

✓ Men. Ack
sent 3/11/04

✓ To Adv.

✓ To Commis
H

RECEIVED
FILED



2/16/04

UWI-W-04-1

United Water

2004 FEB 27 AM 8:42

Baie Id

PUBLIC
SERVICES COMMISSION

Sirs:

Re your article in a recent Statesman I wish to advise that I am very much in favor of level monthly payments, if, the HIGHER summer months are also included in the 12-month figures.

In the past, as your records show, I have been trying to do this for 3 or 4 months in summer. As a 77 y. old person still having to work, and only receiving \$5.15 an hour the extra charges in summer are not appreciated, as are higher gas prices on holidays.

Trusting this becomes a worm, I am
Sincerely

Adeline L. Neek

7815 Mc Mullen

Baie Id. 83709

✓ Gen. Ack
sent 3/2/04

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commus
; H

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, March 01, 2004 3:05 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, March 01, 2004
3:05:22 PM

Case: (UWI-W-04-1)
Name: Inger Bishop
Street Address: 10812 Sandpiper St.
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83709
Home Telephone: 377-4698
E-Mail:

Company: United Water
mailing_list_yes_no: yes
Comment_description: We read in Idaho Statesman about United Water seeking approval to implement a new budget billing process. We are against this proposall and are upset that we found out about it in the paper and that it was not mentioned in recent billings from the United Water.

The \$72,0000 price to implement the proqram that the company wants to recover from customers in its next general rate case is pure greed by the company. Our household was added to United Water through the sale of South County Water a few years back and our huge water bill has been a thorn in our home maintainance budget ever since. If customers desire a level pay scale, they need to add their last six bills, devide by 12 and put aside that much each month and NOT create a\$72,000 cost to the company.

It was interesting that this side-bar article (Feb 11th) was next to an article on businesses helping the environment by reducing paper and this move (if approved) would double paper consumption involved in billing customers as it would go from bi-monthly to monthly!!

When we moved to our home on 1/2 acre in 1980, we had a flat fee for water which made it desireable to live here, (our covenants also promised pressurized irrigation). The irrigation never materilized, we were put on meter, and South County sold without an opportunity for homeowners to band together and perhaps purchase the neighbor well and the costs escalate yearly.

We have been impacted by recent lay-offs (HP) and are readjusting to a much lower income is challenging--we did not need increase utility costs which have occurred this past year.

We certainly are against another UNNECESSARY expense. Please deny United Waters request.

Thank You--Inger and Steve Bishop

Transaction ID: 311505.22
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 205.186.82.228
User Hostname: 205.186.82.228