

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND COVAD)	CASE NO. USW-T-99-3
COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY FOR)	
APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT PURSUANT)	
TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	
<hr/>	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND ARCH)	CASE NO. USW-T-00-20
WIRELESS OPERATING COMPANY, INC. FOR)	
APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT PURSUANT)	
TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	
<hr/>	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND VARTEC)	CASE NO. QWE-T-02-1
TELECOM, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN)	
AMENDMENT TO AN INTERCONNECTION)	
AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	
<hr/>	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND XO IDAHO,)	CASE NO. QWE-T-02-2
INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO)	
AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT)	
PURSUANT TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	
<hr/>	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND EXCEL)	CASE NO. QWET-02-12
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR)	
APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN)	
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT PURSUANT)	
TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	
<hr/>	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION)	
OF QWEST CORPORATION AND ROBERT)	CASE NO. QWE-T-03-27
RYDER DBA RADIO PAGING SERVICE FOR)	
APPROVAL OF AN INTERCONNECTION)	
AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e).)	ORDER NO. 29407
<hr/>	

In these cases the Commission is asked to approve amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements and a new interconnection agreement.

BACKGROUND

Under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission recently noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements “may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do not comply with either the FCC rules or with the provisions of Section 251(b) or (c).” Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis original). This comports with the FCC’s statement that “a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51].” 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

THE CURRENT APPLICATIONS

The Commission has been asked to approve five amendments to existing interconnection agreements and a new interconnection agreement. These amendments and the new agreement are discussed in greater detail below.

1. Qwest and Covad Communications Company (Case No. USW-T-99-3). This application is for an amendment to an existing interconnection agreement replacing the previous terms for joint testing with revised terms.

2. Qwest and Arch Wireless Operating Company (Case No. USW-T-00-20). This application is for an amendment to an existing paging interconnection agreement extending the term of the agreement and including language dealing with changes that result from regulatory or legal proceedings.

3. Qwest and VarTec Telecom, Inc. (Case No. QWE-T-02-1). This application is for an amendment to update the rates in Appendix A to include recent changes in Qwest’s SGAT rates.

4. Qwest and XO Idaho, Inc. (Case No. QWE-T-02-2). This application is for an amendment to update the rates in Appendix A to include recent changes in Qwest’s SGAT rates.

5. Qwest and Excel Telecommunications, Inc. (Case No. QWE-T-02-12). This application is for an amendment to update the rates in Appendix A to include recent changes in Qwest's SGAT rates.

6. Qwest and Robert Ryder dba Radio Paging Service (Case No. QWE-T-03-27). This is a new Type 1 and Type 2 Paging Agreement. The terms are similar to other paging agreements previously approved by the Commission.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Staff has reviewed these Applications and did not find any terms and conditions to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the new agreement and amendments to interconnection agreements are consistent with the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act. Accordingly, Staff believes that the Applications merit the Commission's approval.

COMMISSION DECISION

Under the terms of the Telecommunications Act, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252 (e)(1). The Commission's review is limited, however. The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiation only if it finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunication carrier not a party to the agreement or implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. *Id.* Based upon our review of the Applications, the Staff's recommendation and on the fact no other person commented on these Applications, the Commission finds that the amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements are consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and do not discriminate. Therefore, the Commission finds that these Applications should be approved. However, approval of these amendments does not negate the responsibility of any of the parties to these agreements to obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity if they are offering local exchange services or complying with *Idaho Code* §§ 62-604 and 62-606 if they are providing other non-basic local telecommunications services as defined by *Idaho Code* § 62-603.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the amendments to interconnection agreements and new interconnection agreement discussed above are approved. Terms of the agreements that are not already in effect shall be effective as of the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendment to the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and Covad Communications Company, Case No. USW-T-99-3, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendment to the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and Arch Wireless Operating Company, Inc., Case No. USW-T-00-20, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendment to the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and VarTec Telecom, Inc., Case No. QWE-T-02-1, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendment to the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and XO Idaho, Inc., Case No. QWE-T-02-2, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amendment to the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and Excel Telecommunications, Inc., Case No. QWE-T-02-12, is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation and Robert Ryder dba Radio Paging Service, Case No. QWE-T-03-27, is approved.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order (or in issues finally decided by this Order) or in interlocutory Orders previously issued in these Case Nos. USW-T-99-3, USW-T-00-20, QWE-T-02-1, QWE-T-02-2, QWE-T-02-12 and QWE-T-03-27 may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order with regard to any matter decided in this Order or in interlocutory Orders previously issued in these cases. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. *See Idaho Code* §§ 61-626 and 62-619.

DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho, this *2nd*
day of January 2004.



PAUL KJELLANDER, PRESIDENT




MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER



DENNIS S. HANSEN, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:



Jean D. Jewell
Commission Secretary

O:USWT9903_0020_QWET021_022_0212_0327_jh