

**BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT )  
APPLICATION OF QWEST CORPORATION ) CASE NO. USW-T-99-14  
AND FRETEL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC )  
FOR APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO )  
AN EXISTING INTERCONNECTION ) ORDER NO. 30068  
AGREEMENT FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO )  
PURSUANT TO 47 U.S.C § 252(e). )**

In this case, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (Commission) is asked to approve an amendment to an existing and previously approved Interconnection Agreement. With this Order the Commission approves the amendment to the Agreement.

**BACKGROUND**

Under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements “may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do not comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251(b) or (c).” Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC’s statement that “a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51].” 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

**THE CURRENT APPLICATION**

The original Agreement between Qwest Corporation and Fretel Communications LLC was approved by the Commission on August 27, 1999. This amended Application seeks Commission approval for Qwest to change the terms and conditions for its Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) services as set forth in Attachment 1 of the Agreement. Both parties agree that Qwest will implement any necessary billing changes within two (2) billing cycles after the last execution date of the amendment.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff has reviewed the Application and does not find any terms or conditions that it considers to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the Application is consistent with the recent orders by the Federal Communications Commission and the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Accordingly, Staff recommended Commission approval of the amendment to the Agreement.

## COMMISSION DECISION


Under the terms of the Telecommunications Act, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission's review is limited. The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiation only if it finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement or implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. *Id.* Based upon our review of the Application and the Staff's recommendation, the Commission finds that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate. Therefore, the Commission finds that the Application should be approved. However, approval of this Application does not negate the responsibility of either of the parties to the Agreement to obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity if they are offering local exchange services or to comply with *Idaho Code* §§ 62-604 and 62-606 if they are providing other non-basic local telecommunications services as defined by *Idaho Code* § 62-603.

## ORDER


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the amended Interconnection Agreement of Qwest Corporation and Fretel Communications, LLC, Case No. USW-T-99-14, is approved.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order with regard to any matter decided in this Order. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. *See Idaho Code* § 61-626.


DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this 8<sup>th</sup>  
day of June 2006.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL KJELLANDER, PRESIDENT

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DENNIS S. HANSEN, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jean D. Jewell  
Commission Secretary

O:USW-T-99-14\_cg2