Idaho. This program has been available since 1981 and
 2 has been popular with customers. We are not aware of any recent customer
 3 complaints regarding the time of use rates, price differentials, or tariff structure.
 4 Participation statistics for the 12 months ended December 31, 2006, are shown in
 5 the table below.

Schedule 36 Customers	Residential Customers	Percentage on Time of Use
16,276	54,047	30%

6
 7 **Q. Please provide justifications for the existing rate differentials.**

8 **A.** The chart below shows present and proposed rates and differentials for Optional
 9 Time of Day Schedule 36.

	Summer On-Peak	Summer Off-Peak	Summer Differenia 1	Winter On-Peak	Winter Off-Peak	Winter Differenia 1
Present	10.8329¢	3.7332¢	7.0997¢	9.2674¢	3.4098¢	5.8576¢
Proposed	11.1530¢	3.8425¢	7.3105¢	9.4934¢	3.4874¢	6.0060¢

10 These differentials are large, and they are consistent with the direction of
 11 wholesale cost differences. During both summer and winter, the Schedule 36 on-
 12 peak rate is higher than the standard Residential Schedule 1 rate to discourage use
 13 during on-peak hours. Customers enjoy a substantially lower rate during off-peak
 14 hours to encourage customers to shift usage to off-peak hours.

15 The rate differentials provide signals to customers that costs are higher
 16 during on-peak periods, both summer and winter, and allow customers to save
 17 money compared to non-time-of-use rates in off-peak periods.

18 The table below shows the average wholesale cost of energy for 2006

1 based on Dow Jones prices at the California-Oregon Border and Palo Verde
 2 locations. At both locations and during each season, energy was more expensive
 3 during on-peak hours than off-peak hours. In the summer months, costs were
 4 generally higher and the difference between on- and off-peak prices was greater
 5 than in the winter months. These characteristics provide support for the Schedule
 6 36 rate design.

Monthly On-Peak and Off-Peak Prices 2006						
	California-Oregon Border			Palo Verde		
	On-Peak	Off-Peak	Differenc e	On-Peak	Off-Peak	Differenc e
Annual Average Price	5.55¢	4.06¢	1.49¢	5.76¢	3.81¢	1.95¢
Summer (May-Oct)	5.68¢	3.71¢	1.97¢	6.10¢	3.50¢	2.60¢
Winter (Nov-April)	5.42¢	4.41¢	1.01¢	5.42¢	4.11¢	1.31¢

7 **Q. Does the Company propose any changes to the current time-of-day rate**
 8 **structure?**

9 **A.** The Company is not proposing any significant changes to the time-of-day rate
 10 structure in this case. Optional Residential Time of Day Schedule 36 has enjoyed
 11 strong participation rates and customers appear to be satisfied with it.

12 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 **A.** Yes, it does.

2007 JUN -8 AM 9:42

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05

Exhibit No. 31

Witness: William R. Griffith

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of William R. Griffith
Estimated Effect of the Proposed Price Change by Rate Schedule
For the Normalized Historic Test Period

June 2007

TABLE A
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
ESTIMATED IMPACT OF PROPOSED REVENUES ON NORMALIZED PRESENT REVENUES
FROM ELECTRIC SALES TO ULTIMATE CONSUMERS
DISTRIBUTED BY RATE SCHEDULES IN IDAHO
12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 2006

Rocky Mountain Power
 Exhibit No.31
 CASE NO. PAC-E-07-05
 Witness William R. Griffith

Line No.	Description	Present Sch.	Average No. of Customers	MWH	Present	Proposed	Change In		Present	Proposed
					Revenue (\$000)	Revenue (\$000)	Base Revenue	Base Revenue		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Base Revenue ¹	Base Revenue ²	(\$000)	%	(¢)/kWh	(¢)/kWh
					(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
							(6)-(5)	(7)/(5)	(5)/(4)	(6)/(4)
Residential Sales										
1	Residential Service	1	37,771	354,898	\$29,653	\$31,646	\$1,993	6.7%	8.36	8.92
2	Residential Optional TOD	36	16,276	315,755	\$21,362	\$22,798	\$1,436	6.7%	6.77	7.22
3	AGA-Revenue	--	--	--	\$4	\$4	\$0	0.0%		
4	Total Residential		54,047	670,653	\$51,019	\$54,448	\$3,428	6.7%	7.61	8.12
5 Commercial & Industrial										
6	General Service - Large Power	6	1,022	276,054	\$16,517	\$16,517	\$0	0.0%	5.98	5.98
7	General Svc. - Lg. Power (R&F)	6A	237	29,619	\$1,998	\$1,998	\$0	0.0%	6.74	6.74
8	Subtotal-Schedule 6		1,259	305,673	\$18,515	\$18,515	\$0	0.0%	6.06	6.06
9	General Service - Med. Voltage	8	2	2,240	\$130	\$130	\$0	0.0%	5.81	5.81
10	General Service - High Voltage	9	12	109,921	\$5,061	\$5,061	\$0	0.0%	4.60	4.60
11	Irrigation	10	4,656	590,233	\$39,405	\$42,053	\$2,648	6.7%	6.68	7.12
12	Comm. & Ind. Space Heating	19	145	9,498	\$636	\$636	\$0	0.0%	6.69	6.69
13	General Service	23	5,944	115,199	\$9,265	\$9,306	\$41	0.4%	8.04	8.08
14	General Service (R&F)	23A	1,353	16,836	\$1,464	\$1,423	(\$41)	-2.8%	8.70	8.45
15	Subtotal-Schedule 23		7,297	132,035	\$10,729	\$10,729	(\$0)	0.0%	8.13	8.13
16	General Service Optional TOD	35	2	1,832	\$95	\$95	\$0	0.0%	5.17	5.17
17	Special Contract-Schedule 400		1	1,395,545	\$48,669	\$60,411	\$11,742	24.1%	3.49	4.33
18	Special Contract- Schedule 401		1	109,930	\$3,999	\$4,579	\$580	14.5%	3.64	4.17
19	AGA-Revenue	--	--	--	\$412	\$412	\$0	0.0%		
20	Total Commercial & Industrial		13,375	2,656,908	\$127,650	\$142,620	\$14,970	11.7%	4.80	5.37
21	Total Commercial & Industrial (Excluding Monsanto)		13,374	1,261,363	\$78,981	\$82,209	\$3,228	4.1%	6.26	6.52
22 Public Street Lighting										
23	Security Area Lighting	7	258	298	\$61	\$74	\$13	21.7%	20.45	24.90
24	Security Area Lighting (R&F)	7A	194	126	\$29	\$34	\$5	18.5%	22.86	27.08
25	Street Lighting - Company	11	29	131	\$30	\$37	\$6	20.7%	23.28	28.11
26	Street Lighting - Customer	12	290	2,014	\$205	\$248	\$42	20.6%	10.19	12.30
27	AGA-Revenue	--	--	--	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%		
28	Total Public Street Lighting		771	2,568	\$325	\$393	\$67	20.7%	12.67	15.29
29	Total Sales to Ultimate Customers		68,193	3,330,129	\$178,994	\$197,460	\$18,466	10.3%	5.37	5.93
30	Total Sales to Ultimate Customers (Excluding Monsanto)		68,192	1,934,583	\$130,326	\$137,049	\$6,723	5.2%	6.74	7.08

Notes:

- 1: Includes Schedule 94-RMA revenues. Excludes Schedule 34-BPA credit.
- 2: Excludes Schedule 34-BPA credit.

2007 JUN -8 AM 9:42

IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05
Exhibit No. 32
Witness: William R. Griffith

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of William R. Griffith

Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Revised Tariffs

June 2007

I.P.U.C. No. 1
**Third Revision of Sheet No. B.2
 Canceling Second Revision of Sheet No. B.2**

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULES - Continued		
Schedule No.	Class of Service	Sheet No.
23	General Service - Small Power	23.1 - 23.3
23A	General Service - Small Power (Residential and Farm)	23A.1 - 23A.4
24	Interruptible Power Service	24.1 - 24.5
34	Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act - Residential and Farm Kilowatt-Hour Credit	34.1 - 34.16
35	Optional Time-of-Day General Service – Distribution Voltage	35.1 - 35.3
35A	Optional Time-of-Day General Service – Distribution Voltage (Farm)	35A.1 - 35A.4
36	Optional Time of Day Residential Service	36.1 - 36.3
70	Renewable Energy Rider – Optional	70.1 - 70.4
71	Energy Exchange Pilot Program	71.1 - 71.6
72	Irrigation Load Control Credit Rider	72.1 - 72.5
72A	Irrigation Load Control Credit Rider Dispatch Pilot	72A.1 – 72A.4
73	Renewable Energy Rider - Optional - Bulk Purchase Option	73.1 – 73.4
115	Commercial and Industrial Energy Efficiency Incentives Optional for Qualifying Customers	115.1 – 115.10
117	Residential Refrigerator Recycling Program	117.1 - 117.2
118	Home Energy Saver Incentive Program	118.1 - 118.2
120	Commercial Energy Services - Optional to Qualifying Customers	120.1 - 120.8
122	Commercial Energy Services - Optional to Qualifying Customers	122.1 - 122.5

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007
EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 1.1
Canceling Original Sheet No. 1.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 1

STATE OF IDAHO

Residential Service

AVAILABILITY: At any point on the Company's interconnected system where there are facilities of adequate capacity.

APPLICATION: This Schedule is for alternating current electric service supplied at approximately 120 or 240 volts through one kilowatt-hour meter at a single point of delivery for all service required on the premises for Residential purposes.

When conditions are such that service is supplied through one meter to more than one dwelling or apartment unit, the charge for such service will be computed by multiplying the minimum charges by the maximum number of dwelling or apartment units that may be served.

When a portion of a dwelling is used regularly for business, professional or other gainful purposes, the premises will be classified as nonresidential and the appropriate schedule applied. However, if the wiring is so arranged that the service for Residential purposes can be metered separately, this Schedule will be applied to such service.

MONTHLY BILL:

Rate:

- (1) Billing months May
through October inclusive

Energy Rate: 10.2186¢ per kWh for all kWh

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 1.2
Canceling Original Sheet No. 1.2

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 1 – Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (continued)

- (2) Billing months November
through April inclusive

Energy Rate: 7.8668¢ per kWh for all kWh

Minimum (all months):	\$ 10.45 for single phase service, permanent residences
	\$31.35 for three-phase service, permanent residences
	\$14.59 for single-phase service, vacation home, cabins and any other dwellings that are not utilized year-round as the principal place of residence
	\$43.77 for three-phase service, vacation homes, cabins and any other dwellings that are not utilized year-round as the principal place of residence

MONTHLY BILLING REDUCTION: Rates in this schedule shall be reduced by the monthly kilowatt-hour credit adjustment set forth under “Monthly Rates” in the currently effective Electric Service Schedule No. 34.

SEASONAL SERVICE: When seasonal service is supplied under this Schedule, the minimum seasonal charge will be \$175.08.

CONTRACT PERIOD: One year or longer.

ELECTRIC SERVICE REGULATIONS: Service under this Schedule will be in accordance with the terms of the Electric Service Agreement between the Customer and the Company. The Electric Service Regulations of the Company on file with and approved by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, including future applicable amendments, will be considered as forming a part of and incorporated in said Agreement.

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 7.1
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7

STATE OF IDAHO

Security Area Lighting

AVAILABILITY: At any point on the Company's interconnected system. No new Mercury Vapor Lamps will be installed after May 3, 1985.

APPLICATION: This Schedule is for (1) electric service required for Security Area Lighting and for Security Flood Lighting service where service is supplied from a Company-owned overhead wood pole system (2) Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Security Area Lighting and (3) Customer-owned/Customer-maintained Area Lighting.

MONTHLY BILL:

Rate:

(1) Security Area Lighting

Nominal Lamp Rating:

<u>Initial Lumens</u>	<u>Watts</u>	<u>Per Lamp</u>
Mercury Vapor Lamp:		
7,000	175	\$17.93 per lamp
20,000	400	\$29.28 per lamp

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 7.2
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7.2

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7 – Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (continued)

Sodium Vapor Lamps:		
5,800 high intensity discharge	70	\$13.33 per lamp on new pole \$ 9.90 per lamp if no new pole is required
9,500 high intensity discharge	100	\$14.41 per lamp on new pole \$10.98 per lamp if no new pole is required
16,000 high intensity discharge	150	\$18.05 per lamp on new pole \$15.28 per lamp if no new pole is required
27,500 high intensity discharge	250	\$24.15 per lamp on new pole \$21.15 per lamp if no new pole is required
50,000 high intensity discharge	400	\$32.66 per lamp on new pole \$26.82 per lamp if no new pole is required
Sodium Vapor Flood Lamps:		
16,000 high intensity discharge	150	\$18.05 per lamp on new pole \$15.28 per lamp if no new pole is required
27,500 high intensity discharge	250	\$24.58 per lamp on new pole \$21.15 per lamp if no new pole is required
50,000 high intensity discharge	400	\$32.66 per lamp on new pole \$26.82 per lamp if no new pole is required

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 7.4
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7.4

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7 – Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (continued)

(2) Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps

Energy Only:

<u>Initial Lumens</u>	<u>Watts</u>	<u>Per Lamp</u>
8,000	55	\$ 1.99
13,500	90	\$ 2.81
22,500	135	\$ 3.82
33,000	180	\$ 4.59

SPECIFICATIONS FOR LOW PRESSURE SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS: Prices include only energy and a single span of wire to customer's pole. The entire installation including initial lamp requirements, support poles, and wiring with suitable provision for connection to Company's system will be furnished, installed, and maintained by the customer. Lamps shall be controlled by the customer to burn only during the period from dusk to dawn.

(3) Customer-Owned/Customer-Maintained Area Lighting

Energy Only:

<u>Initial Lumens</u>	<u>Watts</u>	<u>Per Lamp</u>
16,000 Sodium Vapor Flood	150	\$ 9.88

CONTRACT PERIOD: One year or longer.

ELECTRIC SERVICE REGULATIONS: Service under this Schedule will be in accordance with the terms of the Electric Service Agreement between the Customer and the Company. The Electric Service Regulations of the Company on file with and approved by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, including future applicable amendments, will be considered as forming a part of and incorporated in said Agreement.

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 7A.1
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7A.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7A

STATE OF IDAHO

Security Area Lighting (Residential and Farm)

AVAILABILITY: At any point on the Company's interconnected system for service to any customer who qualifies as a "Residential Load" or "Farm Load" under both (1) the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act, P.L. 96-501 as the same may be amended, and (2) a Residential Purchase and Sale Agreement, under Section 5(c) of such Act and in effect between the Company and the Bonneville Power Administration. No new Mercury Vapor Lamps will be installed after May 3, 1985.

APPLICATION: This Schedule is for electric service required for Security Area Lighting and for Security Flood Lighting service where service is supplied from a Company-owned overhead wood pole system.

MONTHLY BILL:

Rate:

(1) Security Area Lighting

Nominal Lamp Rating:

<u>Initial Lumens</u>	<u>Watts</u>	<u>Per Lamp</u>
Mercury Vapor Lamp:		
7,000	175	\$17.93 per lamp
20,000	400	\$29.28 per lamp

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

**First Revision of Sheet No. 7A.2
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7A.2**

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7A - Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (continued)

Rate:

Sodium Vapor Lamps:

5,800 high intensity discharge	70	\$13.33 per lamp on new pole \$ 9.90 per lamp if no new pole is required
--------------------------------	----	---

9,500 high intensity discharge	100	\$14.41 per lamp on new pole \$10.98 per lamp if no new pole is required
--------------------------------	-----	---

16,000 high intensity discharge	150	\$18.05 per lamp on new pole \$15.28 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

27,500 high intensity discharge	250	\$24.51 per lamp on new pole \$21.15 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

50,000 high intensity discharge	400	\$32.66 per lamp on new pole \$26.82 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

Sodium Vapor Flood Lamps:

16,000 high intensity discharge	150	\$18.05 per lamp on new pole \$15.28 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

27,500 high intensity discharge	250	\$24.58 per lamp on new pole \$21.15 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

50,000 high intensity discharge	400	\$32.66 per lamp on new pole \$26.82 per lamp if no new pole is required
---------------------------------	-----	---

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. 06-06

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 7A.4
Canceling Original Sheet No. 7A.4

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 7A – Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (continued)

(2) Low Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps

Energy Only:

<u>Initial Lumens</u>	<u>Watts</u>	<u>Per Lamp</u>
8,000	55	\$ 1.99
13,500	90	\$ 2.81
22,500	135	\$ 3.82
33,000	180	\$ 4.59

SPECIFICATIONS FOR LOW PRESSURE SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS: Prices include only energy and a single span of wire to customer's pole. The entire installation including initial lamp requirements, support poles, and wiring with suitable provision for connection to Company's system will be furnished, installed, and maintained by the customer. Lamps shall be controlled by the customer to burn only during the period from dusk to dawn.

CONTRACT PERIOD: One year or longer.

SPECIAL CONDITION: Domestic use means all usual residential, apartment, seasonal dwelling, and mobile home court use including domestic water pumping. Farm use means all usual farm electrical loads for raising of crops, livestock or pasturage and includes primary processing necessary for safe and efficient storage or shipment and irrigation pumping.

Contiguous parcels of land under single-ownership or leasehold shall be considered to be one Farm and noncontiguous parcels of land under single-ownership or leasehold shall be considered as one Farm unit when operated as a single Farm, unless demonstrated otherwise by the owner or lessee of the parcels.

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 8.1
Canceling Original Sheet No. 8.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 8

STATE OF IDAHO

General Service -- Medium Voltage

AVAILABILITY: At any point on the Company's interconnected system where there are facilities of adequate capacity.

APPLICATION: This Schedule is for alternating current, three phase electric service supplied at 2,300 to 46,000 volts through a single point of delivery for all service required on the Customer's premises for manufacturing or other industrial purposes by Industrial Customers contracting for not less than 35 kW. Seasonal service will be available only under other appropriate schedules.

MONTHLY BILL:

Rate:

	<u>Billing Months May through October, Inclusive</u>	<u>Billing Months November through April, Inclusive</u>
Customer Service Charge:	\$230.68 per Customer	\$230.68 per Customer
Power Rate:	\$ 8.79 per kW for all kW	\$ 6.59 per kW for all kW
Energy Rate:	3.4897¢ per kWh for all kWh	3.4897¢ per kWh for all kWh

Power Factor:

This rate is based on the Customer maintaining at all times a power factor of 85% lagging, or higher, as determined by measurement. If the average power factor is found to be less than 85% lagging, the Power as recorded by the Company's meter will be increased by 3/4 of 1% for every 1% that the power factor is less than 85%.

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

Second Revision of Sheet No. 10.1
Canceling First Revision of Sheet No. 10.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 10

STATE OF IDAHO

Irrigation and Soil Drainage Pumping Power Service

AVAILABILITY: At any point on the Company's interconnected system where there are facilities of adequate capacity.

APPLICATION: This Schedule is for alternating current, single or three-phase electric service supplied at the Company's available voltage through a single point of delivery for service to motors on pumps and machinery used for irrigation and soil drainage.

IRRIGATION SEASON AND POST-SEASON SERVICE: The Irrigation Season is from June 1 to September 15 each year. Service for post-season pumping may be taken by the same Customer at the same point of delivery and through the same facilities used for supplying regular irrigation pumping service during months from September 16 to the following May 31.

MONTHLY BILL:

Irrigation Season Rate

Customer Service Charge:

Small Pumping Operations:

15 horsepower or less total connected horsepower
served through one service connection -

\$11.75 per Customer

Large Pumping Operations:

16 horsepower or more total connected horsepower
served through one service connection -

\$34.15 per Customer

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

Second Revision of Sheet No. 10.2
Canceling First Revision of Sheet No. 10.2

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE No. 10 - Continued

MONTHLY BILL: (Continued)

Power Rate: \$4.56 per kW for all kW

Energy Rate: 7.1291¢ per kWh for first 25,000 kWh
5.2737¢ per kWh for the next 225,000 kWh
3.9088¢ per kWh for all additional kWh

Power Factor: This rate is based on the Customer maintaining at all times a power factor of 85% lagging, or higher, as determined by measurement. If the average power factor is found to be less than 85% lagging, the power as recorded by the Company's meter will be increased by 3/4 of 1% for every 1% that the power factor is less than 85%.

Minimum: The Customer Service Charge.

Post-Season Rate

Customer Service Charge: \$18.15 per Customer

Energy Rate: 6.0310¢ per kWh for all kWh

Minimum: The Customer Service Charge.

ADJUSTMENTS: All monthly bills shall be adjusted in accordance with Schedules 34 and 94.

PAYMENT: All monthly service billings will be due and payable when rendered and will be considered delinquent if not paid within fifteen (15) days. An advance payment may be required of the Customer by the Company in accordance with Electric Service Regulation No. 9. An advance may be required under any of the following conditions:

- (1) the Customer failed to pay all amounts owed to the Company when due and payable;
- (2) the Customer paid an advance the previous season that did not adequately cover bills for the entire season and the Customer failed to pay any balance owing by the due date of the final billing issued for the season.

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

Second Revision of Sheet No. 11.1
Canceling First Revision of Sheet No. 11.1

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 11

STATE OF IDAHO

**Street Lighting Service
Company-Owned System**

AVAILABILITY: In all territory served by the Company in the State of Idaho.

APPLICATION: To unmetered lighting service provided to municipalities or agencies of municipal, county, state or federal governments for dusk to dawn illumination of public streets, highways and thoroughfares by means of Company owned, operated and maintained street lighting systems controlled by a photoelectric control or time switch.

MONTHLY BILL: The Monthly Billing shall be the rate per luminaire as specified in the rate tables below.

High Pressure Sodium Vapor					
Lumen Rating	5,800*	9,500	16,000	27,500	50,000
Watts	70	100	150	250	400
Monthly kWh	28	39	59	96	148
Functional Lighting	\$11.08	\$13.27	\$17.29	\$22.31	\$31.78
Decorative - Series 1	N/A	\$25.42	\$25.69	N/A	N/A
Decorative - Series 2	N/A	\$19.98	\$20.17	N/A	N/A

Metal Halide				
Lumen Rating	9,000	12,000	19,500	32,000
Watts	100	175	250	400
Monthly kWh	39	69	93	145
Functional Lighting	N/A	\$18.03	\$21.36	\$21.53
Decorative - Series 1	\$25.69	\$30.33	N/A	N/A
Decorative - Series 2	\$20.78	\$22.46	N/A	N/A

* Existing fixtures only. Service is not available under this schedule to new 5,800 lumen High Pressure Sodium vapor Fixtures.

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

