

2007-07-09 11:09:15  
IDaho Public Utilities Commission

**BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE )  
APPLICATION OF ROCKY ) CASE NO. PAC-E-07-05  
MOUNTAIN POWER FOR )  
APPROVAL OF CHANGES TO ITS ) Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney  
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULES )  
)**

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER**

---

**CASE NO. PAC-E-07-05**

**June 2007**

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and position with the Company**  
2 **(also referred to as Rocky Mountain Power).**

3 A. My name is Carole A. Rockney. My business address is 825 N.E. Multnomah  
4 Street, Suite 800, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Director,  
5 Customer & Regulatory Liaison in the Customer Services Department.

6 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background.**

7 A. In 1985, I graduated from Portland State University with a Bachelor of Science  
8 degree in Business Administration, with a minor in Economics. In addition, I  
9 have taken graduate courses from Portland State University in the area of  
10 Economics. I joined the Company as an Assistant Pricing Analyst in the  
11 Regulation Department in 1985. I advanced to the level of Senior Pricing Analyst  
12 before leaving that department in 1990 to become a Commercial Segment  
13 Manager in the Company's Marketing Department. In 1991, I returned to the  
14 Regulation Department as Manager of Cost of Service. In 1993, I became a  
15 Supervisor in Economic Regulation and in 1996 became Regulatory Policy  
16 Manager. In 1998 I was appointed as Manager of Tariff Policy. I assumed my  
17 current position in 2000.

18 **Q. Have you appeared as a witness in previous regulatory proceedings?**

19 A. Yes. I have testified in the states of Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Washington,  
20 California, and Montana.

21 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

22 A. The purpose of my testimony is to propose changes to the Idaho Electric Service  
23 Schedules and Regulations to better reflect cost causation, to improve consistency

1 with the tariff language used in the Company's other jurisdictions, to improve the  
2 clarity of the Company's regulations and to better describe the application of  
3 these regulations.

4 **Q. Please describe the specific changes you are proposing.**

5 A. The Company is proposing changes to Regulation No. 10 regarding payment of  
6 collection agency costs. The Company is also proposing increasing Schedule 300  
7 fees associated with the connection and reconnection of service and changing the  
8 hours during which connection and reconnection of service is offered after normal  
9 office hours. In addition, the Company is proposing changes to Regulation 12  
10 including housekeeping, clarification, and changing the methodology of refunding  
11 customer advances for line extension. The specific changes are described below.

12 **Change to Regulation No. 10 - Termination of Service and Payment Arrangements**

13 **Q. Please describe the proposed change to Regulation No. 10.**

14 A. The Company is proposing to add language to Regulation No. 10R.8 to indicate  
15 that customers are responsible for reasonable court costs, attorney's fees and/or  
16 collection agency fees incurred in the collection of unpaid debt. The proposed  
17 revision is provided in both legislative and non-legislative format in Exhibit No.  
18 36.

19 **Q. Why is the Company proposing this change?**

20 A. The Company is proposing this change so that the customer who is causing the  
21 cost to be incurred is responsible for paying the cost. When a former customer  
22 does not pay their closing bill within approximately 20 days after the due date, or  
23 approximately 45 days after the account has been closed, the account is assigned

1 to a collection agency. The collection agency sends a "pre-collect" letter to the  
2 customer to notify the customer that the account has been assigned for collection.  
3 The customer is given 30 days from the mailing of the "pre-collect" letter to pay  
4 or dispute payment of the debt. If payment is received within this time frame, no  
5 collection fees are assessed. If payment is not received within this time frame,  
6 collection fees are incurred. Fees vary according to the amount of time the debt is  
7 outstanding. These fees are currently paid by the Company and included in rates.  
8 By allowing the collection agency to assess fees that are incurred in the collection  
9 of debt directly to the responsible customer, the subsidization of this cost by all  
10 other customers will be eliminated. If the proposed revision is approved by the  
11 Commission, the Company will adjust the rate increase included in this  
12 application accordingly.

13 **Q. What cost does the Company incur to collect unpaid debts?**

14 A. In 2006, the Company paid collection agencies approximately \$24,000 for fees  
15 associated with the collection of unpaid debt on closed accounts in Idaho.

16 **Q. Are the collection agency costs paid by the customer who incurs the cost in  
17 other states served by the Company?**

18 A. Yes, the customer pays for the collection agency costs in Oregon and this matter  
19 is under discussion in Utah. In addition, the Company anticipates proposing the  
20 same provision in Washington, Wyoming, and California.

21 **Increase in After Hours Service Connection Charge**

22 **Q. Please describe the after hours service connection charge.**

23 A. This charge is assessed when an applicant requests connection of service after

1 normal office hours as described in Schedule 300. As shown in Exhibit No. 37 the  
2 Company is proposing to increase the charge from \$50.00 to \$100.00.

3 **Q. Why is the Company proposing to increase this charge?**

4 A. The Company is proposing to increase this charge to better reflect the cost of  
5 providing this service, which is approximately \$200.00. Calculation of the cost of  
6 this service is detailed in Exhibit No. 38.

7 **Q. How many after hours service connection charges were assessed by the  
8 Company in 2006?**

9 A. In 2006, the Company assessed 30 after hours service connection charges in  
10 Idaho.

11 **Q. What is the charge for this service in the other states served by the  
12 Company?**

13 A. In Oregon and California this charge ranges up to \$175, and in Utah the charge is  
14 \$100. In Wyoming and Washington this charge is \$60 and \$40, respectively. The  
15 Company will be proposing to increase this charge in both these states in the near  
16 future.

17 **Q. Why are you proposing to only increase the charge to \$100.00?**

18 A. The Company proposes to phase in over time any increases in this charge to  
19 moderate the impact on customers who use this service.

20 **Increase in Reconnection Charges and Changing Availability of After Hours  
21 Reconnection**

22 **Q. Please describe the reconnection charge.**

23 A. This charge is assessed when the Company reconnects service following

1           disconnection of service after a default by the customer.

2   **Q.   How many reconnection charges during normal office hours and after**  
3   **normal office hours were assessed in 2006?**

4   A.   The Company assessed 1,500 reconnection charges during normal office hours  
5   and 115 reconnection charges after normal office hours during 2006.

6   **Q.   What is the Company proposing with regard to reconnection charges?**

7   A.   As shown in Exhibit No. 37, the Company is proposing to increase the  
8   reconnection charge during normal office hours from \$25.00 to \$30.00 and to  
9   increase the reconnection charge after normal office hours from \$50.00 to  
10   \$100.00.

11   **Q.   Why is the Company proposing to increase reconnection charges?**

12   A.   The Company is proposing an increase in this charge to better reflect the cost to  
13   disconnect and reconnect service and to reduce the subsidy paid by customers  
14   who do not use this service. The current charge for this service is significantly  
15   below the actual cost to provide this service. The Company has kept the proposed  
16   increase in the reconnection charge during normal office hours to a minimum to  
17   mitigate any fee increase for the majority of customers.

18   **Q.   What is the Company's current cost for providing this service?**

19   A.   The cost for reconnecting service is comprised of both a disconnection visit  
20   performed during normal office hours and a subsequent visit to reconnect the  
21   customer, which can be during normal office hours or after normal office hours.  
22   The total cost associated with reconnecting service during normal office hours is  
23   approximately \$40.00 and after normal office hours the cost is approximately

1           \$220.00. Details supporting the calculation of these costs are provided Exhibit  
2           No. 39.

3   **Q.    What are the charges for this service in the Company's other jurisdictions?**

4   A.    The charge for this service during normal office hours is \$30.00 in Oregon,  
5           California, and Utah, and in Wyoming the charge is \$40.00. In the near future the  
6           Company will be proposing to increase this charge in Washington, where it is  
7           currently \$20.00. The charge for this service after normal office hours ranges up  
8           to \$175.00 in Oregon, up to \$75.00 in California and \$100.00 in both Utah and  
9           Wyoming. The Company will be proposing an increase in this charge in  
10          Washington in the near future.

11 **Q.    Why are you proposing to only increase the charge to \$100.00?**

12 A.    The Company proposes to phase in over time any increases in this charge to  
13          moderate the impact on customers who use this service.

14 **Q.    Is the Company proposing any other changes to reconnection of service?**

15 A.    Yes. The Company is proposing to change the hours during which the after  
16          normal office hours reconnection of service will be offered. Currently, this  
17          service is offered between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday through  
18          Friday, excluding holidays. The Company is proposing to offer this service  
19          between the hours of 4:00 and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding  
20          holidays. The proposed revision is provided in legislative and non-legislative  
21          format in Exhibit No. 40.

1 **Q. Why is the Company proposing changes to the hours that after normal office**  
2 **hours reconnection of service is available?**

3 A. This change is being proposed to reduce the upward pressure on costs that  
4 performing work after normal office hours creates. Work that is done after normal  
5 office hours is performed by journeyman linemen in accordance with labor union  
6 agreements and is much more costly than work performed during normal office  
7 hours, which is typically performed by collectors. As stated above, the cost of an  
8 after normal office hours reconnection of service is approximately \$220.00, while  
9 the cost of reconnection of service during normal office hours is approximately  
10 \$40.00.

11 **Changes to Regulation No. 12 – Line Extensions**

12 **Q. What is the Company proposing with regard to Regulation No. 12?**

13 A. The Company is proposing housekeeping changes to Regulation No. 12 to: (1)  
14 improve the clarity of this regulation; (2) change the refund rules for developers;  
15 (3) change the methodology for residential customer refunds to align the  
16 methodology used in Idaho with the Company's other jurisdictions and to  
17 simplify the refunding process; and (4) to change the line extension allowance for  
18 transmission delivery customers. The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 12  
19 are provided in both legislative and non-legislative format in Exhibit No. 41.

20 **Q. Please describe the changes proposed to Regulation No. 12 to improve the**  
21 **clarity of this regulation.**

22 A. The first clarifying change the Company is proposing is in section 1(d) under the  
23 definition of an "Extension." The Company is proposing that the definition be



1 modified to better explain this information for customers and to be consistent with  
2 the definition of "Extension" used in other states served by the Company.

3 The second clarifying change is to add wording to section 6(a), which currently  
4 only addresses distribution facilities. The proposed change adds language stating  
5 that substations and transmission facilities will only be relocated at the discretion  
6 of the Company, which is the Company's current practice. Distribution facilities  
7 may need to be relocated on occasion, but it is generally not necessary or practical  
8 to relocate substations or transmission facilities. The cost of relocating these  
9 facilities would be significant and even if the customer did agree to pay for the  
10 relocation, securing alternate routes and obtaining easements and property would  
11 be difficult and not always possible. In addition, the complexity of relocating  
12 substations and transmission facilities is much greater than for distribution  
13 facilities due to permitting and licensing, number of customers impacted,  
14 switching requirements and the involvement of higher voltages and equipment.

15 The third clarifying change is to combine sections 4(b) and 4(c) for  
16 allowances and advances for developers. This will standardize the wording and  
17 structure with the same sections in the Company's regulations in Utah and  
18 Wyoming.

19 The fourth clarification is to the newly renumbered section 4(c) (formally  
20 4(d)). The Company proposes to modify this section and make explicit that  
21 backbone facilities used by other developers is refundable to the original  
22 developer, even the backbone facilities that route through the development.

1 **Q. What changes are being proposed for planned developments?**

2 A. In section 4(c) the Company is proposing to allow developers to waive small  
3 refunds when, in the developer's judgment, small refunds may cause the  
4 developer to lose the opportunity for future refunds that would return a larger  
5 share of their refundable advance. Developers receive refunds based on the size of  
6 the additional load relative to the total load. For example if one new home outside  
7 a subdivision connects to the extension serving an existing 40 lot subdivision, the  
8 new customer pays 1/41<sup>st</sup> of the refundable advance, which is refunded to the  
9 original developer. If four such new homes are connected, the four refunds would  
10 be less than 10 percent and the developer would not be eligible for any further  
11 refunds. However, if an entire new development of equal size requested service,  
12 the refund to the first developer would be 50 percent. Developers have in the past  
13 requested the right to waive small refunds for the reasons explained above, and  
14 the proposed change would facilitate these requests.

15 **Q. What changes are you proposing to the methodology used for the line  
16 extension refund process?**

17 A. The Company is proposing changes to section 2(a)(2) and section 2(b)(3),  
18 Additional Customers, Advances and Refunds. The changes will affect the  
19 advance and refund procedure for residential customers. The Company is  
20 proposing that the contract with the initial customer remain in force, which would  
21 allow the initial customer to receive refunds for up to four additional customers  
22 who may request service instead of transferring the contract to each successive  
23 customer for up to four customers. Under the Company's proposal, each of the

1 next four customers utilizing any portion of the initial line extension would pay  
2 the Company, prior to connection of service, 20 percent of the cost of any shared  
3 facilities. In turn, the Company would refund such payments to the initial  
4 customer.

5 **Q. Why is the Company proposing this change?**

6 A. The existing rule provides that the initial customer's contract is assumed by the  
7 next customer for an amount equal to the original advance less 20 percent of the  
8 original advance, which, in turn, is assumed by the next customer less 20 percent  
9 of the original advance for up to a total of five customers. This requires that the  
10 contract be transferred from the initial customer to the next and so forth, so each  
11 successive customer receives their refund from the Company who refunds it to  
12 them, having collected the refund from the next successive customer, for up to a  
13 total of five customers. Transferring the contract is an administrative burden that  
14 is time consuming and is not a requirement in any other state served by the  
15 Company. The contract transfer is also a barrier to successive customers who,  
16 instead of paying 20 percent to share in an existing line, may have to pay up to 80  
17 percent of the original advance and only have their advance refunded, less 20  
18 percent of the original advance, if another customer requests service and connects  
19 to the line. Instead of paying 80 percent and getting none of it back, the next  
20 customer could decide to wait to take service until the expiration of the contract,  
21 which is five years from the original line completion. If this were to occur, the  
22 initial customer would receive no refund. The proposed change reduces the  
23 financial impact to successive customers and a potential barrier to requesting

1 service before the end of the refund period. The proposed change does retain,  
2 however, the potential for 80 percent of the initial advance being refunded to the  
3 initial customer in 20 percent increments over the next four customers.

4 **Q. What is the revenue impact to the Company of this proposed change?**

5 A. None. The proposed change addresses how refunds between customers are  
6 administered.

7 **Q. What change is the Company proposing to the line extension allowance for  
8 customers taking service at 44,000 volts and greater?**

9 A. The proposed change is to provide an allowance of metering only. This is  
10 intended to reflect cost of service principles and to standardize the line extension  
11 allowance, as opposed to the case by case criteria in the current regulation. There  
12 is no cost of service basis for providing a line extension allowance to customers who  
13 receive service at transmission delivery. In addition, the proposed change is  
14 consistent with the line extension allowance for transmission level customers in  
15 both Utah and Wyoming.

16 **Q. Are there any pending requests for service in Idaho from customers taking  
17 service at 44,000 volts and greater?**

18 A. No. If there were, the Company would propose a transition to the new line  
19 extension allowance.

20 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

21 A. Yes.

2007 JUN -3 11:09:45

Case No. PAC-E-07-05  
Exhibit No. 36  
Witness: Carole A. Rockney

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney

Electric Service Regulation No. 10.8  
Termination of Service and Payment Arrangements

(Proposed Revised Regulation)  
(Proposed Revised Regulation in Legislative Format)

June 2007

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 10R.8  
Canceling Original Sheet No. 10R.8

---

**9. CHARGES FOR COLLECTION ACTIVITY (continued)**

**(a) Reconnection Charge: (continued)**

Except for medical emergencies, reconnections required for safety reasons or where customers are disconnected in error, requests for reconnection received by Company during all other hours will be completed on the following day.

**(b) Tampering/Unauthorized Reconnection Charge:** Where damage to Company's facilities has occurred due to tampering or where reconnection of service has been made by other than Company Personnel a Tampering/Unauthorized Reconnection Charge may be collected as specified in Schedule No. 300. This charge is not a waiver by Company of the rights to recover losses due to tampering. In addition to the above mentioned charge, person receiving service shall be responsible for paying for any damages to the Company's equipment as a result of tampering.

**(c) Field Service Collection Charge:** The Company may assess the Customer the Field Service Collection Charge shown on Schedule 300 when payment is collected at the service address or when the employee, without receiving payment, does not disconnect at the Customer's request. The employee accepting payment for a delinquent account at the service address will not dispense change for payment tendered in excess of the amount due or owing. Any excess payment shall be credited to the Customer's account.

**(d) Collection Charges**

Subsequent to the termination or suspension of service and following the due and payable period of the Customer's closing bill, the Customer will be responsible for any reasonable costs associated with the collection of unpaid accounts, including but not limited to: court costs, attorney's fees and/or collection agency fees.

**10. RESTORATION OF SERVICE**

The Company will restore service only when the following conditions are met:

**(a)** The cause of the discontinuance has been removed if that cause was for any reason other than for the nonpayment of proper charges when due;

**(b)** The Customer has paid all proper charges which are due, except that Customers, if qualified, may meet this requirement by entering into a payment arrangement.

The Company may require the customer to enter into a new Electric Service Agreement requiring a deposit.

(Continued)

I.P.U.C. No. 1

Original First Revision of Sheet No. 10R.8  
Canceling Original Sheet No. 10R.8

**9. CHARGES FOR COLLECTION ACTIVITY (continued)**

**(a) Reconnection Charge: (continued)**

Except for medical emergencies, reconnections required for safety reasons or where customers are disconnected in error, requests for reconnection received by Company during all other hours will be completed on the following day.

**(b) Tampering/Unauthorized Reconnection Charge:** Where damage to Company's facilities has occurred due to tampering or where reconnection of service has been made by other than Company Personnel a Tampering/Unauthorized Reconnection Charge may be collected as specified in Schedule No. 300. This charge is not a waiver by Company of the rights to recover losses due to tampering. In addition to the above mentioned charge, person receiving service shall be responsible for paying for any damages to the Company's equipment as a result of tampering.

**(c) Field Service Collection Charge:** The Company may assess the Customer the Field Service Collection Charge shown on Schedule 300 when payment is collected at the service address or when the employee, without receiving payment, does not disconnect at the Customer's request. The employee accepting payment for a delinquent account at the service address will not dispense change for payment tendered in excess of the amount due or owing. Any excess payment shall be credited to the Customer's account.

**(d) Collection Charges**

Subsequent to the termination or suspension of service and following the due and payable period of the Customer's closing bill, the Customer will be responsible for any reasonable costs associated with the collection of unpaid accounts, including but not limited to: court costs, attorney's fees and/or collection agency fees.

**10. RESTORATION OF SERVICE**

The Company will restore service only when the following conditions are met:

- (a)** The cause of the discontinuance has been removed if that cause was for any reason other than for the nonpayment of proper charges when due;
- (b)** The Customer has paid all proper charges which are due, except that Customers, if qualified, may meet this requirement by entering into a payment arrangement.

The Company may require the customer to enter into a new Electric Service Agreement requiring a deposit.

(Continued)

**11. WAIVER OF RIGHT TO TERMINATE SERVICE**

The right to discontinue service for any of the reasons specified in the Rules and Regulations Governing Customer Relations may be exercised whenever and as often as such reasons may occur, and neither delay nor omission on the part of the Company to enforce the provisions of these Regulations at any one or more times shall be deemed a waiver of its right to enforce the same at any time, so long as the reason continues.



I.P.U.C. No. 1

Original Sheet No. 10R.9

**11. WAIVER OF RIGHT TO TERMINATE SERVICE**

The right to discontinue service for any of the reasons specified in the Rules and Regulations Governing Customer Relations may be exercised whenever and as often as such reasons may occur, and neither delay nor omission on the part of the Company to enforce the provisions of these Regulations at any one or more times shall be deemed a waiver of its right to enforce the same at any time, so long as the reason continues.

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

**First Revision of Sheet No. D.1  
 Canceling Original Sheet No. D.1**
**ELECTRIC SERVICE REGULATIONS**
**STATE OF IDAHO**


---

**Table of Contents**


---

<b>Regulation No.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Sheet No.</b>
1	General Provisions	Sheet No. 1R.1
2	General Definitions	Sheet Nos. 2R.1 - 2R.4
3	Electric Service Agreements	Sheet Nos. 3R.1 - 3R.3
4	Supply and Use of Service	Sheet Nos. 4R.1 - 4R.3
5	Customer's Installation	Sheet Nos. 5R.1 - 5R.4
6	Company's Installation	Sheet No. 6R.1
7	Metering	Sheet Nos. 7R.1 - 7R.3
8	Billings	Sheet Nos. 8R.1 - 8R.2
9	Deposits and Advance Payments	Sheet Nos. 9R.1 - 9R.4
10	Termination of Service and Payment Arrangements	Sheet Nos. 10R.1 - 10R.9
11	Taxes	Sheet No. 11R.1
12	Line Extensions	Sheet No. 12R.1 - 12R.13
13	Curtailed Plan for Electric Energy	Sheet Nos. 13R.1 - 13R.11
25	Customer Guarantees	Sheet Nos. 25R.1 - 25R.4

Electric Service Regulations are not necessarily reprinted when new Electric Service Schedules are issued. Therefore, Regulations from prior tariffs should be retained until updated. When a Regulation is updated it will be given the same tariff number as the Electric Service Schedules in effect at the time of the update.

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

**ISSUED:** June 8, 2007

**EFFECTIVE:** January 1, 2008

**I.P.U.C. No. 1**
**Original ~~First Revision of~~ Sheet No. D.1  
 Canceling Original Sheet No. D.1**
**ELECTRIC SERVICE REGULATIONS**
**STATE OF IDAHO**
**Table of Contents**

<b>Regulation No.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Sheet No.</b>
1	General Provisions	Sheet No. 1R.1
2	General Definitions	Sheet Nos. 2R.1 - 2R.4
3	Electric Service Agreements	Sheet Nos. 3R.1 - 3R.3
4	Supply and Use of Service	Sheet Nos. 4R.1 - 4R.3
5	Customer's Installation	Sheet Nos. 5R.1 - 5R.4
6	Company's Installation	Sheet No. 6R.1
7	Metering	Sheet Nos. 7R.1 - 7R.3
8	Billings	Sheet Nos. 8R.1 - 8R.2
9	Deposits and Advance Payments	Sheet Nos. 9R.1 - 9R.4
10	Termination of Service and Payment Arrangements	Sheet Nos. 10R.1 - 10R.89
11	Taxes	Sheet No. 11R.1
12	Line Extensions	Sheet No. 12R.1 - 12R.13
13	Curtaiment Plan for Electric Energy	Sheet Nos. 13R.1 - 13R.11
25	Customer Guarantees	Sheet Nos. 25R.1 - 25R.4

Electric Service Regulations are not necessarily reprinted when new Electric Service Schedules are issued. Therefore, Regulations from prior tariffs should be retained until updated. When a Regulation is updated it will be given the same tariff number as the Electric Service Schedules in effect at the time of the update.

 Submitted Under Advice Letter No. ~~06-06~~ Case No. PAC-E-07-05

**ISSUED:** August 14, 2006 June 8, 2007

**EFFECTIVE:** September 15, 2006 January 1, 2008

2007 JUN -8 AM 9:46

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05

Exhibit No. 37

Witness: Carole A. Rockney

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney

Electric Service Schedule No. 300  
Regulation Charges

(Proposed Revised Tariff)  
(Proposed Revised Tariff in Legislative Format)

June 2007

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 300.1  
Canceling Original Sheet No. 300.1

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER**  
**ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 300**

**STATE OF IDAHO**

**Regulation Charges**

**AVAILABILITY:** In all service territory served by the Company in the State of Idaho.

**APPLICATION:** For all customers utilizing the services of the Company as defined and described in the Electric Service Regulations.

**SERVICE CHARGES:**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Charge</u>
3R.1	Service Connection Charge: Normal Office Hours	No Charge
	Monday through Friday except Holidays 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.	\$100.00
	Weekends and Holidays 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.	\$100.00
7R.1	Meter Test for Accuracy Once in twelve months Two or more times in twelve months	No charge Actual Cost
7R.2	Fee paid to mobile home operators who sub-meter tenants	\$1.15 per month per occupied space
8R.1	Late Payment Charge:	1% of delinquent balance per month
8R.2	Returned Check Charge:	\$20.00

(Continued)

Submitted Under Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: January 1, 2008

I.P.U.C. No. 1

First Revision of Sheet No. 300.1  
Canceling Original Sheet No. 300.1

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER**  
**ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 300**

**STATE OF IDAHO**

**Regulation Charges**

**AVAILABILITY:** In all service territory served by the Company in the State of Idaho.

**APPLICATION:** For all customers utilizing the services of the Company as defined and described in the Electric Service Regulations.

**SERVICE CHARGES:**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Charge</u>
3R.1	Service Connection Charge: Normal Office Hours	No Charge
	Monday through Friday except Holidays 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.	<del>\$50.00</del> <u>100.00</u>
	Weekends and Holidays 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.	<del>\$50.00</del> <u>100.00</u>
7R.1	Meter Test for Accuracy Once in twelve months Two or more times in twelve months	No charge Actual Cost
7R.2	Fee paid to mobile home operators who sub-meter tenants	\$1.15 per month per occupied space
8R.1	Late Payment Charge:	1% of delinquent balance per month
8R.2	Returned Check Charge:	\$20.00

(Continued)

Submitted Under Advice No. ~~06-06~~ Case No. PAC-E-07-05

ISSUED: August 14, 2006 June 8, 2007

EFFECTIVE: September 15, 2006 January 1, 2008

LP.U.C. No. 1

Third Revision of Sheet No. 300.2  
Canceling Second Sheet No. 300.2

**ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 300 - Continued**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Charge</u>
9R.2	Security Deposit for New Service: Residential and Small Commercial	Up to one sixth of estimated annual billings.
	Industrial and Large Commercial	Up to two months peak billings.
10R.6	Reconnection Charges:  Monday through Friday except Holidays. 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.	  \$30.00 \$100.00
	Weekends and Holidays 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.	\$100.00
10R.8	Tampering Unauthorized Reconnection Charge:	\$75.00
10R.8	Field Service Collection Charge:	\$20.00
11R.1	Taxes	

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Type of Tax or Fee</u>	<u>Ordinance No.</u>	<u>Amount of Tax or Fee</u>	<u>Date Ordinance Adopted</u>
City of Arco	Franchise	No. 1997-B	3.0%	January 1, 1997
City of Bloomington	Franchise	No. 1986-01	10.0%	July 1, 1986
City of Dubois	Franchise	No. 215	10.0%	February 10, 1983
City of Firth	Franchise	No. 159	1.0%	March 14, 2000
City of Franklin	Franchise	No. 2004-811	3.0%	September 23, 2004
City of Iona	Franchise	No. 40	3.0%	June 22, 1989
City of Mud Lake	Franchise	No. 60904	2.0%	June 9, 2004
City of Paris	Franchise	No. 307	3.0%	February 6, 1984
City of Preston	Franchise	No. 2005-4	3.0%	August 8, 2005
City of Rexburg	Franchise	No. 651	6.0%	October 1, 1994
City of Rigby	Franchise	No. 394	3.0%	July 17, 1984
City of Ririe	Franchise	No. 104	3.0%	December 31, 1990
City of Shelley	Franchise	No. 375	3.0%	October 1, 1995
City of Sugar City	Franchise	No. 204	3.0%	June 13, 1996

12R.1 Minimum Engineering Costs \$200

(Continued)



I.P.U.C. No. 1

~~Second~~ Third Revision of Sheet No. 300.2  
 Canceling ~~First~~ Second Sheet No. 300.2

**ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULE NO. 300 - Continued**

<u>Sheet No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Charge</u>
9R.2	Security Deposit for New Service: Residential and Small Commercial	Up to one sixth of estimated annual billings.
	Industrial and Large Commercial	Up to two months peak billings.
10R.6	Reconnection Charges:  Monday through Friday except Holidays. 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.	  \$3025.00 \$1050.00
	Weekends and Holidays 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.	\$1050.00
10R.8	Tampering Unauthorized Reconnection Charge:	\$75.00
10R.8	Field Service Collection Charge:	\$20.00
11R.1	Taxes	

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Type of Tax or Fee</u>	<u>Ordinance No.</u>	<u>Amount of Tax or Fee</u>	<u>Date Ordinance Adopted</u>
City of Arco	Franchise	No. 1997-B	3.0%	January 1, 1997
City of Bloomington	Franchise	No. 1986-01	10.0%	July 1, 1986
City of Dubois	Franchise	No. 215	10.0%	February 10, 1983
City of Firth	Franchise	No. 159	1.0%	March 14, 2000
City of Franklin	Franchise	No. 2004-811	3.0%	September 23, 2004
City of Iona	Franchise	No. 40	3.0%	June 22, 1989
City of Mud Lake	Franchise	No. 60904	2.0%	June 9, 2004
City of Paris	Franchise	No. 307	3.0%	February 6, 1984
City of Preston	Franchise	No. 2005-4	3.0%	August 8, 2005
City of Rexburg	Franchise	No. 651	6.0%	October 1, 1994
City of Rigby	Franchise	No. 394	3.0%	July 17, 1984
City of Ririe	Franchise	No. 104	3.0%	December 31, 1990
City of Shelley	Franchise	No. 375	3.0%	October 1, 1995
City of Sugar City	Franchise	No. 204	3.0%	June 13, 1996

12R.1 Minimum Engineering Costs \$200

(Continued)



2011 JUN 1 - 8 11:09:46

IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05

Exhibit No. 38

Witness: Carole A. Rockney

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney

Analysis of After Hour Connect Activity Costs

June 2007

**Analysis for after hour connect activity costs  
 Idaho**

**After hour connect visit cost analysis**

(Single Phase)

Journeyman lineman hourly activity rate

Collector hourly activity rate

Work completed 5% by journeyman lineman and 95% by collector

	<u>SAP Activity Rate</u>			<u>Actual Costs</u>
see note a)	\$99.68			
see note a)	\$45.22			
		Hours	2	\$199.36
		(see notes a, b, c, and d) after hours connect cost		

**Note:**

- a) Labor cost based on collector and journeyman lineman activity rate
- b) Callouts between 6:00-6:30 p.m. incur meal costs which are not included
- c) Average of 10 miles per visit and average time per visit 25 minutes
- d) Minimum two hour callout applies after hours per labor agreement

**Journeyman Lineman 2007 SAP Activity Rate**

Idaho journeyman labor costs - \$99.68

**Collector 2007 SAP Activity Rate**

Idaho collector labor costs - \$45.22

Activity rate to increase 3% per year for the next three years per labor agreement

2007 JUN -8 AM 9:46  
IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05  
Exhibit No. 39  
Witness: Carole A. Rockney

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney

Cost Analysis for Collection Activity Costs

June 2007

**Cost Analysis for Collection Activity Costs  
Idaho**

**Disconnect/Reconnect Visit Cost Analysis**

(Single Phase)

Journeyman lineman hourly activity rate

Collector hourly activity rate

Work completed 5% by journeyman lineman and 95% by collector

SAP Activity Rate  
see note a) \$99.68  
see note a) \$45.22  
\$47.40

(see notes a, b, c, and d)	Hours	Actual Costs
field service collection/disconnect visit (fsc/d)	0.42	\$19.91
reconnection (includes fsc/d visit)	0.84	\$39.81
after hours connect cost	2	\$199.36
after hours reconnection (includes fsc/d visit)	2.42	\$219.27

**Note:**

- a) Labor cost based on collector and journeyman lineman activity rate
- b) Callouts between 6:00-6:30 p.m. incur meal costs which are not included
- c) Average of 10 miles per visit and average time per visit 25 minutes
- d) Minimum two hour callout applies after hours per labor agreement

**Journeyman Lineman 2007 SAP Activity Rate**

Idaho journeyman labor costs - \$99.68

**Collector 2007 SAP Activity Rate**

Idaho collector labor costs - \$45.22

Activity rate to increase 3% per year for the next three years per labor agreement

2007 JUN -3 AM 9:46

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Case No. PAC-E-07-05  
Exhibit No. 40  
Witness: Carole A. Rockney

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

---

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Carole A. Rockney

Electric Service Regulation No. 10.7  
Termination of Service and Payment Arrangements

(Proposed Revised Regulation)  
(Proposed Revised Regulation in Legislative Format)

June 2007

